Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 17(40) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.,

and Carson Road Development Inc.

Appellant:

Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc. Township of Springwater

And Others

Subject:

Failure of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to announce a decision respecting the

Official Plan for the County of Simcoe

Municipality:

County of Simcoe

OMB Case No: OMB File No:

PL091167 PL091167

MOTION RECORD (PHASE 4a – GREENLANDS AND RESOURCE CONSERVATION) (Motion in Writing under Rule 36)

THOMSON, ROGERS Barristers and Solicitors Suite 3100, 390 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario

M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN

416-868-3157

Fax No. 416-868-3134

Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

TO: ALL APPELLANTS/PARTIES LISTED IN ATTACHMENTS "A" AND "B" WITH A COPY TO ALL PARTICIPANTS LISTED IN ATTACHMENT "C"

AND TO: ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD

655 Bay Street, 15th Floor Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1E5

Attention: Johnpaul Loiacono, Planner

johnpaul.loiacono@ontario.ca

Phone: 416-326-5598 Fax: 416-326-5370

ATTACHMENT "A"

LIST OF APPELLANT PARTIES— COUNTY OF SIMCOE OFFICIAL PLAN OMB File PL091167 Oct 22, 2015

		MIB FHE PLU9116/	Oct 22, 2015
No.	Appellant	Lawyer/Agent*	E-mail Address
11	County of Simcoe	Roger Beaman	rbeaman@thomsonrogers.com
	2a Carson Road Development Inc.	Susan Rosenthal	susanr@davieshowe.com
2	2b Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
3[M]	Craighurst Land Corp.	Susan Rosenthal	susanr@davieshowe.com
-4-	Huntingwood Trails (Collingwood) Ltd.	Appeal Resolved	Susum (e) du vio sino vio. com
	Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc.	Ira Kagan	ikagan@ksllp.ca
5		Paul DeMelo	pdemelo@ksllp.ca
6	Township of Springwater	Barnet Kussner	bkussner@weirfoulds.ca
7	451082 Ontario Limited	James Feehely	jfeehely@fglawyers.ca
	8a 861945 Ontario Ltd.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
	8b Golfview Estates Limited[W/D] 8c Mark Rich Homes Limited	A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
8	8d Silver Spring View Estates Limited		
	8e Simcoe Estates Limited		
	8f Royal Heights Estates Limited 8g OMC Development Corp.[W/D]		
-9-	Innisfil Alcona Limited	Appeal Withdrawn	
		Michael Melling	michaelm@davieshowe.com
10	Tesmar Holdings Inc.	Meaghan	meaghanm@davieshowe.com
	resmar from ings me.	McDermid	
11	Issis & Devid Whish	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
11	Janice & David Wright	A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	12a Snow Valley Planning	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
12	Corporation	A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	12b 453211 Ontario Limited	(
13	McMahan Woods Developments Ltd.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	1	A-G D'Andrea	
14	Innisfil Beach Farms Inc.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
1.5"	Estate of Marie Louise Frankcom	A-G D'Andrea James Feehely	ifeehely@fglawyers.ca
15 -16-	Midhurst Ratepayers' Association	Appeal Dismissed by Board	Jicenery(Wigiawyers.ca
17	Narinder Mann	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
	Yorkwood Group of Companies	Patricia Foran	pforan@airdberlis.com
18[M]	[Resolved]		
-19-	19a Rama Resorts International Inc. 19b Fernbrook Homes (Rama) Limited	Appeal Resolved	
-20-	1091402 Ontario Ltd.	Appeal Withdrawn	
21	Nicole and Brent Fellman	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
# I	1 10010 and Dront I omnan	A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
22	Travel-Rite Property Corp.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
		A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
23	Aqua-Gem Investments Ltd.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	*	A-G D'Andrea	Anthony-George.D Andrea@devrylaw.ca

24	442023 Ontario Limited	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
25	1045901 Ontario Limited	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
26	Kellwatt Limited	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
27	27a Ont Potato Distributing Inc 27b 1567219 Ontario Limited	Chris Barnett	cbarnett@davis.ca
28	Black Marlin Management Inc. [Resolved]	Caterina Facciolo	efacciolo@bratty.com-Resolved
29	Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama'at Canada Inc. (AMJC)	Barry Horosko	bhorosko@horoskoplanninglaw.com
30[M]	D.G. Pratt Construction Limited [Adjourned]	Jane Pepino Andrea Skinner	jpepino@airdberlis.com askinner@airdberlis.com
31[M]	Hanson Development Group [Resolved]	Patricia Foran	pforan@airdberlis.com
32	Town of New Tecumseth	James Feehely	jfeehely@fglawyers.ca
33	Township of Adjala-Tosorontio	Stephen Waqué Isaac Tang	swaque@blg.com itang@blg.com
34	Robert Schickedanz in Trust	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
35	2115441 Ontario Inc.	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
36	Carson Trail Estates Inc.	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
37	Sucession Financial Group Inc.	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
38	Bond Head Properties Inc.	Susan Rosenthal Meghan McDermid	susanr@davieshowe.com meaghanm@davieshowe.com
39	39a 2000463 Ontario Limited & 39b Angelo & Yvette Santorelli	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
40	Rayville Developments (Alliston) Inc.	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
41	Copperglen Estates Inc.	David White A-G D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca

ATTACHMENT "B" LIST OF PARTIES- COUNTY OF SIMCOE OFFICIAL PLAN PL091167 Nov 9, 2015

			Nov 9, 2015
No.	Party	Lawyer/Agent*	E-mail Address
A	Ministry of Municipal	Ken Hare	ken.hare@ontario.ca
	Affairs and Housing	Ugo Popadic	Ugo.Popadic@ontario.ca
В	Town of Collingwood	Leo Longo	llongo@airdberlis.com
C	C1 Town of Penetanguishene	Edward Veldboom	avaldle a am Omuggall aleviation a am
	C2 Township of Ramara	Edward Veldboom	eveldboom@russellchristie.com
Т	Town of Innisfil	Quinto Annibale/	qannibale@loonix.com
D	Town of innistit	Mark Joblin	mjoblin@loonix.com
E	Town of Bradford W-G	Tom Halinski	thalinski@airdberlis.com
F	Town of Midland	Paul Peterson	ppeterson@hgrgp.ca
	G1 Township of Clearview		
G	G2 Township of Tiny	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
	G3 Town of Wasaga Beach		
-11-	-{Now Appellant 32}		
- I -	-[Now Appellant-33]		
	J1 Ontario Stone, Sand and	Mary Bull	mbull@woodbull.ca
	Gravel Association		
	J2 CBM Aggregates, a division of St. Marys Cement (Canada) Inc		
J	J3 Lafarge Canada Inc.		
	J4 Holcim (Canada) Inc.		
	J5 James Dick Construction		
	Limited		
	J6 Walker Aggregates Inc.		
K[M]	Georgian International Land Corp. [Resolved]	Mary Bull	mbull@woodbull.ca
L	San Marco in Lamis Ltd.	Michael Vaughan	michaelbvaughan@yahoo.ca
-M-	White Water Holdings Ltd.	Resolved	
-N-	-{Now Appellant 28}		
-0-	No Jet Construction Inc.	Withdrawn	
ļ	Remington Homes (Manhattan) Inc.		
P	Sleeping Lion Development	John Dawson	jdawson@mccarthy.ca
	Corporation	John Dongs	ihawaa @hawaalayy aam
Q	John Barzo Limited	John Barzo	jbarzo@barzolaw.com
R[M]	Innisfil Mapleview	Susan Rogers	susan.rogers@sdrogerslaw.ca
L	Developments Limited	[Adjourned]	
s	2133952 Ontario Inc.	Harold Elston	HEIston@barristonlaw.com
		Aynsley Anderson	AAnderson@barristonlaw.com
T	Talisker Corporation	Harold Elston	HElston@barristonlaw.com
	-	Aynsley Anderson	AAnderson@barristonlaw.com
-U-	U1&U2 [Now Appellant 27 a & b] 1651012 Ontario Ltd. now	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
	V1 Sandycove Acres Limited	Ian Nowe	HOWCWDallistomaw.com
\mathbf{v}	V2 Parkbridge Lifestyle		
	Communities Inc.		
	V3 Belmac Estate Properties Inc.	CI ' MY'II'	:11:
W	Township of Oro-Medonte	Chris Williams	cwilliams@airdberlis.com
X	998991 Ontario Inc.	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
\mathbf{Y}	Tsam Investments Ltd.	Raivo Uukkivi	ruukkivi@casselsbrock.com
		Signe Leisk	sleisk@casselsbrock.com

