PL091167

Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

IN THE MATTER OF subsection 17(40) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:

451082 Ontario Limited

Appellant:

861945 Ontario Ltd. et al

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Estate of Mary Louise Francom; and others

Subject:

Failure to announce a decision respecting Proposed Official Plan

Amendment 43-OP-2008

Municipality:

Upper Tier of Simcoe

OMB Case No.:

PL091167 PL091167

OMB File No.:

SUPPLEMENTARY MOTION RECORD (OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE - Phase 2a)

(Returnable October 24, 2014)

THOMSON, ROGERS **Barristers and Solicitors** Suite 3100, 390 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario, M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN

416-868-3157

Fax No. 416-868-3134

Lawyers for the County of Simcoe

TO: ALL APPELLANTS/PARTIES LISTED IN ATTACHMENTS "A" AND "B" WITH A COPY TO ALL PARTICIPANTS LISTED IN ATTACHMENT "C"

AND TO:

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD

655 Bay Street, 15th Floor Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1E5

Attention: Kimberly Livingstone, Planner

kimberly.livingstone@ontario.ca

Phone: 416-326-6773 Fax: 416-326-5370

ATTACHMENT "A" LIST OF APPELLANT PARTIES— COUNTY OF SIMCOE OFFICIAL PLAN OMB File PL091167

3.7		OMB File PL091167 Oct 17, 2	
No.		Lawyer/Agent*	E-mail Address
1	County of Simcoe	Roger Beaman	rbeaman@thomsonrogers.com
2	2a Carson Road Development Inc.	Susan Rosenthal	susanr@davieshowe.com
	2b Midhurst Development Doran Road Inc.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
3	Craighurst Land Corp.	Susan Rosenthal	susanr@davieshowe.com
4	Huntingwood Trails	Susan Rosenthal	susanr@davieshowe.com
	(Collingwood) Ltd.	Meaghan McDermid	meaghanm@davieshowe.com
5	Midhurst Rose Alliance Inc.	Ira Kagan	ikagan@ksllp.ca
6	Township of Coming and	Paul DeMelo	pdemelo@ksllp.ca
7	Township of Springwater 451082 Ontario Limited	Barnet Kussner	bkussner@weirfoulds.ca
	8a 861945 Ontario Ltd.	James Feehely David White	jfeehely@fglawyers.ca
	8b Golfview Estates Limited [W/D]	Cory Estrela	david.white@devrylaw.ca
	8c Mark Rich Homes Limited	Anthony-George	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	8d Silver Spring View Estates	D'Andrea	Additiony-George. D Additea@devilylaw.ca
8	Limited	Dimerca	
	8e Simcoe Estates Limited	is a second of the second of t	
	8f Royal Heights Estates Limited		
	8g OMC Development Corp. [W/D]		
9	Innisfil Alcona Limited	Lynda Townsend	ltownsend@weirfoulds.com
	[Appeal Withdrawn]	Jennifer Meader	jmeader@weirfoulds.com
10	Tesmar Holdings Inc.	Michael Melling	michaelm@davieshowe.com
	8	Meaghan McDermid	meaghanm@davieshowe.com
		David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
11	Janice & David Wright	Cory Estrela	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca
	Į	Anthony-George	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
	12a Snow Valley Planning	D'Andrea David White	4
	Corporation	Cory Estrela	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca
12	12b 453211 Ontario Limited	Anthony-George	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
		D'Andrea	
		David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
13	McMahan Woods Davidson and Ltd	Cory Estrela	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca
13	McMahan Woods Developments Ltd.	Anthony-George	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
		D'Andrea	
A De Sal		David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
14	Innisfil Beach Farms Inc.	Cory Estrela	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca
		Anthony-George	Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
15	Estate of Mario Lavias Essal	D'Andrea	is-1-1-Os1-
13	Estate of Marie Louise Frankcom Midhurst Ratepayers'	James Feehely David Strachan*	jfeehely@fglawyers.ca
16	Association [Appeal Dismissed]	Margaret Hutchison*	davidistrachan@yahoo.com mhutchis1000@gmail.com
17	Narinder Mann	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
18	Yorkwood Group of Companies	Patricia Foran	pforan@airdberlis.com
	19a Rama Resorts International Inc.	Brian Goodreid*	briangoodreid@hotmail.com
19	19b Fernbrook Homes (Rama)		<u> </u>
	Limited [Appeal Resolved]		
20	1091402 Ontario Ltd.	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca
		Cory Estrela	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca
21	Nicole and Brent Fellman	David White	david.white@devrylaw.ca

		Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
22	Travel-Rite Property Corp.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
23	Aqua-Gem Investments Ltd.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
24	442023 Ontario Limited	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
25	1045901 Ontario Limited	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
26	Kellwatt Limited	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
27	27a Ontario Potato Distributing Inc.27b 1567219 Ontario Limited	Chris Barnett	cbarnett@davis.ca
28	Black Marlin Management Inc.	Caterina Facciolo Barry Horosko	cfacciolo@bratty.com bhorosko@bratty.com
29	Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama'at Canada Inc. (AMJC)	Caterina Facciolo Barry Horosko	cfacciolo@bratty.com bhorosko@bratty.com
30	D.G. Pratt Construction Limited	Jane Pepino Andrea Skinner	jpepino@airdberlis.com askinner@airdberlis.com
31	Hanson Development Group	Patricia Foran	pforan@airdberlis.com
32	Town of New Tecumseth	James Feehely	jfeehely@fglawyers.ca
33	Township of Adjala-Tosorontio	Stephen Waqué Isaac Tang	swaque@blg.com itang@blg.com
34	Robert Schickedanz in Trust	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
35	2115441 Ontario Inc.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
36	Carson Trail Estates Inc.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
37	Sucession Financial Group Inc.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
38	Bond Head Properties Inc.	Susan Rosenthal Meghan McDermid	susanr@davieshowe.com meaghanm@davieshowe.com
39	39a 2000463 Ontario Limited 39b Angelo & Yvette Santorelli	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca

