| | | 2016-2031 | | Difference | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Growth Plan Policy Area | Schedule 7 Population Growth | Demand Housing Units Needed | Supply Unit Potential | Potential Unit Surplus at 2031 | |
| Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas Municipal-wide | 924 1,594 - 2,519 | 420 630 - 1,050 | 1,049 1,493 - 2,542 | 629 863 - 1,492 | |
| This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land. Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis. | This is the number of additional permanent household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast. | This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents. | This is the future housing unit potential based on currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions. | This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand. | |

PENETANGUISHENE: Residential Detailed

Table 1

| 1. How many units are needed? | | | 2016 | | 2031 Forecast | 2016-31 Change |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | A. Population Components | | | | | |
| | | Total Population | 9,240 | | 11,500 | 2,260 |
| | | Census Net Undercoverage Rate | 3.0% | \rightarrow | 3.0% | - |
| | | Number | 278 | | 346 | 68 |
| | | Census Population | 8,962 | | 11,154 | 2,192 |
| | | Household Population | 8,317 | | 10,351 | 2,034 |
| | | Non-Household Population Number | 645 | | 803 | 158 |
| | | Rate to Census | 7.2% | \rightarrow | 7.2% | - |
| | B. Households | Persons per Unit | 2.26 | | 2.23 | (0.03 |
| | | Total Occupied Households | 3,679 | | 4,648 | 969 |
| | C. Damand for Consendend | O accoming diffusition | 2.670 | | 4.640 | 000 |
| | C. Demand for Seasonal and Recreational Units | Occupied Units Total Units | 3,679 | | 4,648 | 969 |
| | Recreational Onits | Seasonal and Vacant Units | 3,990 311 | | 5,040 393 | 1,050 |
| | | Share Seasonal and Vacant | 7.8% | \rightarrow | 7.8% | 02 |
| | D. Total Unit Demand | Occupied Units | 3,679 | | 4,648 | 969 |
| | | Seasonal and Vacant Total Units | 3 <u>11</u> 3,990 | | 393 5,040 | 8 <u>2</u> 1,050 |
| | | Unit Growth 2016-2031 | n/a | | n/a | 1,050 |

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the <u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

| 2. Where will these units be | | | 2016-31 Change | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| accommodated? | A. Demand by Growth Plan policy | Unit Growth 2016-2031 | 1,050 | | | | |
| | area | Local Municipal Intensification Target (1) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2) | <u>0%</u> 40% | | | | |
| | | Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3) | | | | | |
| | | Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3). In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> undelineated built-up area , the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2), the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target. | : | | | | |
| | | Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas | | | | | |
| | Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas | 60% 630 | | | | | |
| | | Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas | 0% | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Total All <i>Growth Plan</i> Policy Areas | 1,050 | | | | |
| 1 | | Percent | 100% | | | | |

| Table 3 |
|---------|
|---------|

| 3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas? | A. Demand | Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas | 420 | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| b | B. Supply inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas | Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved units Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas | 22 44 123 860 0 | | | |
| | | Total supply | 1,049 | | | |
| С | C. Sufficiency of Supply | Sufficiency of Supply Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall. | | | | |
| | D. Response | If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intens there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality intensification target. | • | | | |
| Table 4 4. What is the status of the land | | | | | | |
| supply outside settlement areas? | A. Demand | Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas | 0 | | | |
| | B. Supply outside settlement areas | Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands Total supply outside settlement areas | 0 0 0 0 0 | | | |
| | C. Sufficiency of Supply | Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall. | 0 | | | |
| | D. Response | If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans. | | | | |