ATTACHMENT "C"

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS MONITORING

COUNTY OF SIMCOE OP PL091167

Jan 19, 2015

No.	Participant or Interest	Lawyer/Agent	E-mail Address
<i>1*</i> [M]	AWARE Simcoe	Sandy Agnew [M] Ann Truyens [M]	sagnew@ecomedic.ca at@iglide.net
2*[M]	PURE now ERA - Everett Ratepayers Association	Chantale Gagnon[M] David Perryman [M]	chantalegagnon@bell.net dperryman43@sympatico.ca
3[M]	Interest in Midhurst	Anna Romano	am romano@hotmail.com
8*[M]	Ontario Farmland Preservation	Bernard Pope [M]	bernard@ontariofarmlandpreservation.org
9*[M]	Simcoe County Federation of Agriculture	Colin Elliott Anne Ritchie-Nahius	rockeynol32@gmail.com nahuis@csolve.net
11[м]	11a Nutristock Corporation 11b Solmar (Healy) Corporation (re 27a, 27b)	Michael Melling Meaghan McDermid [M]-3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 5	michaelm@davieshowe.com meaghanm@davieshowe.com
<i>12</i> [M]	Township of Severn	Henry Sander	hsander@townshipofsevern.com
16*[M]	John Strong	John Strong	No e-mail given; 705-424-9350 6760 Simcoe County Road 21, R.R.#2, Alliston, Ont. L9R 1V2
18*[M]	Re 27a, 27b	Ralph MacKenzie	nvf4all@gmail.com
<i>22</i> [M]	R & M Homes Ltd. (Everett) [M]- 2a	David White Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca

^{*} Participant has testified

[[]M] Monitoring

Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 17(40) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:	County of Simcoe
Appellant:	Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.,
	and Carson Road Development Inc.
Appellant:	Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc.
Appellant:	Township of Springwater
	And Others
Subject:	Failure of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and
	Housing to announce a decision respecting the
	Official Plan for the County of Simcoe
Municipality:	County of Simcoe
OMB Case No:	PL091167
OMB File No:	PL091167

INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
Notice of Written Motion of the County of Simcoe for approval of certain policies in Phase 4a – Greenlands and Resource Conservation	1
Affidavit of Kathy Suggitt, sworn December 23, 2015	4
Exhibit "A" – Experts' Report, Nov. 25, 2015 [also filed as Ex 158]	9
Exhibit "B" – Experts' Report #2, Dec. 9, 2015	15
Exhibit "C" – Experts' Report #3, Dec. 10, 2015	22

PL091167

Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 17(40) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant: County of Simcoe

Appellant: Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.,

and Carson Road Development Inc.

Appellant: Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc.
Appellant: Township of Springwater

And Others

Subject: Failure of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and

Housing to announce a decision respecting the

Official Plan for the County of Simcoe

Municipality: County of Simcoe

OMB Case No: PL091167 OMB File No: PL091167

NOTICE OF WRITTEN MOTION (PHASE 4a – GREENLANDS AND RESOURCE CONSERVATION)

THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE is making the within Written Motion to the Ontario Municipal Board under Rule 36 of the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure pursuant to permission granted in the Order of the Board issued August 31, 2015. Any Notice of Written Response by Parties to this proceeding must be served by Friday, January 15, 2016 and the County may thereafter serve a Written Reply by Tuesday, January 19, 2016.

THE MOTION IS FOR AN ORDER:

- (a) Allowing the Official Plan appeal in part and approving, and modifying and approving, several policies for Phase 4a dealing with Greenlands and Resource Conservation as set out in paragraph 20 of the Affidavit of Kathy Suggitt, sworn December 23, 2015;
- (b) for such further and other relief as may seem just and appropriate.

THE GROUNDS FOR THE MOTION ARE:

- (a) The experts for parties registered for involvement in Phase 4a Greenlands and Resource Conservation of the hearing met and supported or did not oppose or had no opinion on certain policies and modified policies resolving concerns for various matters to be considered in the Phase 4a hearing.
- (b) The experts produced 3 Experts' Reports dated Nov. 25, Dec. 9 and Dec. 10 setting out certain Phase 4a policies to be approved or modified and approved.
- (c) The policies and modified policies provide a suitable and appropriate policy framework for implementation of the Growth Plan.
- (d) The policies and modified policies are consistent with the PPS 2014, conform with relevant Provincial policy and represent good planning.
- (e) Approval as sought would resolve appeals and concerns of certain parties.
- (f) Relief under Rule 36 to hold the motion in writing was granted by the Board in its Order issued August 31, 2015 and the scheduled dates for Responses and Replies were secured from the Board's caseworker;
- (g) Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Board may deem necessary.
- (h) *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, ss. 17(40), 17(45), 17(50).

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at

the hearing of the motion:

- (a) the Affidavit of Kathy Suggitt, sworn December 23, 2015, and the Exhibits attached thereto;
- (b) the 3 Reports of Meetings of Expert Witnesses on Phase 4a contained in the said Affidavit as Exhibits A, B and C;
- (c) the pleadings, proceedings and exhibits filed herein;
- (d) such further and other material as counsel may advise and this Board may permit.

DECEMBER 23, 2015

THOMSON, ROGERS Barristers and Solicitors Suite 3100, 390 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN 416-868-3157 Fax No. 416-868-3134

Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

PL091167

Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 17(40) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.,

and Carson Road Development Inc.

Appellant:

Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc.

Appellant:

Township of Springwater

And Others

Subject:

Failure of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and

Housing to announce a decision respecting the

Official Plan for the County of Simcoe

Municipality:

County of Simcoe

OMB Case No:

PL091167

OMB File No:

PL091167

AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT, MCIP, RPP

Phase 4a – Greenlands and Resource Conservation

I, KATHY SUGGITT, MCIP, RPP, of the City of Barrie, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. I am the Manager of Policy Planning in the Planning Department at the County of Simcoe (the "County"). As such, I have knowledge of the matters deposed to herein.
- 2. I am a Full Member of the Canadian Institute of Planners and a Registered Professional Planner in the Province of Ontario. I have 25 years of experience in private and public sector planning. A copy of my Curriculum Vitae has previously been filed in these proceedings as attachment A to Motion Record Exhibit 7.
- 3. I have been directly involved in matters respecting the County's updated Official Plan at all stages of the process since August 2008 leading to its adoption by the County on November 25, 2008 through to the endorsement of the proposed modified Plan by County Council on January 22, 2013 and to the present including OMB proceedings to date.

