		2016-2031		Difference
Growth Plan Policy Area	Schedule 7 Population Growth	Demand Housing Units Needed	Supply Unit Potential	Potential Unit Surplus at 2031
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas	687 4,198 274	270 1,438 90	4,941 10,998 852	4,67 9,56 76
Municipal-wide	5,159	1,797	16,791	14,994
This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land. Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.	household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast.	This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.	currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions.	This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand. If a positive figure is indicated, there is sufficient supply identified to meet forecast demand. If a negative figure is indicated, there is a potential shortage of available supply to meet forecast demand. This is the starting point for evaluating further intensification potential or need for additional urban lands.

SPRINGWATER: Residential Detailed

Table 1

1. How many units are needed?				2016		2031 Forecast	2016-31 Change
2. Hon man, amo ale necaea.	A. Population Components			2010		200110100000	2010 01 01101180
		Total Population		19,651		24,000	4,349
		-	Rate	3.0%	\rightarrow	3.0%	
		1	Number	592		723	131
		Census Population		19,059		23,277	4,218
		Household Population		18,951		23,145	4,194
		Non-Household Population	Number	108		132	24
		F	Rate to Census	0.6%	\rightarrow	0.6%	
	B. Households	Persons per Unit		2.83		2.77	(0.06)
		Total Occupied Households		6,694		8,357	1,663
	C. Demand for Seasonal and	Occupied Units		6,694		8,357	1,663
	Recreational Units	Total Units		7,234		9,031	1,797
		Seasonal and Vacant Units		540		674	134
		Share Seasonal and Vacant		7.5%	\rightarrow	7.5%	
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units		6,694		8,357	1,663
	D. Total Ollit Dellialia	Seasonal and Vacant		540		674	1,003 134
		Total Units		7,234		9,031	1,797
		Unit Growth 2016-2031		n/a		n/a	1,797

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the <u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

Table 2			
2. Where will these units be			2016-31 Change
accommodated?	A. Demand by Growth Plan policy	Unit Growth 2016-2031	1,797
	area		
		Local Municipal Intensification Target (1)	15%
		Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	<u>0%</u> 15%
		Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	15%
		Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3) . In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> undelineated built-up area, the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2) , the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.	
		Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	270
		Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	80% 1,438
		Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas	5% 90
		Total All <i>Growth Plan</i> Policy Areas Units Percent	1,797 100%

Ta	ıh	۱.	3
			•

Table 3			1
3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	270
	B. Supply inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved units Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas	117 1,021 93 121 3,589 <u>0</u>
		Total supply	4,941
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	4,672
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensi there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality intensification target.	_
Table 4	-1		
4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	90
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands Total supply outside settlement areas	30 22 0 800 852
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	762
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.	· ·

_	- 1	. 1 .	
- 1	ar	ж	• 5

5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?	A. Demand	Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA)				
			Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
		Assumed housing mix by type	80.0%		5.0%	100.0%
		Housing growth by type	1,150	216	72	1,438
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield					
	Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015	36	0	0	36
		Registered and Draft Approved	3,777	1,001	82	4,860
		Complete Applications	1,145	102	0	1,247
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses	1,485	0	0	1,485
		Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	3,370	0	0	3,370
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	6,443	1,103	82	7,628
		DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses	9,813	1,103	82	10,998
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	5,293 8,663	887 887	10 10	
	D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, identified in Designated Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , in one or more unit type categories, the Coun local municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand, where reasonable, to provide a better match between supply and demand type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield areas through ground-based unit types (e.g. singles, semis and rows) and readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Designated Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> may be needed to accommodate residential unit demand.				es, the County or and demand by unit rows) and not
Table 6						
6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in	A. Additional Supply approved in	Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses		149	ha	
accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Growth Plan (i.e. the 20,000	Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total additional population approved	2976 persons			
additional County-wide		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban	Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
population)?		Uses	1,037	0	0	1,037

Table 7

7. How does the overall housing					2016 -2031
ınit analysis relate to Schedule 7			2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	Population
	A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	270	2.55	687
	Area	Growth Outside Settlement Areas	90		274
	1 1 1	Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	1,438		
		Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	494:	2.55	12,600
	B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy Area	Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas	852		
	,	Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas	10998		· ·
	C. Surplus or (Deficit) by <i>Growth</i>	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	4,672		11,913
	Plan Policy Area	Outside Settlement Areas	762		2,325
		Designated Greenfield Area	9,560		27,916
	D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7	Total Demand	1,797		5,159
	Demand	Total Supply	16,791		47,313
		Surplus or (Deficit)	14,994		42,154
	E. Response				
		A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area Lands for Urban Uses, indicates t accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply	d Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , inc	licates that there is insu	ufficient DGA land