| _ | _ | | | _ |
|---|----|---|-----|---|
| | ıa | h | le. | 5 |

| 5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas? | A. Demand | Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA) Single/Semi Row Apt. | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | Assumed housing mix by type Housing growth by type | Single/Semi 45.0% 284 | | Apt. 45.0% 284 | Total 100.0% 630 |
| | B. Supply in Designated Greenfield Areas (DGA) | Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses | 0 215 0 1,024 0 1,239 1,239 | 0 40 0 0 0 40 40 | 0 214 0 0 0 214 214 | 0 469 0 1,024 0 1,493 1,493 |
| | C. Sufficiency of Supply | Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall. | 955 955 | (23) (23) | (70) (70) | |
| | D. Response | If there is a surplus in all unit types on Lands For Urban Uses, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, identified in I Greenfield Lands For Urban Uses to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Greenfield Lands for Urban Uses, in one or more unit type categori local municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand, where reasonable, to provide a better match between supply type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield areas through ground-based unit types (e.g. singles, semis and readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Designated Greenfield Lands For Urban Uses may be needed to residential unit demand. | | | | es, the County or and demand by unit rows) and not |
| Table 6 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| 6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in | A. Additional Supply approved in | Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses | | 8.79 | ha | |
| accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Growth Plan (i.e. the 20,000 | Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation. | Total additional population approved | | 391 | persons | |
| additional County-wide population)? | | Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses | Single/Semi 0 | Row 217 | Apt. 0 | Total 217 |

Table 7

| Table 7 | 1 | | | | 12046 2024 |
|------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| 7. How does the overall housing | | | | | 2016 -2031 |
| unit analysis relate to Schedule 7 | | | 2016 -2031 Units | 2031 ppu | Population |
| | | | | | |
| | A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy | Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas | 420 | 2.20 | 924 |
| | Area | Growth Outside Settlement Areas | - | 0.00 | - |
| | | Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas | 630 | 2.53 | 1,594 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas | 1,049 | 2.20 | 2,308 |
| | B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy Area | Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas | C | 0.00 | - |
| | | Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas | 1,493 | 2.53 | 3,777 |
| | | | | | |
| | C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth | Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas | 629 | | 1,383 |
| | Plan Policy Area | Outside Settlement Areas | - | | - |
| | | Designated Greenfield Area | 863 | | 2,183 |
| | L | | | | |
| | D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7 | | 1,050 | | 2,519 |
| | Demand | Total Supply | 2,542 | | 6,085 |
| | | Surplus or (Deficit) | 1,492 | | 3,566 |
| | E. Response | | | | |
| | | A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area Lands for Urban Uses, indicates there is sufficient suppl accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area Land supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply may need to be ident | s for Urban Uses , ind | icates that there is insu | ufficient DGA land |

Notes

^{1.} For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.

^{2.} The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

Municipality: PENETANGUISHENE Data current as of December 2016

| All Registered and Dra | aft Approved Plans | | Units Re | emaining t | o be Built | |
|---------------------------------|--|---------|----------|------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Application/File # | Development Name | Singles | Semis | Rows | Apts. | Total Units Remaining |
| PT-T-1701 | Martin Marchildon (Phase 2) *Pre | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | } |
| PT-T-1602 | White Water Holdings Ltd. | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| PT-T-1606 | Forestdale Subdivision | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| PT-T-92012 | Champlain Woods | 36 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 20 |
| PT-MPL-1701 | Payette Drive Consents *Pre 2017 file* | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| PT-MPL-1702 | Payette Drive Consents *Pre 2017 file* | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| PT-MPL-1703 | Martin Marchildon (Phase 2) M-Plan *Pre 2017 file* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 51M-653-Ph. 2a | John Fink Ph. 2a | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 51M-555 | Maxson Subdivision (Phase 1) | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PT-SPC-1602 | Cambridge St Consent Lots | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| PT-MPL-1602 | Bridlewood Meadows - All phases | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 51M-887, Block 80 | Block 80 Apts. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 4 |
| PT-T-0401-Ph.3 | Sherco Properties Inc. Phase 3 (Bellisle Heights Subdisvision) | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| PT-T-0401-Ph.2 | Sherco Properties Inc. Phase 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 51M-392 | Forestdale Estates | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Inside Built Boundary | | 38 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Inside Undelineated Bu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (| |
| Total in Designated Greenfie | 215 | | | 214 | 469 | |
| Total Outside Settlement Ar | 0 | - 1 | | | (| |
| Total | | 253 | | • | 214 | 513 |
| Addition Check (all should be a | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | iBB Unit Split in % | 86% | 14% | 0% | 0% | 1009 |
| | iUBUA Unit Split in % | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/ |

| Growth Plan Policy Area | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-----|-------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| iBB | iUBUA | DGA | Rural | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Complete Applications | s Pending Approval | Proposed Units by Type | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|------------|-------------------------|
| Application/File # | Development Name | Singles | Semis | Rows | Apartments | Total Units Proposed |
| PT-T-1301 | Queen's Court | 87 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 115 |
| PT-T-1601 | Five lot Consent - 27 Payette Drive | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | | | | | | 0 |
| Total Inside Built Boundary | Total Inside Built Boundary | | | 28 | 0 | 123 |
| Total Inside Undelineated Bu | սilt-Up Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total in Designated Greenfie | eld Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Outside Settlement Ar | eas (Rural) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 89 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 123 |
| Addition Check (all should be a | zero) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | iBB Unit Split in % | 72% | 5% | 23% | 0% | 100% |
| | iUBUA Unit Split in % | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