Greenlands and Resource Conservation Policies – Phase 4a

- 4. In an oral decision on April 19, 2013 and confirmed in a memorandum dated June 13, 2013 the Board approved parts of the County Official Plan with the exception of sections that remain under appeal either County-wide or on a site-specific basis. All of Section 3.8 Greenlands and all of Section 4.5 Resource Conservation policies remained under appeal. As well policies 3.3.15 to 3.3.17 and policy 3.13.49(b) remained under appeal. The following Definitions also remained under appeal: Adjacent Lands, Coastal Wetland, Environmental Impact Statement "EIS", Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage), Natural Heritage System, Significant, Significant Woodlands, Valleylands, Watershed Management (Plan), Wildlife Habitat, and Woodlands. Also Schedule 5.2.2 and Appendices 1 and 4 remained under appeal. At the outset, the expert witnesses for the parties registered in this phase agreed that policy 3.3.21 should also be considered in this phase.
- 5. The expert witnesses for the parties registered in this phase of the hearing met on several occasions to try to resolve issues and/or reduce the number of issues. Arising from the meetings, three Experts' Reports were provided to the County solicitor, who has circulated the reports to the Board and to all parties and participants. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the first Experts' Report dated November 25, 2015. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the Experts' Report #2 dated December 9, 2015. Attached as Exhibit "C" is a copy of the Experts' Report #3 dated December 10, 2015.

Policies and Definitions with No Modifications

6. As reflected in **Exhibits "A"**, "**B"** and "**C"**, the experts have reached agreement on some of the policies and definitions under appeal based on the adopted wording, with no modifications. The Attachments to the Experts' Reports contain the policies and definitions. The experts either support or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following policies and definitions, as adopted, with no modifications: 3.3.17, 3.8.1, 3.8.5, 3.8.6, 3.8.8, 3.8.24, 4.5 Pre-amble, 4.5.4, 4.5.5, 4.5.9, 4.5.18, 4.5.19, 4.5.24, 4.5.25, 4.5.29, 4.5.30, 4.5.32, 4.5.34, 4.5.36, 4.5.38, 4.5.42, 4.5.43, 4.5.44, *Valleylands, Watershed Management Plan* and *Wildlife Habitat*.

Proposed Modifications

7. The experts involved in the discussions reached agreement that certain new definitions should be included in the Plan as they are included in policies and are defined terms in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014. The new definitions proposed to be added to the Plan, as reflected in the Attachment to the Experts' Report #2 in Exhibit "B" are: Essential Emergency Service, Habitat of Endangered Species and Threatened Species. Institutional Use, and Two Zone Concept.

- 8. The experts involved in the discussions reached agreement on some of the policies under appeal based on proposed modifications. The Attachment to the Experts' Report in **Exhibit "C"** reflects the following policies as proposed to be modified: 3.3.18, 3.3.21, 3.13.49(b), 3.8.17, 4.5.1, 4.5.2, 4.5.6, 4.5.10, 4.5.11, 4.5.12, 4.5.13, 4.5.14, 4.5.15, 4.5.16, 4.5.17, 4.5.20, 4.5.21, 4.5.22, 4.5.33, 4.5.45, 4.5.46 and 4.5.47.
- 9. The experts involved in the discussions reached agreement on some of the definitions under appeal based on proposed modifications. The Attachment to the Experts' Report #2 in Exhibit "B" reflects the following definitions as proposed to be modified: Coastal Wetland, Environmental Impact Statement "EIS", Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage), Natural Heritage System and Woodlands.
- 10. Several of the proposed modifications are considered necessary to ensure the policies and definitions are consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014.
- 11. The proposed modifications to policies 3.3.18, 3.3.21, 3.13.49(b), 4.5.1, 4.5.6, 4.5.14, 4.5.15, 4.5.16, 4.5.17, 4.5.20, 4.5.22, 4.5.33 and 4.5.47 are all very minor wording clarifications to address the clarity of interpretation.
- 12. The proposed modifications to policies 4.5.2, 4.5.10, 4.5.11, 4.5.12, 4.5.13, 4.5.21, 4.5.45 and 4.5.46 are based on consistency with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014 or other Provincial legislation and to ensure clarification in their interpretation.
- 13. The proposed modifications to policy 3.8.17 set out the requirements for approvals when an infrastructure proposal is covered under an environmental assess process or not.

Policies and Definitions that Remain Under Appeal with No Agreement to Date

- 14. There is no agreement amongst the expert witnesses at this time on the following policies: 3.3.15, 3.3.16, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.8.4, 3.8.7, 3.8.9, 3.8.10, 3.8.11, 3.8.12, 3.8.13, 3.8.14, 3.8.15, 3.8.16, 3.8.18, 3.8.19, 3.8.20, 3.8.21, 3.8.22, 3.8.23, 4.5.3, 4.5.7, 4.5.8, 4.5.23, 4.5.26, 4.5.27, 4.5.28, 4.5.31, 4.5.35, 4.5.37, 4.5.39, 4.5.40, 4.5.41. As such these policies remain under appeal.
- 15. There is no agreement amongst the expert witnesses at this time on the following definitions: *Adjacent Lands, Significant* and *Significant Woodlands*. As such these definitions remain under appeal.
- 16. The expert witnesses with interest in the above matters that remain under appeal may continue discussions with the prospect of further refining the issues to be addressed at the hearing or reaching final resolution.

Summary Opinion

- 17. The three Experts' Reports dated November 25, 2015, December 9, 2015 and December 10, 2015 accurately reflect the agreement amongst the expert witnesses based on meetings up to November 6, 2015.
- 18. It is my professional planning opinion that the adopted policies and definitions listed in paragraph 6, the new definitions proposed to be added as listed in paragraph 7, and the proposed modifications to the policies and definitions listed in paragraphs 8 and 9 and all contained in the Attachments to the Experts Reports attached as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C", accurately reflect the agreement reached by the experts involved in Phase 4a of this hearing, on the understanding that the experts either support or do not oppose/have no opinion on the adopted policies and definitions or any proposed modifications.
- 19. The policies and definitions addressed in this Affidavit seeking approval of this set of the Phase 4a policies and definitions including the proposed modifications would bring the policies and definitions into conformity with relevant Provincial policy. The modifications are consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014, conform with the Growth Plan and represent good planning.
- 20.1 make this Affidavit in support of the County's request for an order of the Board to allow the appeal in part of the Official Plan and to approve certain policies and definitions as adopted, and to modify and approve certain additional policies and definitions, and to add certain new definitions, all as detailed in the Attachments to Exhibits "A", "B" and "C" as follows:

```
a. To approve 3.3.17;
```

- b. To approve 3.8.1;
- c. To approve 3.8.5;
- d. To approve 3.8.6;
- e. To approve 3.8.8;
- f. To approve 3.8.24;
- g. To approve 4.5 Pre-amble;
- h. To approve 4.5.4;
- i. To approve 4.5.5;
- j. To approve 4.5.9;
- k. To approve 4.5.18;
- I. To approve 4.5.19;
- m. To approve 4.5.24;
- n. To approve 4.5.25;
- o. To approve 4.5.29;
- p. To approve 4.5.30;
- q. To approve 4.5.32;
- r. To approve 4.5.34;
- s. To approve 4.5.36;

- t. To approve 4.5.38;
- u. To approve 4.5.42;
- v. To approve 4.5.43;
- w. To approve 4.5.44;
- x. To approve the Definitions of Valleylands, Watershed Management Plan, and Wildlife Habitat;
- y. To add new Definitions of Essential Emergency Service, Habitat of Endangered Species and Threatened Species, Institutional Use and Two Zone Concept;
- z. To modify and approve 3.3.18;
- aa. To modify and approve 3.3.21;
- bb. To modify and approve 3.13.49(b);
- cc. To modify and approve 3.8.17;
- dd. To modify and approve 4.5.1;
- ee. To modify and approve 4.5.2;
- ff. To modify and approve 4.5.6;
- gg. To modify and approve 4.5.10;
- hh. To modify and approve 4.5.11;
- ii. To modify and approve 4.5.12;
- jj. To modify and approve 4.5.13;
- like To modify and approve 4.5.10
- kk. To modify and approve 4.5.14;
- II. To modify and approve 4.5.15;
- mm. To modify and approve 4.5.16;
 - nn. To modify and approve 4.5.17;
 - oo. To modify and approve 4.5.20;
 - pp. To modify and approve 4.5.21;
 - qq. To modify and approve 4.5.22;
 - rr. To modify and approve 4.5.33
 - ss. To modify and approve 4.5.45;
 - tt. To modify and approve 4.5.46;
 - uu. To modify and approve 4.5.47;
 - vv. To modify and approve the Definitions of: Coastal Wetland, Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage), Natural Heritage System and Woodlands; and

ww. To retain the remaining policies and definitions in Phase 4a under appeal.