Notes

- 1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.
- 2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

Municipality: SPRINGWATER Data current as of December 2016

All Registered and Draft Approved Plans		Units Remaining to be Built				
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	Total Units Remaining
SP-T-0502	Estates of Anten Mills	76	0	0	0	76
SP-T-0504	Heritage Village of Hillsdale	346	0	146	0	492
SP-T-0903	Stone Woods Manor (Yorkwood) - Phase 2	313	0	0	0	313
SP-T-15012	Snow Valley Highlands Phase 5	17	0	0	0	17
SP-T-0201	Gallo I	78	0	0	0	78
SP-T-0801	Gallo Springwater Meadows (Phase 2)	75	0	0	0	75
SP-T-1004	Geranium - CRDI - (formerly Micks)	339	0	0	0	339
SP-T-1005	Geranium - CRDI - (Formerly D. Hickling)	791	0	0	0	791
SP-T-1006	Geranium - CRDI (formerly A & R Hickling)	506	0	186	0	692
SP-T-1007	Geranium - CRDI (formerly Rome)	315	0	114	0	429
SP-T-1001	Geranium (formerly MDDRI-Coutts)	569	0	341	0	910
SP-T-16014	Midhurst Heights/Alliance Homes (Consolidated File: SP-T-0703/0705 & 0904)	992	0	360	82	1,434
SP-T-93018	Wye River Estates	71	0	0	0	71
SP-T-0402	Pro Elm (Phase 2)	74	0	0	0	74
SP-T-0102	Scarlett Line	15	0	0	0	15
SP-T-93011-PH.1	Ravines of Matheson Creek	12	0	0	0	12
SP-T-0602-PH.1	Fergusonvale Estates	1	0	0	0	1
SP-T-0702-PH.1	Maple Ridge	9	0	0	0	9
SP-T-1101-PH.1	Black Creek Estates	17	0	0	0	17
SP-MPL-1601	Stonemanor Woods (Yorkwood) - Phase 1	17	0	0	0	17
SP-T-0401-PH.1	Carson Ridge Estates	7	0	0	0	7
SP-T-98018-PH.3	Elmvale Meadows Phase 2 Stage 3	34	0	0	0	34
						0
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary		74	0	0	0	74
	Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area		0	146	0	947
_	Total in Designated Greenfield Area		0	1,001	82	4,860
	Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		0	0		22
Total		4,674		,		5,903
Addition Check (all should be ze	•	0	0	0	0	0
	iBB Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	85%	0%	15%	0%	
	DGA Unit Split in %	78%	0%	21%	2%	100%

	Growth Plan Policy Area					
	Orowen rian	· oney / wea				
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural			
	YES					
	YES					
	YES					
	YES					
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
		YES				
YES	VEC					
	YES		YES			
			YES			
			YES			
	YES					
	YES					
		YES				
		YES				

Complete Applications Pending Approval		Proposed Units by Type				
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	Total Units Proposed
SP-T-1701	John's (SP-T-0704)	214	0	0	0	214
SP-T-16017	8 & 14 Alexander St	11	0	0	0	11
SP-T-1002	Geranium - MDDRI (Jones/Coutts) - 20,000 Pop Allocation	511	0	0	0	511
SP-T-1003	Geranium - MDDRI (Wright)	212	0	102	0	314
SP-T-1202	Carson Trail (Daycore Venture Group) - 20,000 Pop Allocation	88	0	0	0	88
SP-T-0905	Delfina	22	0	0	0	22
SP-T-1201	Midves II (285622 Ont Ltd) - 20,000 Pop Allocation	98	0	0	0	98
SP-T-1606	Kerr St.	0	0	0	6	6
SP-T-1607	Woods/Beacock	0	0	12	0	12
SP-T-16012	Sajed	50	0	0	14	64
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary		50	0	12	20	82
Total Inside Undelineated Buil	•	11				11
Total in Designated Greenfield		1,145				1,247
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		0		0	0	0
Total		1,206	0	114	20	1,340
Addition Check (all should be zer		0	0	0	0	0
	iBB Unit Split in %	61%	0%	15%	24%	100%
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	DGA Unit Split in %	92%	0%	8%	0%	100%

Growth Plan Policy Area					
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural		
		YES			
	YES				
		YES			
YES					
YES					
YES					