40	Rayville Developments (Alliston) Inc.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca
41	Copperglen Estates Inc.	David White Cory Estrela Anthony-George D'Andrea	david.white@devrylaw.ca cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca

ATTACHMENT "B" LIST OF PARTIES- COUNTY OF SIMCOE OFFICIAL PLAN PL091167

No	. Party	Lawyer/Agent*	Oct 17, 2014
	Ministry of Municipal	Ken Hare	E-mail Address
	Affairs and Housing	Ugo Popadic	ken.hare@ontario.ca
A	Tituns and Housing	Arthur Ho	Ugo.Popadic@ontario.ca
		(student-at-law)	Arthur.Ho2@ontario.ca
В	Town of Collingwood		11 0 1 11
	C1 Town of Penetanguishene	Leo Longo	llongo@airdberlis.com
C	C2 Township of Ramara	Edward Veldboom	eveldboom@russellchristie.com
D	Town of Innisfil	Quinto Annibale/	qannibale@loonix.com
1.0	T. CD 10	Mark Joblin	mjoblin@loonix.com
E	Town of Bradford	Tom Halinski	thalinski@airdberlis.com
357	West Gwillimbury		<u>thamiski@airdberns.com</u>
F	Town of Midland	Paul Peterson	ppeterson@hgrgp.ca
	G1 Township of Clearview		
\mathbf{G}	G2 Township of Tiny	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
	G3 Town of Wasaga Beach		
H	[Now Appellant 32]		
	[Now Appellant 33] J1 Ontario Stone, Sand and	M D II	
	Gravel Association	Mary Bull	mbull@woodbull.ca
	J2 CBM Aggregates, a division		
	of St. Marys Cement (Canada) Inc.		
Ĵ	J3 Lafarge Canada Inc.		
	J4 Holcim (Canada) Inc.		
	J5 James Dick Construction		
	Limited		
	J6 Walker Aggregates Inc.		
K	Georgian International	Mars D. II	1 110
	Land Corp.	Mary Bull	mbull@woodbull.ca
\mathbf{L}_{-}	San Marco in Lamis Ltd.	Michael Vaughan	michaelbvaughan@yahoo.ca
M	White Water Holdings Ltd.	Barry Horosko	bhorosko@bratty.com
		Caterina Facciolo	cfacciolo@bratty.com
N	[Now Appellant 28] No Jet Construction Inc.		
θ	Remington Homes (Manhattan)	Caterina Facciolo	efacciolo@bratty.com
	Inc. [Withdrawn]	Barry Horosko	bhorosko@bratty.com
	Sleeping Lion Development		
P	Corporation	John Dawson	jdawson@mccarthy.ca
Q	John Barzo Limited	John Barzo	jbarzo@barzolaw.com
R	Innisfil Mapleview	Sugan Pagara	
	Developments Limited	Susan Rogers	susan.rogers@sdrogerslaw.ca
S	2133952 Ontario Inc.	Harold Elston	harold@elstons.ca
5	2133932 OHIANO INC.	Aynsley Anderson	aynsley@elstons.ca
Tr.	Tolighan Company	Harold Elston	harold@elstons.ca
\mathbf{T}	Talisker Corporation	Aynsley Anderson	aynsley@elstons.ca
U	U1&U2 [Now Appellant 27 a & b]	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	=,
\mathbf{v}	1651012 Ontario Ltd. now	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
	Sandycove Acres Limited		
W	Township of Oro-Medonte	Chris Williams	cwilliams@airdberlis.com
X	998991 Ontario Inc.	Ian Rowe	irowe@barristonlaw.com
¥7		Raivo Uukkivi*	ruukkivi@casselsbrock.com
Y	Tsam Investments Ltd.	Signe Leisk*	sleisk@casselsbrock.com
		~-Bile Doloit	SICISK(W,CassCISUIUCK.COIII

ATTACHMENT "C" LIST OF PARTICIPANTS — COUNTY OF SIMCOE OFFICIAL PLAN PL091167

Oct 17, 2014 No. Participant or Interest Lawyer*/Agent E-mail Address Sandy Agnew sagnew@ecomedic.ca *1* * AWARE Simcoe Ann Truyens at@iglide.net Chantale Gagnon chantalegagnon@bell.net PURE now ERA - Everett 2* Linda Gaspar chickenqueen17@yahoo.ca Ratepayers Association David Perryman dperryman43@sympatico.ca 3 Midhurst Anna Romano am romano@hotmail.com [Now Party W] 4 Tsam Investments Ltd. Raivo Uukkivi 5 ruukkivi@casselsbrock.com [Now Party Y] Signe Leisk sleisk@casselsbrock.com Walton Development and Paolo Sacilotto psacilotto@walton.com 6 Management L.P. Ed Steffelin esteffelin@walton.com (Midhurst) [Withdrawn] 7 Paul Frankcom Ontario Farmland 8* Bernard Pope bernard@ontariofarmlandpreservation.org Preservation Simcoe County Federation of Colin Elliott 9* rockeynol32@gmail.com Agriculture Anne Ritchie-Nahius nahuis@csolve.net Angus Ratepayers Wendy Harry 10* TCB4E@primus.ca Association Archie Duckworth marg_archie@hotmail.com 11a Nutristock Corporation Michael Melling michaelm@davieshowe.com 11 11b Solmar (Healy) Meaghan McDermid meaghanm@davieshowe.com Corporation (App 27a, 27b) 12 Township of Severn Henry Sander hsander@townshipofsevern.com K. Winter Sanitation Inc. **Marvin Geist** 13 marvin@marvingeist.ca Kenneth and Ruth Winter [Concern Resolved] ANSI - Oro-Medonte 14 Joe & Ruth-Ann Langman ruthannlangman@gmail.com ANSI – Severn 15 Don & June Bickell paniswickweld@aol.com No e-mail given; 705-424-9350 *16** John Strong John Strong 6760 Simcoe County Road 21, R.R.#2, Alliston, Ont. L9R 1V2 Clearview Community 17 Janet Gillham gillham2@bell.net Coalition [withdrawn] 18 Appeal 27a, 27b Ralph MacKenzie 905-729-3984 19 Kevin Newman Kevin Newman k.newman@rogers.com 20 Jill Appleby Jill Appleby 21 Midhurst Sandy Buxton s.buxton@rogers.com R & M Homes Ltd. David White david.white@devrylaw.ca (Everett) Cory Estrela cory.estrela@devrylaw.ca 22 Anthony-George Anthony-George.D'Andrea@devrylaw.ca D'Andrea 285622 Ontario Ltd. emilm@millersonconsulting.com 23 **Emil Mlinarevic** (MIDVES II) midves@alpeza.com 24 Bette Bull Bette Bull

