		2016-2031		Difference
Growth Plan Policy Area	Schedule 7 Population Growth	Demand Housing Units Needed	Supply Unit Potential	Potential Unit Surplus at 2031
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas Municipal-wide	308 1,278 89 1,676	131 492 33 656	1,324 1,164 458 2,946	1,19 67 42 2,29
This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land. Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.	This is the number of additional permanent household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast.	This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.	This is the future housing unit potential based on currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions.	This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand.

TAY: Residential Detailed

Table 1

2. Where will these units be

1. How many units are needed?				2016		2031 Forecast	2016-31 Change
	A. Population Components			2010		2002 1 0100000	2010 01 01101180
		Total Population		10,345		11,400	1,055
		Census Net Undercoverage	Rate	3.0%	\rightarrow	3.0%	
			Number	312		343	32
		Census Population		10,033		11,057	1,024
		Household Population		9,960		10,976	1,016
		Non-Household Population	Number	73		81	7
			Rate to Census	0.7%	\rightarrow	0.7%	
	B. Households	Persons per Unit		2.41		2.35	(0.07)
	b. Households	Total Occupied Households		4,127		4,676	549
		Total Occupied Households		1,127		1,070	3.13
	C. Demand for Seasonal and	Occupied Units		4,127		4,676	549
	Recreational Units	Total Units		4,931		5,587	656
		Seasonal and Vacant Units		804		911	107
		Share Seasonal and Vacant		16.3%	\rightarrow	16.3%	
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units		4,127		4,676	549
	J. Total one bemand	Seasonal and Vacant		804		911	<u>107</u>
		Total Units		4,931		5,587	656
		Unit Growth 2016-2031		n/a		n/a	656

Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas

Total All *Growth Plan* Policy Areas

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the <u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis</u>
<u>Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

Units

Percent

2016-31 Change

33

656

100%

accommodated?	A. Demand by Growth Plan policy	Unit Growth 2016-2031	656
	area		
		Local Municipal Intensification Target (1)	20%
		Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	<u>0%</u>
		Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	20%
		Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3) . In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> undelineated built-up area , the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2) , the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.	
		Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	131
		Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	75%
		Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	492
		Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas	5%

Ta	ıh	۱.	3
			•

Table 3			т
3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	131
	•••	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved units	19 279
	areas	Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary	0 829
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas	197 <u>0</u>
		Total supply	1,324
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	1,193
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensi there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality intensification target.	_
Table 4			
4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	33
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands	35 423 0 0
		Total supply outside settlement areas	458
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	425
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.	•

_	- 1	. 1 .	
- 1	ar	ж	• 5

5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?						492
			Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
		Assumed housing mix by type	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		Housing growth by type	443	49	0	492
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield					
	Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015	24	0	0	24
		Registered and Draft Approved	278	0	0	278
		Complete Applications	0	0	0	0
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses	689	129	43	862
		Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	0	0	0	0
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	991	129	43	1,164
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	991	129	43	1,164
		DGA Supply melading lands not for disant ases	331	123	43	1,104
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses	549	80	43	
		Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands	549	80	43	
		Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.				
	D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient of Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Greenfield municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Diresidential unit demand.	enfield <i>Lands for Urb</i> I, where reasonable I areas through grou	oan Uses , in one or m , to provide a better i nd-based unit types i	ore unit type categorion match between supply (e.g. singles, semis and	es, the County or and demand by unit rows) and not
Table 6			T			
6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in	A. Additional Supply approved in	Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses		0	ha	
accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Growth Plan (i.e. the 20,000	Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total additional population approved		0	persons	
additional County-wide population)?		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses	Single/Semi	Row 0	Apt.	Total 0

Table 7

	E. Response	A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , indicates there i accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Gree supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply may	enfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , inc	icates that there is insu	ifficient DGA land
		Surplus or (Deficit)	2,290		5,707
	D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7 Demand	Total Demand Total Supply	656 2,946		1,676 7,383
	•	Designated Greenfield Area	672	-	1,747
	C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth Plan Policy Area	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas Outside Settlement Areas	1,193 425		2,803 1,157
	,	Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas	1,164	2.60	3,025
	B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy Area	Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas	132 ⁴ 458		3,112 1,246
	-	Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	492	2.60	1,278
	A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy Area	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas Growth Outside Settlement Areas	133	2.35 2.72	308 89
unit analysis relate to Schedule 7			2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	Population
7. How does the overall housing			2016 2021 Units	2024	2016 -2031

Notes

- 1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.
- 2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