46%

n/a

0%

9%

46%

n/a

100%

n/a

DGA Unit Split in %

DGA Unit Split in %

| Growth Plan Policy Area | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| iBB | iUBUA DGA Rural | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | | |
| YES | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

| Office of All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------|---------------------|------|------|------------------------------|--------|------|-----|------|-------|
| | | | Assumed Housing Mix | | | Total Unit Potential by Type | | | | | |
| Settlement Area | Total Unit Potential | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total |
| PT-OPA-1527 - Wright - 20,000 | | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | | | | | |
| Population Program | 217 | U% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 217 |
| Built Boundary Vacant Lots | 643 | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 643 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 643 |
| | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside Built Boundary | 860 | 75% | 0% | 25% | 0% | 100% | 643 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 860 |

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

| | | Assumed Housing Mix | | | Total Unit Potential by Type | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------|-----|------------------------------|-------|--------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Location/Land Holder | Total Unit Potential | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total |
| DGA (East, Central, West) | 1,024 | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 1,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,024 |
| | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UBUA Total | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DGA Total | 1,024 | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 1,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,024 |
| FUU Lands Total | 1,024 | | | | | | | | | | |

| iUBUA | DGA |
|-------|-----|
| | YES |
| | |

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

| Unit Potential on All Vac | ant Lands Not For Urban | Uses | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|------------------------------|--------|------|-----|------|-------|
| | | | Assumed Housing Mix | | | Total Unit Potential by Type | | | | | |
| Location/Land Holder | Total Unit Potential | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total |
| Unit potential on Lands Not For Urban Uses has not been determined for the Town of Penetanguishene. | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iUBUA Total | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DGA Total | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FNUU Lands Total | 0 | | - | | | _ | | _ | | | |

| - | |
|-------|-----|
| iUBUA | DGA |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

| Designation and Leasting | | Assumed Housing Mix | | | | Total Unit Potential by Type | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|------|-----|------|------------------------------|--------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Designation and Location | tion and Location Unit Potential | | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total | Single | Semi | Row | Apt. | Total |
| All lands in the Town of Penetanghishene are within the | 0 | | | | | | · | | | | |
| Town's Settlement Area. | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

| | | 2016 Population | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Municipality | Household | Non-Household | Census | Undercoverage | Total |
| Adjala-Tosorontio | 10,858 | 117 | 10,975 | 341 | 11,316 |
| Bradford West Gwillimbury | 34,882 | 443 | 35,325 | 1,097 | 36,422 |
| Clearview | 13,905 | 246 | 14,151 | 440 | 14,591 |
| Collingwood | 21,084 | 709 | 21,793 | 677 | 22,470 |
| Essa | 20,473 | 610 | 21,083 | 655 | 21,738 |
| Innisfil | 36,433 | 133 | 36,566 | 1,136 | 37,702 |
| Midland | 16,368 | 496 | 16,864 | 524 | 17,388 |
| New Tecumseth | 33,637 | 605 | 34,242 | 1,063 | 35,305 |
| Oro-Medonte | 20,991 | 45 | 21,036 | 653 | 21,689 |
| Penetanguishene | 8,317 | 645 | 8,962 | 278 | 9,240 |
| Ramara | 9,437 | 51 | 9,488 | 295 | 9,783 |
| Severn | 13,377 | 100 | 13,477 | 419 | 13,896 |
| Springwater | 18,951 | 108 | 19,059 | 592 | 19,651 |
| Тау | 9,960 | 73 | 10,033 | 312 | 10,345 |
| Tiny | 11,680 | 107 | 11,787 | 366 | 12,153 |
| Wasaga Beach | 20,513 | 162 | 20,675 | 642 | 21,317 |
| Municipal Simcoe County | 300,823 | 4,693 | 305,516 | 9,489 | 315,005 |
| Barrie | 138,860 | 2,574 | 141,434 | 4,393 | 145,827 |
| Orillia | 30,054 | 1,112 | 31,166 | 968 | 32,134 |
| Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations) | 469,687 | 8,429 | 478,116 | 14,849 | 492,965 |
| First Nation Areas | 617 | 917 | 1,534 | 48 | 1,582 |
| Simcoe Census Division | 469,851 | 9,799 | 479,650 | 14,897 | 494,547 |

