		2016-2031		Difference
Growth Plan Policy Area	Schedule 7 Population Growth	Demand Housing Units Needed	Supply Unit Potential	Potential Unit Surplus at 2031
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas  Municipal-wide	800 3,445 244 4,489	349 1,310 87 1,747	730 2,579 189 3,498	38 1,26 10 <b>1,75</b>
This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land.  Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.	This is the number of additional permanent household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast.	This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.	This is the future housing unit potential based on currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions.	This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand.

# SEVERN: Residential Detailed

### Table 1

1. How many units are needed?				2016		2031 Forecast	2016-31 Change
	A. Population Components						
		Total Population		13,896		17,000	3,104
		Census Net Undercoverage	Rate	3.0%	$\rightarrow$	3.0%	
			Number	419		512	94
		Census Population		13,477		16,488	3,011
		Household Population		13,377		16,365	2,989
		Non-Household Population	Number	100		123	22
			Rate to Census	0.7%	$\rightarrow$	0.7%	
							(2.22)
	B. Households	Persons per Unit		2.46		2.40	(0.06)
		Total Occupied Households		5,436		6,807	1,371
	C. Demand for Seasonal and	Occupied Units		5,436		6,807	1,371
	Recreational Units	Total Units		6,927		8,674	1,747
	necreational office	Seasonal and Vacant Units		1,491		1,867	376
		Share Seasonal and Vacant		21.5%	$\rightarrow$	21.5%	0.0
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units		5,436		6,807	1,371
		Seasonal and Vacant		1,491		<u>1,867</u>	376
		Total Units		6,927		8,674	1,747
		Unit Growth 2016-2031		n/a		n/a	1,747

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

### **PLEASE NOTE:**

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the <u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

Table 2			
2. Where will these units be			2016-31 Change
accommodated?	A. Demand by Growth Plan policy	Unit Growth 2016-2031	1,747
	area		
		Local Municipal Intensification Target (1)	20%
		Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	<u>0%</u> 20%
		Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	20%
		Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated <b>built boundaries</b> plus the <b>undelineated built-up areas (3)</b> . In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> <b>undelineated built-up area</b> , the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated <b>built boundary</b> . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated <b>built boundaries</b> plus the <b>undelineated built-up areas (2)</b> , the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.	
		Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	349
		Share of Growth in <b>Designated Greenfield Areas</b> Unit Growth in <b>Designated Greenfield Areas</b>	75% 1,310
		Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas	5% 87
		Total All <i>Growth Plan</i> Policy Areas  Units Percent	1,747 100%

Table 3	
---------	--

Table 3		·	1		
3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	349		
	• • •	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015 Registered and Draft Approved units Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas	47 151 15 134 383 <u>0</u>		
		Total supply	730		
C	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031)  Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.			
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensi there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality intensification target.	_		
Table 4	-1				
4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	87		
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands  Total supply outside settlement areas	40 21 0 128 189		
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031)  Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	102		
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.	•		

_	- 1	. 1 .	
- 1	ar	ж	• 5

5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?	A. Demand	Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA)				1,310
			Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
		Assumed housing mix by type	90.0%	7.0%	3.0%	100.0%
		Housing growth by type	1,179	92	39	1,310
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield					
	Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015	6	0	0	$\epsilon$
		Registered and Draft Approved	455	32	161	648
		Complete Applications	0	0	0	(
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses	1,925	0	0	1,925
		Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	0	0	0	C
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	2,386	32	161	2,579
		DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses	2,386		161	2,579
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses	1,206	(60)	122	
		Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands  Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	1,206	(60)	122	
	D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient of Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Greelocal municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Eresidential unit demand.	enfield <i>Lands for Urb</i> d, where reasonable d areas through grou	oan Uses , in one or m , to provide a better i nd-based unit types i	ore unit type categorie match between supply e.g. singles, semis and	es, the County or and demand by uni rows) and not
Table 6						
6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in	A. Additional Supply approved in	Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses		0	ha	
accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the	Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total additional population approved		0	persons	
Growth Plan (i.e. the 20,000 additional County-wide		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban	Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
population)?		Uses	0	0	•	O

### Table 7

7. How does the overall housing unit analysis relate to Schedule 7			2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	2016 -2031 Population
and analysis relate to conceane ?				2001 ppu	
	A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	349	2.29	800
	Area	Growth Outside Settlement Areas	87	2.79	244
		Growth in <b>Designated Greenfield Areas</b>	1,310	2.63	3,445
		Total Supply in all delineated <b>built boundaries</b> & <b>undelineated built-up areas</b>	730	2.29	1,673
	B <b>Supply</b> by Growth Plan Policy Area	Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas	189		527
	Brouppi, by Growen Hann oney rica	Total Supply in all <b>Designated Greenfield Areas</b>	2,579		6,781
	C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	381		873
		Outside Settlement Areas	102		284
	•	Designated Greenfield Area	1,268		3,336
	D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7	Total Demand	1,747		4,489
	Demand	Total Supply	3,498		8,981
		Surplus or (Deficit)	1,751		4,492
	E. Response				
	·	A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , indicates there is accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Gree supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply may	enfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , ind	icates that there is insu	ifficient DGA land

### Notes

- 1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.
- 2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