SWORN BEFORE ME

at the Township of Springwater in the County of Simcoe this 23rd day of December, 2015.

Commissioner for Taking Oaths, etc.

Amanda Flynn, Deputy Clerk A Commissioner for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe KATHY SUGGITT

THIS IS EXHIBIT "A" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 23rd DAY OF DECEMBER, 2015.

A Commissioner, etc.

Amanda Flynn, Deputy Clerk A Commissioner for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe OMB File No: PL091167

County of Simcoe Official Plan

Experts' Report on Phase 4a (Greenlands and Resource Conservation)

Dated November 25, 2015

Expert Planning Witnesses Registered for Phase 4a of the Hearing:

Anthony Biglieri - Tesmar Holdings Inc. (Appellant Party 10)

David Butler - Crestwood Park Holdings (appellant status pending)

Tim Cane & Steve Montgomery - Town of Innisfil (Party D)

Brent Clarkson & Brian Zeman – OSSGA and others (Parties J1-J6)

Mark Dorfman - Township of Ramara (Party C2)

Ray Duhamel - D. G. Pratt Construction Limited (Appellant Party 30)

Brian Goodreid - Narinder Mann (Party 17)

Bob Lehman - 2133952 Ontario Inc. (Party S) & Talisker Corporation (Party T)

Andria Leigh - Township of Oro-Medonte (Party W)

Marie Leroux - Township of Clearview (Party G1)

Paul Lowes – Carson Road Development Inc. & Midhurst Development Doran Road (Appellant Parties 2a and 2b)

Darryl Lyons & Aldo Ingraldi - Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (Party A)

Nicola Mitchinson – Simcoe Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8e) and Royal Heights Estates (Appellant Party 8f)

Shawn Persaud & Kris Menzies - Township of Tiny (Party G2)

Celeste Phillips - Innisfil Beach Farms (Appellant Party 14)

Tim Schilling - Town of New Tecumseth (Appellant Party 32)

Brent Spagnol & Chris Russell - Township of Springwater (Appellant Party 6)

Kathy Suggitt, Rachelle Hamelin & Tiffany Thompson - County of Simcoe (Appellant Party 1)

Jacquie Tschekalin - Township of Adjala-Tosorontio (Appellant Party 33)

Darren Vella – McMahan Woods Developments Ltd. (Appellant Party 13) & Janie & David Wright (Appellant Party 11)

Peter Walker - Ontario Potato Distributing Inc. & 1567210 Ontario Limited (Appellant Parties 27a & 27b)

Phase 4a Policies:

The policies remaining under appeal in Phase 4a – Greenlands and Resource Conservation, of the hearing include: all of Section 3.8 Greenlands, all of Section 4.5 Resource Conservation, policies 3.3.15 – 3.3.17, 3.13.49(b), the following definitions of *Adjacent Lands, Coastal Wetland, Environmental Impact Statement "EIS", Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage), Natural Heritage System, Significant, Significant Woodlands, Valleylands, Watershed Management (Plan), Wildlife Habitat, and Woodlands, Schedule 5.2.2 and Appendices 1 and 4.*

Experts Agreement

The experts representing parties registered in Phase 4a have met several times to discuss the policies and issues lists. Some experts did not attend any or all of the meetings as they

represent parties who are registered in a monitoring capacity only. The experts have agreed that supporting or not opposing/having no opinion on a particular policy in Phase 4a where that policy includes a cross reference to other policies in the Plan should not prejudice or infer support of the cross referenced policy.

Policies with No Modifications:

The experts who have participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Policies as they appear in the proposed County Official Plan, with no modifications:

- 3.3.17
- 3.8.1
- 3.8.5
- 3.8.6
- 3.8.8
- 4.5 Pre-amble
- 4.5.4
- 4.5.5
- 4.5.9
- 4.5.18
- 4.5.19
- 4.5.24
- 4.5.29
- 4.5.30
- 4.5.32
- 4.5.36
- 4.5.384.5.42
- 1.0.12
- 4.5.43
- 4.5.44

Note: Appellant Party 13 maintains a site specific appeal.

The experts have agreed to continue discussions on the remaining policies and definitions to try to resolve issues or narrow the scope of the issues. The experts will report to the Board at a later date on any further agreement.

Report prepared by Kathy Suggitt, County of Simcoe On behalf of the expert witnesses.

Kith Lugget

Attachment to Experts' Report on Phase 4a – Greenlands and Resource Conservation

Policies with No Modifications:

3.3.17 Natural heritage conservation and forestry uses are permitted in all designations of this *Plan*, subject to Section 3.8 and *County* and *local municipal* bylaws.

Section 3.8 Greenlands

Objectives:

- 3.8.1 To protect and restore the natural character, form, function, and connectivity of the natural heritage system of the County of Simcoe, and to sustain the natural heritage features and areas and ecological functions of the Greenlands and local natural heritage systems for future generations.
- **3.8.5** To contribute to the protection, improvement, and restoration of the quality and quantity of surface water and ground water and the function of *sensitive surface water features* and *sensitive ground water features* within the *County*.
- 3.8.6 To ensure that the Greenlands Designation complements and supports the natural heritage systems established in provincial plans and is linked with the natural heritage systems of adjacent jurisdictions, and to require local municipalities to identify and protect natural features and ecological functions that in turn complement and support the Greenlands.
- **3.8.8** To provide opportunities for natural heritage enjoyment and appreciation and for recreational and tourism uses in keeping with the Greenlands objectives, that foster healthy and liveable communities and enhance the sense of place and quality of life that characterize the *County*.

Section 4.5 Resource Conservation

Water is a crucial resource to almost every form of land use and economic sector. The resource traverses municipal boundaries and is subject to intensive use affecting its quality and available quantity. Water conservation, or the wise management of it as a resource, is essential; watershed-based planning is needed, including assessment of cumulative effects of water use. The *County* wishes to promote the gathering of information regarding water resources and watershed-based management of the resource.

Landform and soil conservation are also important for environmental, economic and social reasons. Landform features such as moraines must be managed wisely. Energy conservation and alternative energy and renewable energy systems must also be wisely planned and managed for the overall benefit to the *County* and the environment.