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

| | Tota | I & Occupied Housing Units, | 2016 Census | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Municipality | Total Housing Units | Occupied Housing Units | Difference | % Seasonal / Vacant | PPU (All units) |
| Adjala-Tosorontio | 3,974 | 3,834 | 140 | 3.5% | 2.83 |
| Bradford West Gwillimbury | 11,918 | 11,591 | 327 | 2.7% | 3.01 |
| Clearview | 6,040 | 5,335 | 705 | 11.7% | 2.61 |
| Collingwood | 11,617 | 9,556 | 2,061 | 17.7% | 2.21 |
| Essa | 7,478 | 7,179 | 299 | 4.0% | 2.85 |
| Innisfil | 14,875 | 13,364 | 1,511 | 10.2% | 2.73 |
| Midland | 7,739 | 7,374 | 365 | 4.7% | 2.22 |
| New Tecumseth | 13,191 | 12,906 | 285 | 2.2% | 2.61 |
| Oro-Medonte | 9,013 | 7,989 | 1,024 | 11.4% | 2.63 |
| Penetanguishene | 3,990 | 3,679 | 311 | 7.8% | 2.26 |
| Ramara | 6,028 | 4,032 | 1,996 | 33.1% | 2.34 |
| Severn | 6,927 | 5,436 | 1,491 | 21.5% | 2.46 |
| Springwater | 7,234 | 6,694 | 540 | 7.5% | 2.83 |
| Tay | 4,931 | 4,127 | 804 | 16.3% | 2.41 |
| Tiny | 9,712 | 4,899 | 4,813 | 49.6% | 2.38 |
| Wasaga Beach | 12,516 | 9,005 | 3,511 | 28.1% | 2.28 |
| Municipal Simcoe County | 137,183 | 117,000 | 20,183 | 14.7% | 2.57 |
| Barrie | 54,227 | 52,476 | 1,751 | 3.2% | 2.65 |
| Orillia | 14,287 | 13,477 | 810 | 5.7% | 2.23 |
| Simcoe Census Division | 205,697 | 182,953 | 22,744 | 11.1% | 2.57 |

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update

Persons Per Unit (PPU) by unit type based on 2011 Census; All Units 2016 PPUs based on 2016 Census.

Census figure
Forecast

| | Adjala-Tosorontio | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 3.07 | n/a | 1.78 | 3.04 | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 3.01 | n/a | 2.48 | 2.98 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 2.94 | 4.00 | 1.75 | 2.94 | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 2.91 | 3.95 | 1.73 | 2.83 | | | | | | |
| 2021 | 2.86 | 3.89 | 1.70 | 2.84 | | | | | | |
| 2026 | 2.83 | 3.86 | 1.68 | 2.81 | | | | | | |
| 2031 | 2.83 | 3.86 | 1.68 | 2.80 | | | | | | |

| Bradford West Gwillimbury | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | | | | | |
| 2001 | 3.35 | 2.30 | 2.06 | 3.11 | | | | | |
| 2006 | 3.12 | 2.59 | 2.34 | 2.99 | | | | | |
| 2011 | 3.09 | 2.55 | 2.16 | 2.93 | | | | | |
| 2016 | 3.05 | 2.52 | 2.14 | 3.01 | | | | | |
| 2021 | 3.00 | 2.48 | 2.10 | 2.85 | | | | | |
| 2026 | 2.97 | 2.46 | 2.08 | 2.82 | | | | | |
| 2031 | 2.97 | 2.46 | 2.08 | 2.82 | | | | | |

| | Clearview | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 2.89 | 2.35 | 1.50 | 2.80 | | | | | | |
| 2006 | 2.79 | 2.38 | 2.05 | 2.74 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 2.75 | 1.96 | 1.81 | 2.68 | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 2.72 | 1.94 | 1.79 | 2.61 | | | | | | |
| 2021 | 2.67 | 1.91 | 1.76 | 2.60 | | | | | | |
| 2026 | 2.64 | 1.89 | 1.74 | 2.57 | | | | | | |
| 2031 | 2.64 | 1.89 | 1.74 | 2.57 | | | | | | |