Debbie Major

Debbie@datadirect.ca

25 Debbie Major* Participant has Testified

PL091167

Ontario Municipal Board

IN THE MATTER OF ss. 17(40) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:

451082 Ontario Limited

Appellant:

861945 Ontario Ltd. et al

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Estate of Mary Louise Francom; and others

Subject:

Failure to announce a decision respecting Proposed Official Plan

Amendment 43-OP-2008

Municipality:

Upper Tier of Simcoe

OMB Case No.:

PL091167

OMB File No.:

PL091167

INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
Supplementary Notice of Motion of the County of Simcoe	8
Supplementary Affidavit of Kathy Suggitt, sworn October 21, 2014	10
Exhibit "A" – Experts' Report No. 2, October 21, 2014	12
Exhibit "B" – Further Proposed Modified Policies	18

PL091167

Ontario Municipal Board

IN THE MATTER OF ss. 17(40) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant:

451082 Ontario Limited

Appellant:

861945 Ontario Ltd. et al

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Estate of Mary Louise Francom; and others

Subject:

Failure to announce a decision respecting Proposed Official Plan

Amendment 43-OP-2008

Municipality:

Upper Tier of Simcoe

OMB Case No.: OMB File No.:

PL091167 PL091167

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTICE OF MOTION PHASE 2a

THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE will make a Supplementary Motion to the Ontario Municipal Board on Friday, the 24th day of October, 2014, at 10:00 am, or as soon after that time as the motion can be heard at the Nottawasaga Inn Resort & Conference Centre, 6015 Highway 89, Alliston, Ontario.

THE SUPPLEMENTARY MOTION IS FOR AN ORDER:

- (a) Allowing the Official Plan appeal in part and approving, and modifying and approving, several additional policies for Phase 2a;
- (b) For relief from Rule 38 to serve this Motion on short notice;
- (c) for such further and other relief as may seem just and appropriate.

THE GROUNDS FOR THE MOTION ARE:

(a) Since service of the Motion of October 14, 2014, the experts for parties involved in Phase 2a of the hearing met and supported or did not oppose or had no opinion on certain additional policies and modified policies resolving concerns for several matters to be considered in the Phase 2a hearing.

(b) The grounds as set out in the Notice of Motion dated October 14, 2014 in this matter.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at

the hearing of the motion:

- the Supplementary Affidavit of Kathy Suggitt, sworn October 21, 2014, and the Exhibits attached thereto;
- (b) the Second Report of Meetings of Expert Witnesses on Phase 2a contained in the said Affidavit;

OCTOBER 21, 2014

THOMSON, ROGERS Barristers and Solicitors Suite 3100 390 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN 416-868-3157 Fax No. 416-868-3134

Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

PL091167

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF subsection 17(40) of the Planning Act, R. S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended

Appellant: Appellant:

451082 Ontario Limited 861945 Ontario Ltd., et al

Appellant:

County of Simcoe

Appellant:

Estate of Mary Louise Frankcom; and others

Subject:

Failure of to announce a decision respecting Proposed

Official Plan Amendment No. 43-OP-2008

Municipality:

Upper Tier of Simcoe

OMB Case No.:

PL091167

OMB File No.:

PL091167

SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT, MCIP, RPP

I, KATHY SUGGITT, MCIP, RPP, of the City of Barrie, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY AS FOLLOWS:

This Affidavit is supplemental to my affidavit sworn October 14, 2014. 1.

Growth Management Policies - Phase 2a

2. The expert witnesses for the parties involved in this phase of the hearing met on October 15, 2014 to try to resolve the remaining issues. Arising from the meeting, a Second Experts' Report was provided to the County solicitor, who has circulated the report to the Board and to all parties and participants. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the Second Experts' Report dated October 17, 2014.

Policies with No Modifications

3. The experts who participated in the discussions either support or do not oppose/have no opinion with the current wording of policy 3.1.3 as it appears in the updated County Official Plan, Exhibit 72 of these proceedings.

Policies with Proposed Modifications

4. As reflected in Exhibit "A", the experts reached further agreement on certain policies based on proposed modifications. Exhibit "B" attached contains the proposed modified policies.

Summary Opinion

- 5. It is my professional planning opinion that the proposed modifications to the policies listed in Exhibit "B" accurately reflect the agreement reached by the experts involved in Phase 2a of this hearing, on the understanding that the experts either support or do not oppose/have no opinion on the proposed modifications. There are two specific points noted in Exhibit "A" where individual Parties reserve their right to further address possible additions to the policy. The policies addressed in this Affidavit including the proposed modifications would bring the policies into conformity with relevant Provincial policy. The modifications are consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014 and represent good planning.
- 6. I make this Affidavit in support of the County's request for an order of the Board to allow the appeal in part of the Official Plan to approve policy 3.1.3 without modifications; and to modify and approve policies 3.1, 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, additional new policy 3.2.5, 3.5.1, 3.5.5, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.8, 3.5.17, 3.5.18, 3.5.21, 3.5.24, 3.5.25 and the deletion of 3.5.31 as detailed in Exhibit "B".

SWORN BEFORE ME

at the Township of Springwater in the County of Simcoe this 21st day of October, 2014.