- **4.5.4** Development in the County shall occur in a manner that will protect human life and property from water related hazards such as flooding and erosion. Flood plain management shall occur on a watershed management basis giving due consideration to the upstream, downstream, and cumulative effects of development.
- 4.5.5 The County will work with local municipalities, Conservation Authorities, Source Protection Authorities, Parks Canada- Trent-Severn Waterway, and other Provincial agencies in the development of watershed and sub-watershed management plans. This may include the determination of cumulative flooding risks and impacts and the determination of a river system's capacity to assimilate effluent from point and non-point sources.
- **4.5.9** Development shall generally be directed to areas outside of:
 - a) hazardous lands adjacent to the shorelines of the Great Lakes St. Lawrence River System and large inland lakes which are impacted by flooding hazards, erosion hazards and/or dynamic beach hazards;
 - b) hazardous lands adjacent to river, stream and small inland lake systems which are impacted by flooding hazards and/or erosion hazards; and
 - c) hazardous sites.
- **4.5.18** Development will be prohibited on slopes and ravines which could be subject to active erosion hazards or historic slope failure.
- **4.5.19** Minerals and petroleum resources shall be protected for long-term use.
- **4.5.24** New *development* and *redevelopment* should be sufficiently set back from rivers, streams, and lakes within the *County* in order to develop vegetative corridors along shorelines and watercourses. The *development* setback distance shall be determined on-site in consultation with a *qualified professional* at the applicant's expense. The following factors shall be considered when establishing the setback distance, established through an *EIS* and slope stability report if necessary, with the intent of protecting *significant natural heritage features* and *ecological functions*, providing riparian habitat, and minimizing risk to public safety and property:
 - i. soil type;
 - ii. vegetation type and cover;
 - iii. slope of the land including existing drainage patterns;
 - iv. natural heritage features and ecological functions including fish habitat,
 - v. the nature of the development,

- vi. defined portions of dynamic beaches; and
- vii. flooding and erosion hazards.
- **4.5.29** In shoreline areas, a Stormwater Management Report shall be prepared in accordance with Section 3.3.18 of this *Plan*, for *developments* identified in 3.3.18, to the satisfaction of the appropriate approval authorities.
- **4.5.30** Where individual on-site sewage services and individual on-site water services are provided to existing lots or new developments, local municipalities shall establish minimum lot sizes sufficient to ensure sustainable development and no impact on water quality or water quantity.
- **4.5.32** Development proposed near lakes and water bodies with an established management plan shall be developed in accordance with the management plan. The *County* encourages the preparation of such plans, and will participate in their preparation.
- **4.5.36** The *County* shall continue to acquire County Forest Lands in accordance with the County Forest Acquisition Principles.
- **4.5.38** The *County* encourages forestry management practices that sustain the viability of both the woodlot and the harvest of woodland products.
- **4.5.42** The *County* supports the Niagara Escarpment Plan and the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan and will assist in ensuring *development* takes place in accordance with those plans and according to Sections 3.10 and 3.11 of this *Plan* respectively.
- 4.5.43 The County encourages local municipalities to pass bylaws to restrict the removal and movement of topsoil before appropriate development agreements are in place. The removal of topsoil or vegetation, or other disturbances of land, associated with a proposed land use change, should not proceed until approvals have been granted under the Planning Act. Where such activities take place to foster a development application prior to its consideration and approval, such activities will not be considered a basis for supporting the land use change.
- 4.5.44 This Plan promotes improved air quality through land use development patterns that promote compact and mixed use development, transit usage where appropriate, alternative transportation and active transportation systems, and forest management and reforestation efforts as a means of fostering maintenance and improvement of air quality. The County will work in co-operation with the appropriate agencies to assist in the maintenance and improvement of air quality in the County.

THIS IS EXHIBIT "B" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT SWORN BEFORE ME THIS

23rd DAY OF DECEMBER, 2015.

A Commissioner, etc.

Amanda Flynn, Deputy Clerk
A Commissioner for the
Corporation of the
County of Simcoe

County of Simcoe Official Plan

Experts' Report #2 on Phase 4a (Greenlands and Resource Conservation)

Dated December 9, 2015

Expert Planning Witnesses Registered for Phase 4a of the Hearing:

Anthony Biglieri – Tesmar Holdings Inc. (Appellant Party 10)

David Butler - Crestwood Park Holdings (appellant status pending)

Tim Cane & Steve Montgomery – Town of Innisfil (Party D)

Brent Clarkson & Brian Zeman - OSSGA and others (Parties J1-J6)

Mark Dorfman – Township of Ramara (Party C2)

Ray Duhamel - D. G. Pratt Construction Limited (Appellant Party 30)

Brian Goodreid - Narinder Mann (Party 17)

Bob Lehman - 2133952 Ontario Inc. (Party S) & Talisker Corporation (Party T)

Andria Leigh - Township of Oro-Medonte (Party W)

Marie Leroux - Township of Clearview (Party G1)

Paul Lowes - Carson Road Development Inc. & Midhurst Development Doran Road (Appellant Parties 2a and 2b)

Darryl Lyons & Aldo Ingraldi - Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (Party A)

Nicola Mitchinson – Simcoe Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8e), Royal Heights Estates (Appellant Party 8f) and 2115441 Ontario Inc. (Appellant Party 35)

Shawn Persaud & Kris Menzies - Township of Tiny (Party G2)

Celeste Phillips - Innisfil Beach Farms (Appellant Party 14)

Tim Schilling/ Bruce Hoppe- Town of New Tecumseth (Appellant Party 32)

Brent Spagnol & Chris Russell - Township of Springwater (Appellant Party 6)

Kathy Suggitt, Rachelle Hamelin & Tiffany Thompson - County of Simcoe (Appellant Party 1)

Jacquie Tschekalin - Township of Adjala-Tosorontio (Appellant Party 33)

Darren Vella – McMahan Woods Developments Ltd. (Appellant Party 13) & Janis & David Wright (Appellant Party 11)

Peter Walker – Ontario Potato Distributing Inc. & 1567210 Ontario Limited (Appellant Parties 27a & 27b)

Experts Meetings September 17 to November 6, 2015:

Further to the Experts' Report dated November 25, 2015, this Experts' Report #2 is a further report to the Board on agreement amongst the experts who participated in the meetings up to and including November 6, 2015.

Phase 4a Policies:

The policies remaining under appeal in Phase 4a – Greenlands and Resource Conservation, of the hearing include: all of Section 3.8 Greenlands, all of Section 4.5 Resource Conservation, policies 3.3.15 – 3.3.17, 3.13.49(b), the following definitions of Adjacent Lands, Coastal Wetland, Environmental Impact Statement "EIS", Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage), Natural Heritage System, Significant, Significant Woodlands, Valleylands, Watershed Management (Plan), Wildlife Habitat, and Woodlands, Schedule 5.2.2 and Appendices 1 and 4.

Experts Agreement

The experts representing parties registered in Phase 4a met several times, the most recent being November 6, 2015, to discuss the policies and issues lists. Some experts did not attend any or all of the meetings as they represent parties who are registered in a monitoring capacity only. The experts have agreed that supporting or not opposing/having no opinion on a particular policy in Phase 4a where that policy includes a cross reference to other policies in the Plan should not prejudice or infer support of the cross referenced policy, unless that policy is already in effect. The experts also agreed that supporting or not opposing/having no opinion on any given Definition does not prejudice or infer support of any cross referenced defined term, unless that other term is already in effect.

New Definitions from the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014:

The experts who have participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion that the following new Definitions, as detailed in the Attachment, should be added to the Plan as they are consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014:

- Essential Emergency Service
- Habitat of Endangered Species and Threatened Species
- Institutional Use
- Two Zone Concept

Definitions with Proposed Modifications:

The experts who have participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Definitions with proposed modifications, as detailed in the Attachment:

- Coastal Wetland
- Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
- Natural Heritage Features and Areas (Natural Heritage)
- Natural Heritage System
- Woodlands

Definitions as adopted, with No Modifications:

The experts who have participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Definitions as adopted, with no modifications:

- Valleylands
- Watershed Management Plan
- Wildlife Habitat

NOTE: Appellant Party 13 maintains a site-specific appeal.