# Municipality: SEVERN Data current as of December 2016

All Registered and Dr	aft Approved Plans		Units Re	maining t	o be Built	
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	Total Units Remaining
SV-T-0402	Riverdale Estates	21	0	0	0	2
SV-MPL-1602	SV-T-1202 - All phases	7	0	0	0	
SV-T-0706	West Shore Crescent	8	0	0	0	
SV-T-15001	Hall Coldwater Subdivision	8	0	0	0	
SV-T-0701	S Turnbull Drive/Simcoe Estates	111	30	32	0	17
SV-T-0702a	Simcoe Estates	88	0	0	0	8
SV-T-0702b	Simcoe Estates	128	0	0	0	12
SV-MPL-1701	Rimkey MPlan	15	0	0	0	•
SV-MPL-17016	Mark Rich Homes LTD. (formerly 43T-99006)	0	0	0	161	16
SV-T-0901/SV-CD-0901	Narrows Marina	7	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1704	SV-T-0705 Lots via Consent	1	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1703	Silver Springs Area 2	63	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1702	Silver Springs Area 1 (formerly SV-T- 99001) - BUILT OUT	0	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1601	SV-T-1002 All phases	9	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1705	West Shore Cove - Mplan for buildout tracking	50	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-17011	Locke Simcoe Estates Mplan	29	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-17013	Tri-J (Keller Trails) Mplan	7	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1707	Georgian Heights Mplan (All Phases)	33	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1715	Wood Ave Simcoe Estates Mplan	6	0	0	0	
SV-MPL-1708	Woodlee Meadows	6	0	0	0	
otal Inside Built Boundary		82	0	0	0	8
otal Inside Undelineated Bu	ilt-Up Area	69	0	0	0	6
otal in Designated Greenfie	425		32	161	64	
otal Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		21	0	0	0	2
Total Total		597	30	32	161	82
Addition Check (all should be	•	0	0	0	0	
	iBB Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	100
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	100
	DGA Unit Split in %	66%	5%	5%	25%	100

Growth Plan Policy Area					
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural		
			YES		
YES					
YES					
YES					
		YES			
YES					
YES					
	YES				
	YES				
	YES				
		YES			
		YES			

Complete Applications Pending Approval		Proposed Units by Type				
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	Total Units Proposed
SV-T-##10	Grey Street	0	0	15	0	15
						0
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary		0	0	15	0	15
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up	Area	0	0	0	0	0
Total in Designated Greenfield Are	a	0	0	0	0	0
Total Outside Settlement Areas (R	ural)	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	15	0	15
Addition Check (all should be zero)		0	0	0	0	0
	iBB Unit Split in %	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	DGA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Growth Plan Policy Area							
iBB	iUBUA DGA Rural						
YES							

## Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

		Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type						
		0: 1				<b>T</b> ( )	0: 1				<b>T</b> ( )
Settlement Area	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Coldwater	134	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0	0	134	0	134
West Shore - Potential		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%					
Undetermined		100%	U%	U%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Built Boundary	134	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0	0	134	0	134

## Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

			Assu	ımed Housing	g Mix			Total U	Init Potential by	/ Туре	
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Simcoe Estates Lands	480	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	480	0	0	0	480
Washago	162	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	162	0	0	0	16
Port Severn	29	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	29	0	0	0	29
Ardtrea	43	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	43	0	0	0	43
Bass Lake & Marchmont	117	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	117	0	0	0	117
Severn Falls	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	5	0	0	0	
Coldwater - DGA	920	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	920	0	0	0	92
West Shore *Contains NFUU											
Parcels*	525	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	525	0	0	0	52
Fesserton *Contains NFUU											
Parcels*	27	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	27	0	0	0	2
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	
JBUA Total	383	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	383	0	0	0	38
GA Total	1,925	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,925	0	0	0	1,92
UU Lands Total	2,308					-					

DGA
YES
YES
YEs

# Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

		Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type						
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Undetermined	0	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
	-	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	i 0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
FNULL Lands Total	0										

iUBUA	DGA

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

Designation and Location	diam and Landian Hair Barandal		Assumed Housing Mix				Total Unit Potential by Type				
Designation and Location	Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Formerly North West Shore Dev.	128	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	128	0	0	0	128
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
						0%	0	0	0	0	0
Total	128	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	128	0	0	0	128

# Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

		2016 Population			
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Tay	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

	Tota	I & Occupied Housing Units,	2016 Census		
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Tay	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

### Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update

Persons Per Unit (PPU) by unit type based on 2011 Census; All Units 2016 PPUs based on 2016 Census.

Census figure
Forecast

	Adjala-Tosorontio									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04						
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98						
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94						
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83						
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84						
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81						
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80						

Bradford West Gwillimbury									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11					
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99					
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93					
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01					
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85					
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					

	Clearview									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80						
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74						
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68						
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61						
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60						
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						

Collingwood									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37					
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30					
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23					
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21					
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16					
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					

	Essa				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96	
2006	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87	
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80	
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85	
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71	
2026	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.68	
2031	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.67	

Innisfil				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57

Midland				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15

New Tecumseth				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54

	Oro-Medonte				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76	
2006	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71	
2011	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68	
2016	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63	
2021	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60	
2026	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57	
2031	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57	

Penetanguishene					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51	
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46	
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34	
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26	
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26	
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23	
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23	

	Ramara				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52	
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30	
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43	
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34	
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35	
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32	
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31	

Severn				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40

	Springwater				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00	
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93	
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89	
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83	
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81	
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78	
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77	

Тау				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62
2006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51
2011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45
2016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41
2021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38
2026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35
2031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35

	Tiny				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55	
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35	
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41	
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38	
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33	
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30	
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29	

Wasaga Beach				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28
2021	2.27	1. <i>7</i> 5	1.74	2.22
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20

Municipal Simcoe County				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.