Commissioner for Taking Oaths, etc.

KATHYSUGGITT

Brenda Clark, County Clerk A Commissioner for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

THIS IS EXHIBIT "A" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 21st DAY OF OCTOBER, 2014.

A Commissioner, etc.

Brenda Clark, County Clerk A Commissioner for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

1

OMB File No: PL091167

County of Simcoe Official Plan

Second Experts' Report on Phase 2a (Growth Management)

Dated October 17, 2014

Expert Planning Witnesses Registered for Phase 2a of the Hearing:

Tony Biglieri - Tesmar Holdings Inc. (Appellant Party 10)

Tim Cane — Town of Innisfil (Party D)
Wes Crown — Town of Midland (Party F)
Mark Dorfman — Township of Ramara (Party C2)

Brian Goodreid - Estate of Marie Louise Frankcom (Appellant Party 15)

Bob Lehman/Alison Luoma - San Marco in Lamis Ltd. (Party L), 2133952 Ontario Inc. (Party S), Talisker Corporation (Party T)

Andria Leigh - Township of Oro-Medonte (Party W)

Paul Lowes - Carson Road Development Inc. (Appellant Party 2a), Midhurst Development

Doran Road Inc. (Appellant Party 2b), Craighurst Land Corp. (Appellant Party 3), Bond Head Properties Inc. (Appellant Party 38), Rayville Developments (Alliston) Inc. (Appellant Party 40a), Coppergien Estate Inc. (Appellant Party 40b)

Darryl Lyons - Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (Party A)
Geoff McKnight - Town of Bradford West Gwillmbury (Party E)

Kris Menzies - 998991 Ontario Inc. (Party X)

Nicola Mitchinson – Mark Rich Homes Limited (Appellant Party 8c), Silver Spring View Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8d), Simcoe Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8e) Royal Heights Estates Limited (Appellant Party 8f), 2115441 Ontario Inc. (Appellant Party 35)

Josh Morgan - Nicole and Brent Feliman (Appellant Party 21) and 442023 Ontario Limited (Appellant Party 24) - Expert did not participate

Celeste Phillips - Yorkwood Group of Companies (Appellant Party 18) Jamie Robinson - Sucession Financial Group Inc. (Appellant Party 37)

Tim Schilling/Bruce Hoppe - Town of New Tecumseth (Appellant Party 32)

Michael Smith - Tsam Investments (Party Y)

Brent Spagnol - Township of Springwater (Appellant Party 6)

Kathy Suggitt - County of Simcoe (Appellant Party 1)

Jacquie Tschekalin/Ron Palmer - Township of Adjala-Tosorontio (Appellant Party 33)

Darren Vella – Innisfii Mapleview Developments Ltd. (Party R), McMahon Woods Developments Ltd. (Appellant Party 13) – Expert did not participate

Peter Walker - Ontario Potato Distributing Inc. (Appellant Party 27a), 1567219 Ontario Ltd. (Appellant Party 27b)

Michael Wynia - Township of Clearview (Party G1)

Following the Issuance of the First Experts' Report on October 10, 2014, the participating expert witnesses met on October 15th to continue discussions on the policies and related issues that remained without agreement as of the first report including: 3.1, 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.4, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.5.1, 3.5.5, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.8, 3.5.17, 3.5.18, 3.5.21, 3.5.24, 3.5.25 and 3.5.31.

Experts Agreement

The experts agreed that supporting or not opposing/having no opinion on a particular policy in Phase 2a that includes cross reference to other policy(ies) in the Plan should not prejudice or infer support of the cross referenced policy.

Policy with no issue:

The experts who have participated in the meetings either support or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following policy without modification, as it appears in Exhibit 72:

• 3.1.3

Policies with Modification

The experts who have participated in the meetings either support or do not oppose/have no opinion on the following Policies with the Proposed Modifications detailed below:

- 3.1 Modifications:
 - o In the first bullet, replace the words "most non-resource related" with "a significant portion of" and add the word "effectively" before the word "serviced"
 - o In the fourth bullet, remove italicization of the word "Development" and replace the words "complete settlements" with the word "communities"
- 3.1.1 Modifications:
 - o In the heading, replace the words "most non-resource related" with "a significant portion of" and add the word "effectively" before the word "serviced"
 - o In the first paragraph,
 - First sentence, delete the words "urban forms of"
 - Delete the third sentence starting with "A strategy of" in its entirety
 - o In the second paragraph add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph "For greater clarity, in Simcoe County specific land use designations applying to lands within the settlements/settlement area shall rely on the local municipal official plan."
 - o In the third paragraph,
 - Delete the seventh sentence in its entirety "Over time and increasing proportion of the County's growth will be directed to *Primary Settlement Areas*."
 - In the eighth sentence delete the words "non-resource related" after the words "and direct most".
 - o In the fourth paragraph delete the words "non-resource related" in the first and third sentences
- 3.1.2 <u>Modification</u>:
 - o Remove italicization of all instances of the word "development" in the title and policy
 - o In the second paragraph, last sentence delete the words "limited" and "residential"

3.1.4 – <u>Modification</u>:

- o In the title, replace the words "complete settlements" with the word "communities"
- o Remove italicization of the word "development" in all instances throughout the policy including the title
- In the third paragraph, first sentence, delete the words "within settlements" after the words "employment opportunities"; delete the words "where appropriate" after the words "rural employment areas"; and add the words "tourism and resource-based recreational uses," after the words "home-based businesses,"

3.2.3 – <u>Modification</u>:

- o In the first sentence, replace the words "non-resource related development" with the words "population and employment growth" and delete the words ", over time getting an increasing proportion of population and employment growth"
- o Insert the following new second sentence "Municipalities with primary settlement areas will direct a significant portion of population and employment growth forecasted to the applicable primary settlement areas."
- Delete and replace the last sentence with "Other settlement areas may over time develop towards becoming a complete community, where appropriate, based on the hierarchy described in 3.5."