| Collingwood | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | | | | | |
| 2001 | 2.66 | 2.35 | 1.57 | 2.37 | | | | | |
| 2006 | 2.54 | 2.16 | 1.69 | 2.30 | | | | | |
| 2011 | 2.46 | 2.21 | 1.62 | 2.23 | | | | | |
| 2016 | 2.43 | 2.18 | 1.60 | 2.21 | | | | | |
| 2021 | 2.39 | 2.15 | 1.57 | 2.16 | | | | | |
| 2026 | 2.37 | 2.13 | 1.56 | 2.14 | | | | | |
| 2031 | 2.37 | 2.13 | 1.56 | 2.14 | | | | | |

| | Essa | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | |
| 2001 | 3.04 | 3.17 | 1.85 | 2.96 | |
| 2006 | 2.93 | 2.54 | 2.03 | 2.87 | |
| 2011 | 2.86 | 2.35 | 2.24 | 2.80 | |
| 2016 | 2.83 | 2.32 | 2.22 | 2.85 | |
| 2021 | 2.78 | 2.29 | 2.18 | 2.71 | |
| 2026 | <i>2.75</i> | 2.27 | 2.16 | 2.68 | |
| 2031 | <i>2.75</i> | 2.27 | 2.16 | 2.67 | |

| Innisfil | | | | |
|----------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units |
| 2001 | 2.83 | 2.92 | 1.64 | 2.80 |
| 2006 | 2.74 | 2.87 | 2.52 | 2.74 |
| 2011 | 2.69 | 2.68 | 2.31 | 2.68 |
| 2016 | 2.66 | 2.65 | 2.28 | 2.73 |
| 2021 | 2.61 | 2.61 | 2.25 | 2.60 |
| 2026 | 2.59 | 2.59 | 2.23 | 2.58 |
| 2031 | 2.58 | 2.59 | 2.22 | 2.57 |

| Midland | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | |
| 2001 | 2.61 | 1.86 | 1.92 | 2.42 | |
| 2006 | 2.52 | 2.00 | 1.78 | 2.31 | |
| 2011 | 2.45 | 2.04 | 1.71 | 2.24 | |
| 2016 | 2.42 | 2.02 | 1.69 | 2.22 | |
| 2021 | 2.38 | 1.99 | 1.65 | 2.17 | |
| 2026 | 2.35 | 1.98 | 1.64 | 2.15 | |
| 2031 | 2.35 | 1.99 | 1.64 | 2.15 | |

| New Tecumseth | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| | Single/Semi Row Apartment All Units | | | | |
| 2001 | 2.99 | 2.50 | 1.78 | 2.78 | |
| 2006 | 2.87 | 2.73 | 1.82 | 2.71 | |
| 2011 | 2.77 | 2.57 | 1.86 | 2.63 | |
| 2016 | 2.73 | 2.54 | 1.84 | 2.61 | |
| 2021 | 2.69 | 2.50 | 1.81 | 2.55 | |
| 2026 | 2.66 | 2.48 | 1.79 | 2.54 | |
| 2031 | 2.66 | 2.48 | 1.79 | 2.54 | |

| | Oro-Medonte | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | |
| 2001 | 2.78 | 1.20 | 2.53 | 2.76 | |
| 2006 | 2.75 | 1.60 | 1.71 | 2.71 | |
| 2011 | 2.69 | 2.40 | 2.19 | 2.68 | |
| 2016 | 2.66 | 2.37 | 2.17 | 2.63 | |
| 2021 | 2.61 | 2.34 | 2.13 | 2.60 | |
| 2026 | 2.59 | 2.33 | 2.11 | 2.57 | |
| 2031 | 2.58 | 2.34 | 2.10 | 2.57 | |

| | Penetanguishene | | | | |
|------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| | Single/Semi Row Apartment All Un | | | | |
| 2001 | 2.79 | 1.89 | 1.74 | 2.51 | |
| 2006 | 2.70 | 1.92 | 1.81 | 2.46 | |
| 2011 | 2.56 | 1.71 | 1.78 | 2.34 | |
| 2016 | 2.53 | 1.68 | 1.76 | 2.26 | |
| 2021 | 2.48 | 1.66 | 1.73 | 2.26 | |
| 2026 | 2.46 | 1.65 | 1.71 | 2.23 | |
| 2031 | 2.45 | 1.66 | 1.71 | 2.23 | |