MUNICIPALITY: TAY

Data current as of December 2015

All Registered and Draft Approved Plans		Units Re	emaining t	to be Built		
Application/File # Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	Total Units Remaining	
TA-T-03001 Golf Course (Town	269	0	84	0	353	
TA-T-90027-PH.1 Windemere	32	0	0	0	32	
TA-T-92013-PH.3 Forest Harbour P3	18	0	0	0	18	
TA-T-92013-PH.2 Forest Harbour P2	20	0	0	0	20	
TA-T-0401-PH.1 Wycliffe Georgian Landing	0	0	27	0	27	
TA-T-0602-PH.1 Skyline Port McNicoll P1 (Dock & Swan Lane)	46	0	0	0	46	
TA-T-1201 Skyline Port McNicoll P2 (Cargill Pier)	101	0	26	47	174	
TA-T-91027-PH.1 Waverley Heights (Cole)	32	0	0	0	32	
TA-T-91022-PH.2 Riverdale P2	7	0	0	0	7	
TA-T-93026 Victoria Glen	72	0	0	0	72	
TA-MPL-1701 Victoria Glen P1	9	0	0	0	9	
TA-T-93005 Victoria Woods	58	0	0	0	58	
TA-T-93009 Huron Bay Estates	14	0	0	0	14	
TA-T-90017 Heights of Victoria Harbour	118	0	0	0	118	
					0	
					0	
Total Inside Built Boundary	147	0	53	47	247	
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area	32	0	0	0	32	
Total in Designated Greenfield Area	278	0	0	0	278	
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)	339	0	84	0	423	
Total	796	0	137	47	980	
Addition Check (all should be zero)	0	0	0	0	0	
iBB Unit Split in %		0%	21%	19%		
iUBUA Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	

Growth Plan Policy Area					
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural		
			YES		
YES					
YES					
TES					
YES					
	YES				
		YES			

Complete Applications	Pending Approval	Proposed Units by Type				
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	Total Units Proposed
•	NONE	; 				
		<u> </u>				(
Total Inside Built Boundary		0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Undelineated Built	-Up Area	0	0	0	0	C
Total in Designated Greenfield	Area	0	0	0	0	C
Total Outside Settlement Areas	s (Rural)	0	0	0	0	C
Total		0	0	0	0	0
Addition Check (all should be ze	ro)	0	0	0	0	0
	iBB Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	DGA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

100%

DGA Unit Split in %

Growth Plan Policy Area					
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural		

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

			Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type					
Settlement Area	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Port McNicoll (iBB)	738	90%	10%	0%	0%	100%	664	74	0	0	738
Victoria Harbour (iBB)	91	90%	10%	0%	0%	100%	82	9	0	0	91
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	(
Total Inside Built Boundary	829	90%	10%	0%	0%	100%	746	83	0	0	829

100%

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

			Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type					
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Waubaushene	78	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	62	0	12	4	78
Waverley	120	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	96	0	18	6	120
Port McNicoll (DGA)	552	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	442	0	83	28	552
Victoria Harbour (DGA)	309	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	248	0	46	15	309
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	l 197	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	158	0	30	10	197
DGA Total	862	80%	0%	15%	5%	100%	689	0	129	43	862
FUU Lands Total	1,059										

iUBUA	DGA
YES	
YES	
	YES
	YES

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

			Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type					
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
NONE	1	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	1 0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
FNUU Lands Total	0							_			

iUBUA	DGA

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

Designation and Leastion	Unit Detential		Assumed Housing Mix				Total Unit Potential by Type				
Designation and Location	Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
NONE		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
						0%	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

		2016 Population			
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Тау	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

	Tota	l & Occupied Housing Units,	2016 Census		
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Тау	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update

Persons Per Unit (PPU) by unit type based on 2011 Census; All Units 2016 PPUs based on 2016 Census.

Census figure
Forecast

	Adjala-Tosorontio									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04						
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98						
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94						
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83						
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84						
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81						
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80						

Bradford West Gwillimbury									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11					
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99					
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93					
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01					
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85					
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					

	Clearview									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80						
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74						
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68						
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61						
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60						
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						

Collingwood									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37					
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30					
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23					
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21					
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16					
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					

	Essa				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96	
2006	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87	
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80	
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85	
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71	
2026	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.68	
2031	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.67	

Innisfil				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57

	Midland					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42		
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31		
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24		
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22		
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17		
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15		
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15		

New Tecumseth					
	Single/Semi Row Apartment All Units				
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78	
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71	
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63	
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61	
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55	
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54	
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54	

	Oro-Medonte				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76	
2006	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71	
2011	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68	
2016	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63	
2021	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60	
2026	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57	
2031	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57	

Penetanguishene					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51	
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46	
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34	
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26	
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26	
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23	
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23	

	Ramara				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52	
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30	
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43	
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34	
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35	
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32	
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31	

Severn				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40

	Springwater					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00		
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93		
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89		
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83		
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81		
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78		
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77		

	Тау					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62		
2006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51		
2011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45		
2016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41		
2021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38		
2026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35		
2031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35		

	Tiny				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55	
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35	
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41	
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38	
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33	
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30	
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29	

Wasaga Beach				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28
2021	2.27	1. <i>7</i> 5	1.74	2.22
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20

Municipal Simcoe County				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.