• 3.2.4 - Modification:

- o In the first sentence delete the words "non-resource related"
- INSERT New policy 3.2.5 and reorder subsequent policies accordingly:
 - "3.2.5 This *Plan* recognizes that certain types of development will occur outside of settlement areas."

3.5.1 – <u>Modification</u>:

 Delete the words "existing" and ", as the location for urban uses and most nonresource related growth and development"

3.5.5 – Modification:

- o In the second sentence insert the words "County and" before the words "local municipal"
 - ** The experts for Parties C2, T and Appellant Party 33 agree with the policy, but they reserve the right to request additional policy language/clarification in a subsequent paragraph to this policy. This request for language/clarification should not be dealt with in Phase 2a but in a later phase to allow for ongoing discussion. Mediation may also be considered at a later stage.

NOTE: The following Parties reserve the right to review and provide comment on any proposed changes to Section 3.5.5: Appellant 6, Appellant 15, Appellant 32, Party D, Party F and Party W.

3.5.6 - Modification:

- o Relocate the second sentence commencing with "Municipalities with primary settlement areas will, in their official plans..." to the end of this policy
- O Delete and replace the sentence "Over time an increasing proportion of non-resource related growth in the County will be directed to the *primary settlement areas*." with the following: "Municipalities with primary settlement areas will, in their official plans, focus and direct a significant portion of its population and employment growth forecasted to the applicable primary settlement areas while considering growth in other settlement areas through local growth management studies as per Section 3.5.8."

3.5.7 – Modification:

- o In the first sentence, replace the words "most non-resource related" with the words "population and employment"
- o Insert the following new second sentence "Lands may only be redesignated from lands not for urban uses to lands for urban uses in accordance with Sections 3.5.8 or 3.5.10 of this Plan."

3.5.8 – Modification:

o In the first sentence replace the word "allocation" with the word "distribution" and insert the words "and rural areas" after the words "identified settlements"

• 3.5.17 - Modification:

o In the first sentence, insert the words "that is consistent with the growth management study in 3.5.8 and" after "municipal comprehensive review" "The expert for Party G1 agrees with the policy, except for part a) and they reserve the right to request additional policy language/clarification in a subsequent paragraph to the policy. This request for language/clarification should not be dealt with in Phase 2a but in a later hearing phase to allow for completion of the County's land budget and ongoing discussion. Mediation may also be considered at a later stage.

• 3.5.18 - Modification:

o In the seventh bullet, replace the words "cultural heritage" with the words "built heritage resources, significant heritage landscapes" and insert the word "significant" before the words "archaeological resources"

3.5.21 – Modification:

o In the last sentence delete the words "and existing community character and features"

1

3.5.24 – <u>Modification</u>:

o In the last paragraph, delete and replace the last sentence with the following: "Where a settlement area has an undelineated built-up area by the Province, the entire settlement area is considered to be a built-up area, and all new residential units within such settlement areas contribute towards the intensification target. Land within such settlement areas that are planned to be serviced with municipal or communal systems shall develop at densities that support compact form and be consistent with the density targets in Section 3.5.23."

• 3.5.25 - <u>Modification</u>:

- o Delete and replace the policy with: "Each local municipality shall develop an Intensification strategy and implement the strategy through its official plan in order to phase in and achieve the Intensification targets in Section 3.5.24 of this Plan. Through the strategy, local municipalities shall promote and facilitate intensification and efficient use of land in bullt-up areas, identify intensification areas to support achievement of the intensification target, promote the development of mixed use areas within settlements, identify areas appropriate for revitalization and redevelopment, identify the type and scale of development appropriate for the intensification areas, identify means to mitigate the effects of intensification In Intensification areas on stable residential areas including consideration of transitional densities, built form and land uses, identify means to protect stable residential areas outside of intensification areas, and develop costeffective and land efficient development standards. The intensification strategy shall also identify a program for monitoring the achievement of the intensification targets in Section 3.5.24 and evaluate the ongoing feasibility of achieving the targets."
- 3.5.31 Modification: Delete the policy in its entirety and renumber accordingly

Report prepared by Kathy Suggitt, County of Simcoe Kathy Suggitt.

On behalf of the expert witnesses.

Other Matter Raised By One Expert

Mr. Robert Lehman, one of the planning experts in this Phase, requested that it be noted that it is his opinion that some form of public process is needed to ensure that members of the public can determine if any of the recommended changes impact their interests.

THIS IS EXHIBIT "B" REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT OF KATHY SUGGITT SWORN BEFORE ME THIS 21st DAY OF OCTOBER, 2014.

Bundi Clax

A Commissioner, etc.

Brenda Clark, County Clerk A Commissioner for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

Policy with No Modification:

3.1.3 Protection and enhancement of the *County's natural heritage system* and cultural features and heritage resources –

The natural heritage system and cultural features and heritage resources of the County is an important part of its economic base and its lifestyle quality. The key features and functional elements of the natural heritage system of the County have been identified and mapped as "Greenlands". Although not mapped as Greenlands, the major lakes of Simcoe and Couchiching, plus Georgian Bay, and the Severn River are important complementary components of the County's natural heritage system. The Severn River has a high concentration of species-at-risk.

The rich cultural heritage of the *County* has been partially documented at the Provincial and local levels and is to be protected through the requirements for appropriate archaeological and cultural heritage assessments. The *Plan* also contains provisions for gathering additional cultural heritage resource information and maintaining a registry.

Water, both surface and underground, is a key part of the *natural heritage system* that is under stress. Water quantity and quality is important to industrial, commercial, and residential *development* as well as the tourism industry. Pressures to export water from the *County* must be carefully monitored; action may be required to make sure a high quality and sufficient quantity of the resource is always available within the *County* and that water recharge areas are protected. In general, it is the intent of this *Plan* to encourage the conservation of water resources within the *County*, in order to protect the long-term quality and quantity of this critical resource.