100%

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

Unit Potential on All Va	acani Lanus Willim Delinea	lea buill b	oundary								
		Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type						
Settlement Area	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Elmvale (iBB)	00	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Midhurst (iBB)	121	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	121	0	0	0	121
	!	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Built Boundary	121	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	121	0	0	0	121

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

			Assı	umed Housin	g Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type			
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Snow Valley Highlands Phase 2b	92	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	92	0	0	0	92
Snow Valley Highlands Phase 3b	96	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	96	0	0	0	96
Train Ave - Elmvale DGA	23	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	23	0	0	0	23
Stone/Coughlin - Elmvale DGA Anten Mills UBUA *Includes	18	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	18	0	0	0	18
NFUU Parcels*	444	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	444	0	0	0	444
Centre Vespra UBUA *Includes NFUU Parcels*	100	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100	0	0	0	100
Hillsdale *Includes NFUU Parcels* Phelpston *Includes NFUU	691	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	691	0	0	0	691
Parcels*	215	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	215	0	0	0	215
Snow Valley UBUA *Include NFUU Parcels*	1,952	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,952	0	0	0	1,952
Elmvale (DGA) *Includes NFUU*	533	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	533	0	0	0	533
Midhurst DGA Neighbourhood 1 - Vacant DGA	18	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	18	0	0	0	18
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16106	661	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	661	0	0	0	661
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16107	233	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	233	0	0	0	233
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	3,589	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	3,589	0	0	0	3,589
DGA Total	1,485	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,485	0	0	0	1,485
FUU Lands Total	5,075										

iUBUA	DGA
YES	
YES	
	YES
	YES
YES	
	YES
	YES
	YES
	YES

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

		_	Assı	umed Housin	g Mix			Total l	Jnit Potential b	у Туре	
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
20,000 Program Allocation (Geranium - MDDRI) - 17102	189	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	189	0	0	0	189
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16102	120	70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	84	36	0	0	120
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16103	483	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	483	0	0	0	483
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16105	162	70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	113	49	0	0	162
20,000 Program Allocation - 124 Doran Road	142	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	142	0	0	0	142
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 17101	528	70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	369	158	0	0	528
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16108	337	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	337	0	0	0	337
Midhurst Vacant DGA - 16104	1,409	70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	986	423	0	0	1,409
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	C
Į.		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	C
UBUA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	C
DGA Total	3,370	80%	20%	0%	0%	100%	2,704	666	0	0	3,370
NUU Lands Total	3,370										

iUBUA	DGA
	YES

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

Ī	Designation and Location Unit Potential			Assumed Housing Mix				Total Unit Potential by Type				
Designation and Location		Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
ſ	Spring Lakes ALC Lands	800	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	800	0	0	0	800
			100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
							0%	0	0	0	0	0
ľ	Total	800	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	800	0	0	0	800

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

		2016 Population			
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Tay	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

	Tota	I & Occupied Housing Units,	2016 Census		
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Tay	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update

Persons Per Unit (PPU) by unit type based on 2011 Census; All Units 2016 PPUs based on 2016 Census.

Census figure Forecast

	Adjala-Tosorontio										
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units							
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04							
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98							
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94							
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83							
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84							
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81							
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80							

	Bradford West Gwillimbury										
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units							
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11							
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99							
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93							
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01							
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85							
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82							
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82							

	Clearview										
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units							
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80							
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74							
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68							
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61							
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60							
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57							
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57							

Collingwood										
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37						
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30						
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23						
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21						
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16						
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14						
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14						

	Essa				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96	
Note: T	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87	
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80	
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85	
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71	
2026	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.68	
2031	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.67	

Innisfil					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80	
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74	
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68	
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73	
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60	
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58	
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57	

Midland					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42	
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31	
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24	
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22	
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17	
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15	
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15	

New Tecumseth					
	Single/Semi Row Apartment All Units				
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78	
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71	
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63	
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61	
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55	
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54	
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54	

	Oro-Medonte				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76	
2006	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71	
2011	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68	
2016	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63	
2021	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60	
2026	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57	
2031	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57	

Penetanguishene					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51	
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46	
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34	
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26	
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26	
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23	
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23	

	Ramara				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52	
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30	
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43	
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34	
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35	
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32	
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31	

Severn					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65	
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57	
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52	
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46	
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44	
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41	
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40	

	Springwater					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00		
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93		
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89		
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83		
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81		
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78		
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77		

Тау					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62	
2006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51	
2011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45	
2016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41	
2021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38	
2026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35	
2031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35	

	Tiny					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55		
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35		
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41		
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38		
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33		
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30		
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29		

Wasaga Beach				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28
2021	2.27	1.75	1.74	2.22
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20

Municipal Simcoe County				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.