The experts will provide a further report(s) to the Board if there is any further agreement on the remaining policies and definitions in this Phase.

Report prepared by Kathy Suggitt, County of Simcoe

On behalf of the expert witnesses.

Attachment to Experts' Report #2 on Phase 4a - Greenlands and Resource Conservation

Add the following New Definitions from PPS, 2014:

ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY SERVICE: means services which would be impaired during an emergency as a result of flooding, the failure of flood-proofing measures and/or protection works, and/or erosion.

HABITAT OF ENDANGERED SPECIES AND THREATENED SPECIES: means:

- a) with respect to a species listed on the Species at Risk in Ontario List as an endangered or threatened species for which a regulation made under clause 55(1)(a) of the Endangered Species Act, 2007 is in force, the area prescribed by that regulation as the habitat of the species; or
- b) with respect to any other species listed on the Species at Risk in Ontario List as an endangered or threatened species, an area on which the species depends, directly or indirectly, to carry on its life processes, including life processes such as reproduction, rearing, hibernation, migration or feeding, as approved by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources; and

places in the areas described in clause (a) or (b), whichever is applicable, that are used by members of the species as dens, nests, hibernacula or other residences.

INSTITUTIONAL USE: for the purposes of policy 4.5.11, means land uses where there is a threat to the safe evacuation of vulnerable populations such as older persons, persons with disabilities, and those who are sick or young, during an emergency as a result of flooding, failure of floodproofing measures or protection works, or erosion.

TWO ZONE CONCEPT: means an approach to *flood plain* management where the *flood plain* is differentiated in two parts: the *floodway* and the *flood fringe*.

Definitions with Proposed Modifications:

COASTAL WETLAND: means any *wetland* that is located on Georgian Bay or any other *wetland* that is on a tributary to Georgian Bay and lies, either wholly or in part, downstream of a line located 2 kilometres upstream of the 1:100 year floodline (plus wave run-up) of Georgian Bay. to which the tributary is connected.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS): An EIS is means a report or document that that identifies and describes natural heritage features and areas and ecological functions and determines and evaluates the implications of proposed development or infrastructure and its interactions with the natural heritage features and areas and ecological functions of an area. An EIS must determine whether the likelihood of negative impacts occurring on the natural heritage features and areas and ecological functions is definite or probable if the development proceeds under a given proposed design. The EIS will determine the need for modifications to proposed plans, buffers, and other mitigation strategies to demonstrate that there will be no negative impacts on natural heritage features and areas and ecological functions of the County or local natural heritage systems. In the case of infrastructure, the EIS will demonstrate only such negative impacts as are consistent with Sections 3.8.17 through 3.8.20 of this Plan. (For purposes of this Appendix, all further references to development also include, unless otherwise indicated and to the extent the policies of this Plan applies to it, infrastructure.)

NATURAL HERITAGE FEATURES AND AREAS (NATURAL HERITAGE): means where used as general terms refer to features and areas, including significant wetlands, significant and other coastal wetlands, habitat of endangered species and threatened species, fish habitat, significant woodlands, significant wildlife habitat, significant valleylands, and significant areas of natural and scientific interest, which are important for their environmental and social values as a legacy of the natural landscapes of an area.

NATURAL HERITAGE SYSTEM: means a system made up of *natural heritage features and areas*, and linkages intended to provide connectivity (at a regional or site level) and support natural processes linked by natural corridors which are necessary to maintain biological and geological diversity, natural functions, viable populations of indigenous species and ecosystems. These systems can include *natural heritage features and areas*, federal and provincial parks and conservation reserves, other natural heritage features, lands that have been restored or have and areas with the potential to be restored to a natural state, areas that support hydrologic functions, and working landscapes that enable ecological functions to continue. The Province has a recommended approach for identifying *natural heritage systems*, but municipal approaches that achieve or exceed the same objective may also be used.

WOODLANDS: means treed areas that provide environmental and economic benefits to both the private landowner and the general public, such as erosion prevention, hydrological and nutrient cycling, provision of clean air and the long-term storage of carbon, provision of wildlife habitat, outdoor recreational opportunities, and the sustainable harvest of a wide range of woodland products. *Woodlands* include treed areas, woodlots or forested areas and vary in their level of significance at the local, regional and provincial levels. *Woodlands* may be delineated according to the *Forestry Act* definition or the Province's Ecological Land Classification system definition for "forest".

Definitions, as adopted, with No Modifications:

VALLEYLANDS: means:

- within areas of conservation authority jurisdiction, all lands below/within the stable top of bank, predicted top of stable slope, flood plain limit, or predicted meander belt limit, as prescribed in Section 2(b) of each conservation authority's Regulation of Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses regulation under the Conservation Authorities Act;
- in the rest of the County, valleylands as defined by the Provincial Policy Statement.

WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN: refers to a report or to information regarding a watershed or sub-watershed which establishes guidelines for *development* and best land use practices and management to conserve:

- ground and surface water quality and quantity
- natural heritage features
- woodlands
- fish habitat
- · recharge, discharge, and headwater areas

WILDLIFE HABITAT: means areas where plants, animals and other organisms live, and find adequate amounts of food, water, shelter and space needed to sustain their populations. Specific wildlife habitats of concern may include areas where species concentrate at a vulnerable point in their annual or life cycle; and areas which are important to migratory or non-migratory species.

NOTE: Appellant Party 13 maintains a site-specific appeal.

THIS IS EXHIBIT "C" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 23rd DAY OF DECEMBER, 2015.

A Commissioner, etc.

Amanda Flynn, Deputy Clerk
A Commissioner for the
Corporation of the
County of Simcoe

OMB File No: PL091167

County of Simcoe Official Plan

Experts' Report #3 on Phase 4a (Greenlands and Resource Conservation)

Dated December 10, 2015

Expert Planning Witnesses Registered for Phase 4a of the Hearing:

Anthony Biglieri – Tesmar Holdings Inc. (Appellant Party 10)

David Butler - Crestwood Park Holdings (appellant status pending)

Tim Cane & Steve Montgomery - Town of Innisfil (Party D)

Brent Clarkson & Brian Zeman – OSSGA and others (Parties J1-J6)

Mark Dorfman - Township of Ramara (Party C2)

Ray Duhamel - D. G. Pratt Construction Limited (Appellant Party 30)

Brian Goodreid - Narinder Mann (Party 17)

Bob Lehman - 2133952 Ontario Inc. (Party S) & Talisker Corporation (Party T)

Andria Leigh - Township of Oro-Medonte (Party W)

Marie Leroux - Township of Clearview (Party G1)

Paul Lowes – Carson Road Development Inc. & Midhurst Development Doran Road (Appellant Parties 2a and 2b)

Darryl Lyons & Aldo Ingraldi - Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (Party A)

Nicola Mitchinson – Simcoe Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8e), Royal Heights Éstates (Appellant Party 8f) and 2115441 Ontario Inc. (Appellant Party 35)

Shawn Persaud & Kris Menzies - Township of Tiny (Party G2)

Celeste Phillips - Innisfil Beach Farms (Appellant Party 14)

Tim Schilling/ Bruce Hoppe- Town of New Tecumseth (Appellant Party 32)

Brent Spagnol & Chris Russell - Township of Springwater (Appellant Party 6)

Kathy Suggitt, Rachelle Hamelin & Tiffany Thompson - County of Simcoe (Appellant Party 1)

Jacquie Tschekalin - Township of Adjala-Tosorontio (Appellant Party 33)

Darren Vella – McMahan Woods Developments Ltd. (Appellant Party 13) & Janis & David Wright (Appellant Party 11)

Peter Walker – Ontario Potato Distributing Inc. & 1567210 Ontario Limited (Appellant Parties 27a & 27b)

Experts Meetings September 17 to November 6, 2015:

Further to the Experts' Report 1 dated November 25, 2015, and Experts' Report 2, dated December 9, 2015, this is a further report to the Board on agreement amongst the experts who participated in the meetings that have taken place up to and including November 6, 2015.