Identification of natural heritage features and areas and linkages included as the Greenlands Designation was undertaken within the context of a functional assessment approach. As such, this Plan contains policies to require the analysis and protection of ecological functions and hydrologic functions such as groundwater recharge, stream/river base flow, wildlife movement and biotic diversity. These functions are to be protected through the requirement for local official plans to identify and protect, as described in Section 3.8, both the County Greenlands Designation and local natural heritage systems which complement and support the County system such as valley and stream corridors, wetlands and woodlands, and through requirements for appropriate environmental impact statements (EIS) in the consideration of new official plans/amendments, settlement expansions and development applications. The functional approach is also supported by the policies of this Plan relating to watershed-based planning.

Various features and functions are found and occur within larger water and land-based areas such as watersheds and moraines located both within and beyond the *County*. The watersheds of Georgian Bay, Severn Sound and Lake Simcoe, the Oro and Oak Ridges Moraines and the Niagara Escarpment all represent examples of these broader natural areas. This *Plan* therefore calls for environmental analyses and policy development to be undertaken in the context of these broader systems.

The growth and settlement strategy and the conservation strategies for economic and natural heritage resources are closely linked. Development at higher densities in settlements will

conserve greater land areas for resource development and natural heritage systems protection. Avoidance of scattered development which could and should go to settlement areas is a complementary strategy.

Proposed Modifications

3.1 Strategy

The planning strategy of this Plan is based on four themes:

- Direction of most non-resource related a significant portion of growth and development to settlements where it can be effectively serviced, with a particular emphasis on primary settlement areas.
- Enabling and managing resource-based development including agriculture, forestry, aggregates, and tourism and recreation.
- Protection and enhancement of the County's natural heritage system and cultural features and heritage resources, including water resources.
- <u>Development</u> of complete settlements communities with diversified economic functions and opportunities, and a diverse range of housing options.

Principles and policies stated throughout the *Plan* will be directly or indirectly related to these themes, which are inter-related in that achievement of objectives in any area can have impacts on other objectives.

3.1.1 Direction of most non-resource related a significant portion of growth and development to settlements where it can be effectively serviced, with a particular emphasis on primary settlement areas-

The County has numerous identifiable settlements. They are found in every municipality and provide a basis for future urban forms of growth. A strategy of directing most non-resource related growth to settlements is fully compatible with the Provincial Policy Statement, and the Growth Plan which both state that settlement areas shall be the focus of growth. In this Plan, the terms settlements and settlement areas are used interchangeably. Primary settlement areas and both urban and rural settlement areas will be referred to as "settlements" or "settlement areas".

Settlements include traditional mixed use central places such as towns, villages and hamlets. Some have both water and sewer services, some have only one, while many rural settlements depend on individual services. Recognized settlement areas are identified in Table Schedule 5.1, and mapped on map Schedule 5.1 - Land Use Designations and Primary Settlement Areas are identified on Schedule 5.1.2. For greater clarity, in Simcoe County specific land use designations applying to lands within settlements/settlement areas shall rely on the local municipal official plan.

There are several reasons for this overall approach to growth management. Settlements are developed at higher densities than scattered development, which makes them more economical to service. Settlements provide a focus for both private and public services in traditional and newer central places. The higher density of settlements means that

more resource lands and environmentally valuable lands can be conserved. The wide range of land uses provides an opportunity for people to live, work, shop, and find recreation in one compact community. Given the diversity of size, setting, and extent/types of hard and soft services which characterize the *County's settlements*, some *settlements* are more suitable for accommodating long-term growth. Over time an increasing proportion of the County's growth will be directed to the *Primary Settlement Areas*. The *Primary Settlement Areas* will develop as *complete communities*. *Local municipal* official plans shall conform to this Plan and direct most non-resource related growth to *settlement areas* based on a hierarchy of *settlement areas* to be determined according to Section 3.5 of this *Plan*.

In order to direct most non-resource related growth to the settlements, it is part of the strategy of this Plan to manage the amount of designated land throughout the County to accommodate an appropriate range and mix of employment opportunities, housing and other land uses to meet the projected needs for the next twenty years. A land budget as described in Section 3.2.14 to 3.2.16 for the County will be used as a growth management tool when reviewing redesignation applications. Local municipalities will be required to develop plans and secondary plans that direct most non-resource-related growth to their settlement areas in accordance with this Plan and have provision for residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional development including provision for affordable housing and necessary Infrastructure and public service facilities.

Development in settlements shall be guided by targets that result in development densities which are appropriate to the achievement of compact communities that are transit supportive and facilitate pedestrian and cycling opportunities. Density targets for development on designated Greenfield areas and intensification targets for lands within the existing built boundaries of settlements are set out in Section 3.5.

3.1.2 Enabling and managing resource-based <u>development</u> including agriculture, forestry, aggregates, and tourism and recreation –

The resources of the land are some of the key elements in the economic <u>development</u> of the *County*. Planning for these areas includes (1) managing the use of these resources so that we achieve the economic and environmental benefits balanced with attractive living environments, (2) managing the resources so they do not conflict with one another, and (3) wise use of the land base which spawns the resources.

Tourism and recreation <u>development</u> can take advantage of specific Simcoe County landforms which include topography suited to the <u>development</u> of ski facilities and shorelines which host marinas and other water-related businesses. Within guidelines outlined in this *Plan*, the <u>development</u> of such recreation uses and <u>limited</u> related residential <u>development</u> can provide settings which enhance the tourism economic sector in the *County*, and attract visitors from around the world.

3.1.4 <u>Development</u> of complete settlements communities with diversified economic functions and opportunities and a diverse range of housing options –

The *Plan* recognizes the need to enable and encourage the <u>development</u> of a wide range of business and employment opportunities to meet the needs of a growing population and changing global economics.

In terms of individual communities and settlements, a wider range of employment enables those areas to better withstand the economic changes and cycles regularly encountered. Such employment opportunities arise from the resource base of the County described in Section 3.1.2, from manufacturing to meet the needs of markets both within and outside Simcoe County, and from a service sector which provides a wide range of services to the residents of the County and tourists.