| | Ramara | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | |
| 2001 | 2.58 | 2.10 | n/a | 2.52 | |
| 2006 | 2.33 | 1.69 | 2.70 | 2.30 | |
| 2011 | 2.49 | 1.73 | 1.88 | 2.43 | |
| 2016 | 2.46 | 1.70 | 1.85 | 2.34 | |
| 2021 | 2.42 | 1.68 | 1.82 | 2.35 | |
| 2026 | 2.39 | 1.67 | 1.80 | 2.32 | |
| 2031 | 2.39 | 1.68 | 1.80 | 2.31 | |

| Severn | | | | |
|--------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units |
| 2001 | 2.68 | 1.00 | 2.21 | 2.65 |
| 2006 | 2.62 | n/a | 1.82 | 2.57 |
| 2011 | 2.56 | 1.40 | 1.89 | 2.52 |
| 2016 | 2.53 | 1.38 | 1.87 | 2.46 |
| 2021 | 2.48 | 1.36 | 1.83 | 2.44 |
| 2026 | 2.46 | 1.36 | 1.81 | 2.41 |
| 2031 | 2.46 | 1.36 | 1.81 | 2.40 |

| | Springwater | | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | | |
| 2001 | 3.05 | 1.25 | 2.21 | 3.00 | | |
| 2006 | 2.99 | 0.00 | 1.87 | 2.93 | | |
| 2011 | 2.94 | 2.17 | 1.98 | 2.89 | | |
| 2016 | 2.91 | 2.14 | 1.96 | 2.83 | | |
| 2021 | 2.86 | 2.11 | 1.92 | 2.81 | | |
| 2026 | 2.83 | 2.10 | 1.91 | 2.78 | | |
| 2031 | 2.82 | 2.11 | 1.90 | 2.77 | | |

| Тау | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units |
| 2001 | 2.64 | 0.00 | 2.19 | 2.62 |
| 2006 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 2.51 |
| 2011 | 2.47 | 1.67 | 1.96 | 2.45 |
| 2016 | 2.45 | 1.64 | 1.94 | 2.41 |
| 2021 | 2.40 | 1.62 | 1.90 | 2.38 |
| 2026 | 2.38 | 1.62 | 1.88 | 2.35 |
| 2031 | 2.37 | 1.62 | 1.88 | 2.35 |

| | Tiny | | | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units | |
| 2001 | 2.55 | 0.00 | 2.76 | 2.55 | |
| 2006 | 2.34 | 0.00 | 3.10 | 2.35 | |
| 2011 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 2.15 | 2.41 | |
| 2016 | 2.39 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 2.38 | |
| 2021 | 2.34 | 0.00 | 2.09 | 2.33 | |
| 2026 | 2.32 | 0.00 | 2.07 | 2.30 | |
| 2031 | 2.32 | 0.00 | 2.06 | 2.29 | |

| Wasaga Beach | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units |
| 2001 | 2.41 | 1.86 | 2.02 | 2.38 |
| 2006 | 2.41 | 1.95 | 1.61 | 2.38 |
| 2011 | 2.34 | 1.80 | 1.79 | 2.30 |
| 2016 | 2.31 | 1.78 | 1.77 | 2.28 |
| 2021 | 2.27 | 1. <i>7</i> 5 | 1.74 | 2.22 |
| 2026 | 2.25 | 1.74 | 1.72 | 2.20 |
| 2031 | 2.25 | 1.74 | 1.72 | 2.20 |

| Municipal Simcoe County | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | Single/Semi | Row | Apartment | All Units |
| 2001 | 2.83 | 2.34 | 1.86 | 2.72 |
| 2006 | 2.72 | 2.34 | 1.92 | 2.63 |
| 2011 | 2.68 | 2.28 | 1.86 | 2.58 |
| 2016 | 2.65 | 2.25 | 1.84 | 2.57 |
| 2021 | 2.60 | 2.21 | 1.81 | 2.50 |
| 2026 | 2.58 | 2.18 | 1.79 | 2.48 |
| 2031 | 2.58 | 2.18 | 1.79 | 2.48 |

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.