The experts have agreed that supporting or not opposing/having no opinion on a particular policy in Phase 4a where that policy includes a cross reference to other policies or definitions in the Plan should not prejudice or infer support of the cross reference, unless it is already in effect.

Policies with Proposed Modifications:

The experts who have participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Policies with proposed modifications, as detailed in the Attachment:

- 3.3.18
- 3.3.21
- 3.13.49(b)
- 3.8.17
- 4.5.1
- 4.5.2
- 4.5.6
- 4.5.10
- 4.5.11
- 4.5.12
- 4.5.13
- 4.5.14
- 4.5.15
- 4.5.16
- 4.5.17
- 4.5.20
- 4.5.21
- 4.5.22
- 4.5.33
- 4.5.45
- 4.5.46
- 4.5.47

Policy with No Modifications:

The experts who participated in the discussions have reached agreement or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Policy, as adopted, with no modification:

- 3.8.24
- 4.5.25
- 4.5.34

NOTE: Party 13 maintains a site-specific appeal, as such the expert witness does not object to the above-noted policies in the two lists above with that proviso.

Report prepared by Kathy Suggitt, County of Simcoe On behalf of the expert witnesses.

Kathy Sugget

Attachment to Experts' Report 3 on Phase 4a (Greenlands and Resource Conservation)

Proposed Modifications: Stormwater Management

3.3.18 Local municipalities are encouraged to establish stormwater management policies relating to development associated with new plans of subdivision and condominium and other large scale development in order to protect, maintain and enhance improve or restore the quality and quantity of water resources and related natural heritage systems. The policies will consider the requirement for a Stormwater Management (SWM) Report to address the impacts of the development on: stormwater runoff volumes; water quality; erosion and sedimentation; and environmental features, including fish habitat.

Subject to Sections 3.4 to 3.9, adjacent to *County* Roads where applicants are proposing new plans of *subdivision* and condominium; the creation of more than five (5) new lots by consent; and other large scale commercial, industrial and institutional *development*, the *County* will require a supporting SWM Report. The SWM Report shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer registered with the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario to the satisfaction of the *County* and any other applicable authority. The SWM Report shall be prepared in accordance with the Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (Ontario Ministry of Environment, 2003) and the Fish Habitat Protection Guidelines for Developing Areas (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 1994) or their successors.

Stormwater Management Reports are to address the following:

- Control post-development run-off rates to the County right-of-way to the predevelopment condition for the 1:2 year through 1:100 years design storm event or Hurricane Hazel storm (1954)/Timmins storm flooding hazard limit, whichever is greater;
- Site-specific assessment to determine whether equalization of run-off rates is actually possible and what the significance of changes in run-off rate would actually be on the particular surface water bodies which could be affected;
- maintain post development runoff water quality to meet applicable provincial/federal guidelines and standards for stormwater quality;
- All attempts should be made to maintain existing watershed boundaries and drainage patterns;
- Identify the erosion and sedimentation control measures required to be undertaken during construction to mitigate the potential *negative impacts* of the *development*;
- Describe how the stormwater plan will provide for the protection and maintenance of natural heritage systems, including fish habitat, and
- How the landscaping plans are recommended as part of the stormwater management plan as vegetation is considered as an important functional component in the design of stormwater management facilities.
- **3.3.21** Major facilities, such as utility and transportation facilities and corridors, airports, sewage treatment facilities, waste disposal sites, industrial installations, and mineral aggregate operations, and sensitive land uses shall be appropriately designed, buffered, and/or separated from each other in accordance with provincial standards and guidelines to

prevent unacceptable adverse effects from odour, noise, vibration, and other contaminants.

- NOTE: Modification to 3.13.49(b) only as the rest of the policy was approved by the OMB in April 2013
- 3.13.49 Subdivision and Site Plan Agreements

Municipalities shall ensure that site alternation is undertaken in accordance with the following measures which shall also be incorporated into subdivision agreements and site plan agreements:

- a) keep the removal of vegetation, grading and soil compaction to the minimum necessary to carry out *development* activity;
- b) removal of vegetation shall not occur more than 30 days prior to grading or construction, unless a different timeframe has been established through environmental studies to the satisfaction of the appropriate approval authority;
- c) put in place structures to control and convey runoff;
- d) minimize sediment that is eroded offsite during construction;
- e) seed exposed soils once construction is complete and seasonal conditions permit; and
- f) ensure erosion and sediment controls are implemented effectively.
- 3.8.17 Infrastructure authorized under an environmental assessment process or an EIS may be permitted within the Greenlands Designation or on adjacent lands. Infrastructure not subject to the environmental assessment process, may be permitted within the Greenlands Designation or on adjacent lands in accordance with Section 3.3.15., with consideration to the Terms of Reference of an EIS as detailed in Appendix 1 which demonstrates that there will be no negative impacts on the natural heritage features and areas or ecological functions. The environmental assessment must demonstrate that the proposed undertaking is necessary in the public interest and that all reasonable alternatives to the undertaking, including a do-nothing option, have been considered. If the affected lands are within a feature of the Greenlands designation where development is not permitted as described in Section 3.3.15, the environmental assessment must further demonstrate that the undertaking is essential in the public interest.
- **4.5.1** Land use planning and *development* decisions within the *County* shall protect, improve or restore the *quality and quantity of water* and related resources and aquatic ecosystems on an integrated *watershed management* basis.

4.5.2 Water resource systems consisting of Surface water features, ground water features, hydrologic functions, and natural heritage features and areas, and surface water features including shoreline areas which are necessary for the ecological and hydrological integrity of the watersheds within the County shall be identified in local municipal official plans, and include policies for their protection, improvement or restoration including maintaining linkages and related functions.

Development and site alteration shall also be restricted in or near sensitive surface water features and sensitive ground water features such that these features and their related hydrologic functions will be protected, improved or restored. This will be demonstrated though a Risk Assessment Study for Ground and Surface Water where applicable as outlined in Appendix 4.

Local municipal official plans shall provide that mitigative measures and/or alternative development approaches may be required in order to protect, improve or restore sensitive surface water features, sensitive ground water features, and their hydrologic functions.

- 4.5.6 Aquifers, headwater areas, and recharge and discharge areas shall be identified and protected in the policies and maps of *local municipal* official plans and/or through the *development* and *subdivision* approval process. *Development* should generally be directed away from areas with a high water table and/or highly permeable soils. In *settlement areas* or other *development* centres where this is not possible, potential environmental impacts shall be mitigated using all reasonable methods.
- 4.5.10 Development and site alteration shall not be permitted within:
 - a) the dynamic beach hazards;
 - b) areas that would be rendered inaccessible to people and vehicles during times of flooding hazards, erosion hazards and/or dynamic beach hazards, unless it has been demonstrated that the site has safe access appropriate for the nature of the development and the natural hazard; and
 - c) a *floodway* regardless of whether the area of inundation contains high points of land not subject to flooding.

Notwithstanding 4.5.9 through 4.5.17, development and site alternation may be permitted within an approved Special Policy Area(s) according to their respective policies, or where the development is limited to uses which by their nature must locate within the floodway, including flood and/or erosion control works or minor additions or passive non-structural uses which do not affect flood flows. Any change or modification to the official plan policies, land use designations or boundaries applying to Special Policy Area lands, must be approved by the Ministers of Municipal Affairs and Housing and Natural Resources prior to the approval authority approving such changes or modifications.