The land use policies of this *Plan* provide for and encourage multi-use <u>development</u> and expansion of employment opportunities <u>within settlements</u>, the continuation and potential expansion of existing *rural employment areas* <u>where appropriate</u>, the <u>development</u> of home-based businesses, <u>tourism and resource-based recreational uses</u>, and the wise management and use of agricultural, aggregate, forestry and other resource lands. Specialized employment opportunities are provided for in the *Strategic Settlement Employment Areas* and *Economic Employment Districts*.

The <u>development</u> of a wide range of housing types and costs, including *affordable* housing, is a goal of this *Plan*, and policies to help achieve this goal are stated throughout the *Plan*.

The growth of locally sponsored businesses is particularly encouraged as it provides a more stable and secure employment base developed with local involvement. Encouraging more businesses within the *County* providing jobs to County residents helps achieve an overall complete community within Simcoe County and supports environmental objectives such as reducing distances travelled to work thus setting up the basis of future transit supportive employment nodes.

Growth Management Framework

- The majority of non-resource related development population and employment growth will be directed to settlement areas with particular emphasis on primary settlement areas, over time getting an increasing proportion of population and employment growth. Municipalities with primary settlement areas will direct a significant portion of population and employment growth forecasted to the applicable primary settlement areas. A proportion of new growth will be accommodated through intensification according to the targets set out in Section 3.5. The primary settlement areas will develop as complete communities. Only where apprepriate based on the hierarchy described in Section 3.5, other settlement areas may over time develop towards becoming a complete community. Other settlement areas may over time develop towards becoming a complete community, where appropriate, based on the hierarchy described in 3.5.
- 3.2.4 The majority of non-resource related population and employment growth will be directed to settlement areas with full municipal water services and municipal sewage services. Limited growth will be permitted in settlement areas that are serviced by other forms of water and sewage services with appropriate studies provided to support the servicing systems proposed and in accordance with Section 4.7 of this Plan.

3.2.5 This *Plan* recognizes that certain types of development will occur outside of *settlement* areas.

3.5 Settlements

Objectives

3.5.1 To focus population and employment growth and development within existing settlements, with particular emphasis on primary settlement areas, in accordance with the policies of this Plan, as the location for urban uses and most non-resource related growth and development.

Policies

Growth Management

3.5.5 The delineated settlement area boundaries and the Built Boundaries are shown in this Plan on Schedule 5.1 – Land Use Designations and must be identified in local municipal Official Plans. A County and local municipal official plan amendment is required to amend settlement area boundaries in accordance with a municipal comprehensive review. The Settlements designation applies to all lands within the settlement area boundaries. Only those settlements listed on Table Schedule 5.1 are recognized as designated settlement areas. The establishment and/or recognition of new settlement areas is prohibited.

NOTE: ** The experts for Parties C2, T and Appellant Party 33 agree with the policy, but they reserve the right to request additional policy language/clarification in a subsequent paragraph to this policy. This request for language/clarification should not be dealt with in Phase 2a but in a later phase to allow for ongoing discussion. Mediation may also be considered at a later stage.

3.5.6 Primary settlement areas are settlement areas and are shown on Schedule 5.1.2 of this Plan. Municipalities with primary settlement areas will, in their official plans, identify primary settlement areas, identify and plan for intensification areas within primary settlement areas and ensure the development of high quality urban form and public open spaces within primary settlement areas through site design and urban design standards that create attractive and vibrant places that support walking and cycling for everyday activities and are transit-supportive. Primary settlement areas are larger settlements suitable for high intensification targets, public transit services, and high density targets for designated Greenfield areas and have full municipal water services and municipal sewage services. Primary settlement areas will develop as complete communities. Over time an increasing prepertion of non-resource related growth in the County will be directed to the primary settlement areas. Municipalities with primary settlement areas will, in their official plans, focus and direct a significant portion of its population and employment forecasted growth to the applicable primary settlement areas while

considering growth in other settlement areas through local growth management studies as per Section 3.5.8. Municipalities with primary settlement areas will, in their official plans, identify primary settlement areas, identify and plan for intensification areas within primary settlement areas and ensure the development of high quality urban form and public open spaces within primary settlement areas through site design and urban design standards that create attractive and vibrant places that support walking and cycling for everyday activities and are transit-supportive.

- 3.5.7 Settlement areas shall be the focus of mest non-resource related population and employment growth and their vitality and regeneration shall be promoted. Lands may only be redesignated from lands not for urban uses to lands for urban uses in accordance with Sections 3.5.8 or 3.5.10 of this Plan. Residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, and recreational land uses shall be developed within settlement area boundaries on land appropriately designated in a local municipal official plan for the use. Land use designation changes within settlement area boundaries do not require a County Official Plan amendment. The uses permitted in the land use designations within settlement area boundaries may be further restricted or prohibited in the local municipal official plans in order to facilitate urban development.
- 3.5.8 Local municipalities with more than one settlement area shall undertake growth management studies or similar strategic planning to identify the hierarchy of settlements within the municipality, and the allocation distribution of population and employment among the identified settlements and rural areas based on criteria including but not limited to type of sewage and water servicing available and capacity of that servicing, density and intensification targets, range and mix of uses within each settlement area and the settlement area role in providing services to a broader area. Considerations for undertaking the growth management strategy are set out in Sections 3.2, 4.1, 4.3 and 4.7 of this Plan and the forecasts for each local municipality from Table 1. Only where appropriate based on the hierarchy, settlement areas are encouraged to develop as complete communities with a diverse mix of land uses, a range and mix of employment and housing types, high quality public open space and easy access to local stores and services. Settlement identification, and the allocations, shall be incorporated into local municipal official plans. The planning horizon to determine requirements for urban development is a maximum of twenty years notwithstanding section 3.5.10 below.

Settlement Expansion

- 3.5.17 Settlement area boundary expansions may occur only in accordance with an approved municipal comprehensive review that is consistent with the growth management study in 3.5.8 and where it has been demonstrated that:
 - a) Sufficient opportunities to accommodate forecasted growth contained in Table 1, through intensification and in designated Greenfield areas, using the intensification target and density targets, are not available within the applicable local municipality to accommodate the growth allocated to the municipality pursuant to this Plan;
 - b) The expansion makes available sufficient lands for a time horizon not exceeding 20 years, based on the analysis provided for in subsection (a) above;
 - c) The timing of the expansion and the phasing of development within the designated Greenfield area will not adversely affect the achievement of the intensification target and density targets, and the other policies of this Plan;
 - d) Where applicable, the proposed expansion will meet the requirements of the

- Greenbelt, Niagara Escarpment and Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plans;
- e) The existing or planned *infrastructure* and services required to accommodate the proposed expansion can be provided in a financially and environmentally sustainable manner;
- f) In prime agricultural areas:
 - i. The lands do not comprise specialty crop areas
 - ii. There are no reasonable alternatives that avoid prime agricultural areas
 - iii. There are no reasonable alternatives on lower priority agricultural lands in prime agricultural areas;
- g) Impacts from expanding settlement areas on agricultural operations which are adjacent or close to the settlement areas are mitigated to the extent feasible;
- h) Compliance with the minimum distance separation formulae; and
- i) In determining the most appropriate location for expansions to the boundaries of settlement areas, the other policies of this *Plan* are applied.

NOTE: **The expert for Party G1 agrees with the policy, except for part a) and they reserve the right to request additional policy language/clarification in a subsequent paragraph to the policy. This request for language/clarification should not be dealt with in Phase 2a but in a later hearing phase to allow for completion of the County's land budget and ongoing discussion. Mediation may also be considered at a later stage.

- **3.5.18** Where settlement area boundary expansion is needed to meet projected development needs as outlined in Section 3.5.17 above, the decision on direction or location of settlement area expansions shall be based on:
 - an analysis of servicing and transportation facilities, ensuring the efficient use and expansion of servicing infrastructure including sidewalks, trails and transit;
 - agricultural land quality, directing growth to areas of lower land quality where feasible;
 - protecting natural features and ecological functions within the natural heritage system;
 - avoiding hazardous lands and hazardous sites;
 - expansion into specialty crop lands is not permitted;
 - ensuring that aggregate and agricultural resource development potential is not compromised by the expansion; and
 - conservation of significant eultural heritage built heritage resources, significant heritage landscapes and significant archaeological resources, all in keeping with the policies of this Plan and the Niagara Escarpment Plan, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan and the Greenbelt Plan where applicable. Such factors shall be determinant in achieving the objectives of 3.5.17 and other sections of this Plan.
- 3.5.21 The secondary plan or official plan amendment for settlement area boundary expansion shall indicate proposed land uses, major streets, road, storm water and utility services, population density, and staging of development over a period of up to 20 years. The plan should include an analysis of factors listed in Section 3.5.18 and existing community character and features.

3.5.24 Intensification, or directing of development to the built-up area and serviced areas within settlement areas, contributes to a compact development form. Accordingly, it is a policy of this Plan that local municipalities will plan to achieve the following minimum percentages of all new residential units occurring annually to be developed within the built boundaries of settlement areas by the year 2015 and for each year thereafter:

Local Municipality	Intensification Target
Township of Adjala-Tosorontio	20%
Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury	40%
Township of Clearview	20%
Town of Collingwood	40%
Township of Essa	20%
Town of Innisfil	33%
Town of Midland	40%
Town of New Tecumseth	40%
Township of Oro-Medonte	20%
Town of Penetanguishene	40%
Township of Ramara	20%
Township of Severn	20%
Township of Springwater	
Township of Tay	15%
Township of Tiny	20%
Town of Wasaga Beach	20%
Town or Wasaya Deach	20%

The average County-wide intensification target is 32 percent. Where a settlement area does not have a built boundary the entire settlement area is considered designated Greenfield area. Where a settlement area has an undelineated built-up area by the Province, the entire settlement area is considered to be a built-up area, and all new residential units within such settlement areas contribute towards the intensification target. Land within such settlement areas that are planned to be serviced with municipal or communal systems shall develop at densities that support compact form and be consistent with the density targets in Section 3.5.23.

3.5.25 Local municipalities shall promote the intensification and efficient use of land in built-up areas, the development of mixed use communities within settlements, the revitalization and redevelopment of developed areas where appropriate, and the development of cost-offective and land efficient development standards. It is an objective in the growth and development of settlements to direct intensified forms of development to areas within settlements that are already fully serviced and built up, while protecting the community character of the settlement. Targets in relation to this objective are stated in Section 3.5.24 of this Plan. Each local municipality shall develop an intensification strategy and implement the strategy through its official plan in order to phase in and achieve the intensification targets in Section 3.5.24 of this Plan. Through the strategy, local municipalities shall promote and facilitate intensification and efficient use of land in built-up areas, identify intensification areas to support achievement of the intensification target, promote the development of mixed use areas within settlements, identify areas

appropriate for revitalization and redevelopment, identify the type and scale of development appropriate for the intensification areas, identify means to mitigate the effects of intensification in intensification areas on stable residential areas including consideration of transitional densities, built form and land uses, identify means to protect stable residential areas outside of intensification areas, and develop cost-effective and land efficient development standards. The intensification strategy shall also identify a program for monitoring the achievement of the intensification targets in Section 3.5.24 and evaluate the ongoing feasibility of achieving the targets.

3.5.31 Urban forms of development on the periphery of existing built-up areas, known as Greenfield development, shall be at densities which minimize the need for service extensions. Targets in relation to this objective are stated in Section 3.5.23 of this Plan.

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD

SUPPLEMENTARY MOTION RECORD OF COUNTY OF SIMCOE - Phase 2a (Returnable October 24, 2014)

THOMSON, ROGERS
Barristers and Solicitors
Suite 3100
390 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 1W2

ROGER T. BEAMAN L.S.U.C. No. 14079T 416-868-3157 Fax No. 416-868-3134 Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

(050873 RTB/aph)