- an *institutional use* associated with including hospitals, long-term care nursing homes, retirement homes, pre-schools, school nurseries, day cares and schools where there is a threat to the safe evacuation of the sick, the elderly, persons with disabilities or the young during and emergency as a result of flooding, failure of floodproofing measures or protection works, or erosion;
- b) an essential emergency service such as that provided by fire, police and ambulance stations and electrical substations, which would be impaired during an emergency as a result of flooding, the failure of floodproofing measures and/or protection works, and/or erosion; and
- c) uses associated with the disposal, manufacture, treatment or storage of *hazardous* substances.

Delete 4.5.12 and replace as follows:

- **4.5.12** A *floodway* is the portion of the *flood plain* where there would be an unacceptable risk to public health and safety or property damage. *Development* and *site alteration* are not permitted within the *floodway* of a *river*, *stream*, and small inland lake systems.

 Local municipalities shall consider the potential impacts of climate change that may increase the risk associated with natural hazards.
- 4.5.13 Where there is a *Two Zone Concept* in place, a *fleed fringe* means an area where fleed depths and velocities would be less severe than those experienced in the *fleedway*. Development and site alteration may be permitted within the *flood fringe* of a *river*, stream, and inland lake systems subject to appropriate flood proofing to the *flooding hazard* elevation or another *flooding hazard* standard approved by the Minster of Natural Resources and Forestry if the following criteria can be achieved:
 - a) the hazards can be safely addressed, and the development and site alteration is carried out in accordance with floodproofing standards, protection works standards, and access standards established standards and procedures for flood fringe development;
 - b) new hazards will not be created and existing hazards are not aggravated;
 - c) no adverse environmental impacts will result;
 - d) vehicles and people have a way of safely entering and exiting the area during times of flooding, erosion, and other emergencies; and
 - e) the development does not include institutional uses, essential emergency services, or the disposal, manufacture, treatment, or storage of hazardous substances.

Generally, subject to the approval of the appropriate regulatory agencies, agricultural structures in the *flood fringe* may be rebuilt where destroyed by fire or other event.

- **4.5.14** Where there is a One Zone Concept in place, uses which may be permitted in the *flood* plain subject to the approval of the regulatory agencies include:
 - a) open space for public or private recreation and related structures;
 - b) agricultural uses and related structures, excluding dwellings;
 - c) structural works for flood and erosion-sediment control;
 - d) additions to existing structures;
 - e) replacement structures on existing lots subject to local official plan policies, and satisfactory engineering studies; and
 - f) Aggregate development provided all requirements of the *Aggregate Resources Act* and the relevant conservation authority are met.

Generally, subject to the approval of the appropriate regulatory agencies, agricultural structures in the *flood plain* may be rebuilt where destroyed by fire or other event.

- 4.5.15 Stormwater management quality and quantity facilities shall be located outside of the flood plain except as provided in the flood fringe above the 1:100 year storm event level under the establishment of a Two Zone Concept or Special Policy Area or as otherwise permitted by the Conservation Authority or other appropriate authority.
- **4.5.16** Any development or site alteration permitted in the flood plain shall require written approval from the Conservation Authority or other qualified professional appropriate authority, in consultation with the local municipality.
- **4.5.17** Delineation of the flood prone areas shall, where applicable, be identified on schedules to *local municipal* official plans. In the absence of detailed *flood plain* analysis, a *development* applicant may be required to provide a *flood plain* study as a prerequisite to any *development*, prepared to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority or other qualified professional, and the *local municipality*. The cost of preparing the study and professional review if required shall be borne by the applicant.
- **4.5.20** Mineral mining operations and petroleum resource operations shall be identified and protected from development and activities that would preclude or hinder expansions or continued use.
- 4.5.21 In areas adjacent to or in k Known mineral deposits, or known petroleum resources, and in significant areas of mineral potential shall be identified and significant areas of petroleum potential, development and activities in these resources or on adjacent lands which that would preclude or hinder the establishment of new operations or access to the resources shall be identified and only be permitted if: a) resource use would not be feasible; or b) the proposed land use or development serves a greater long-term public interests; and c) issues of public health, public safety and environmental impacts are addressed.

Human-Made Hazards

4.5.22 Development on, abutting, or adjacent to contaminated sites, lands affected by mine hazards, oil, gas, and salt hazards, or former mineral mining operations, mineral aggregate operations, or petroleum resource operations may be permitted only if rehabilitation or other measures to address and mitigate known or suspected hazards are under way or have been completed.

Sites shall be remediated as necessary prior to any activity on the site associated with the proposed use such that there will be no adverse effects.

4.5.33 New development proposed along the shoreline of Lake Huron/Georgian Bay, Lake Simcoe and other large inland lakes may require the preparation of a Coastal Engineering Study. The Coastal Engineering Study, prepared by a coastal engineer, must identify the coastal processes associated with the Lake or Bay. Where development is permitted, the Study must demonstrate the proposed mitigation measures to address the shoreline hazard. The Coastal Engineering Study must be prepared to the satisfaction of the municipality and local conservation authority or appropriate agency.

Energy Conservation and Renewable Energy

4.5.45 The County will promote energy conservation through land use development patterns that:

a) promote compact, mixed use development;

- b) promote walking, cycling active transportation and the use of transit;
- c) maximize, where appropriate, the use and production of *alternative energy systems* or renewable energy systems, such as solar, wind, biomass or geothermal energy; and
- d) maximize the use of existing natural areas and newly planted vegetation to reduce the urban heat island effect.
- 4.5.46 Renewable energy systems and A-alternative energy systems shall should be promoted, where feasible, in accordance with provincial and federal requirements. encouraged and permitted in appropriate locations within settlements, rural, and agricultural designated areas in accordance with provincial and federal requirements. In rural and agricultural designated areas these systems should be designed and constructed to minimize impacts on agricultural operation on the subject lands and to minimize negative impacts on adjacent surrounding land uses including Greenlands and resource developments. These systems shall not be located on lands identified as High Potential Mineral Aggregate Resources on Schedule 5.2.1. Local municipalities will establish zoning regulations to manage the size and scale of such alternative energy systems, including but not limited to restrictions on height, setbacks, system capacity and need for site plan approval.
- 4.5.47 Development of renewable energy systems shall be in accordance with the Green Energy and Green Economy Act. Renewable energy undertakings are exempted from Planning Act approvals as per Schedule K of the Green Energy and Green Economy Act. These undertakings shall be subject to the Green Energy and Green Economy Act and other previncial approvals.

Policies, as adopted, with No Modifications:

- 3.8.24 If natural heritage features and areas or ecological functions within the Greenlands Designation are damaged or destroyed after July 1, 2008 by causes not beyond the control of the landowner, the designation of the affected lands in this *Plan* or the *local municipal* official plan will not be changed as a result. *Development* will only be considered if it is a condition of approval that the damaged or destroyed features and functions be restored to the satisfaction of the *County* or *local municipality*.
- **4.5.25** Agricultural land users should have regard to the factors in 4.5.24 and farm management plans within their agricultural practice.

Fish Habitat

4.5.34 Development and site alteration are not permitted in fish habitat except in accordance with provincial and federal requirements.

NOTE: Appellant Party 13 maintains a site-specific appeal.

OMB File No. PL091167

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD

MOTION RECORD PHASE 4a – GREENLANDS AND RESOURCE CONSERVATION (Written Motion Under Rule 36)

THOMSON, ROGERS
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 3100
390 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN L.S.U.C. No. 14079T 416-868-3157 Fax No. 416-868-3134 Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

(050873 RTB/aph)