		2016-2031		Difference
Growth Plan Policy Area	Schedule 7 Population Demand Growth Housing Units Needed		Supply Unit Potential	Potential Unit Surplus at 2031
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas Municipal-wide	7,953 13,148 228 21,328	3,314 4,888 83 8,284	1,911 4,487 31 6,429	(1,40 (40 (5 (1,85
This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land. Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.	household residents that will need to be	This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.		This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand. If a positive figure is indicated, there is sufficient supply identified to meet forecast demand. If a negative figure is indicated, there is a potential shortage of available supply to meet forecast demand. This is the starting point for evaluating further intensification potential or need for additional urban lands.

NEW TECUMSETH: Residential Detailed

Table 1

2. Where will these units be

1. How many units are needed?				2016		2031 Forecast	2016-31 Change
	A. Population Components						
		Total Population		35,305		56,000	20,695
		Census Net Undercoverage	Rate	3.0%	\rightarrow	3.0%	
			Number	1,063		1,687	623
		Census Population		34,242		54,313	20,071
		Household Population		33,637		53,354	19,717
		Non-Household Population	Number	605		959	355
			Rate to Census	1.8%	\rightarrow	1.8%	-
	B. Households	Persons per Unit		2.61		2.54	(0.07
		Total Occupied Households		12,906		21,011	8,105
	C. Demand for Seasonal and	Occupied Units		12.006		21 011	9.105
		Total Units		12,906		21,011	8,105
	Recreational Units	Seasonal and Vacant Units		13,191 285		21,475 464	8,284 179
		Share Seasonal and Vacant		2.2%	\rightarrow	2.2%	179
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units Seasonal and Vacant Total Units		12,906 <u>285</u> 13,191		21,011 <u>464</u> 21,475	8,105 <u>179</u> 8,284
		Unit Growth 2016-2031		n/a		n/a	8,284

Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas

Total All *Growth Plan* Policy Areas

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the <u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data Collection and Analysis Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

Units

Percent

2016-31 Change

83

8,284

100%

accommodated?	A. Demand by Growth Plan policy	Unit Growth 2016-2031	8,284
	area		
		Local Municipal Intensification Target (1)	40%
		Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	<u>0%</u>
		Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	40%
		Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3) . In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> undelineated built-up area , the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2) , the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.	
		Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	3,314
		Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	59%
		Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	4,888
		Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas	1%

_			_
Тэ	h	Δ١	2

Table 3			
3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	3,314
	B. Supply inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved units Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas	18 1,437 60 396 0
		Total supply	1,911
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	(1,403)
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensit there is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality should be added to the subject municipal	_
Table 4			
4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	83
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands Total supply outside settlement areas	6 0 0 25 31
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.	(52)
	D. Response	If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The a policy decision within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.	•

Table 5	1						
5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?	A. Demand	Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA)					
			Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	
		Assumed housing mix by type	75.0%		10.0%	100.0%	
		Housing growth by type	3,666	733	489	4,888	
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield						
	Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2015	623	113	0	736	
		Registered and Draft Approved	2,121	465	905	3,491	
		Complete Applications	0	0	0	0	
		Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses	260	0	0	260	
		Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	0	0	0	0	
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses	3,004	578	905	4,487	
		DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses	3,004	578	905	4,487	
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses	(662)	(155)	416		
		Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands	(662)	(155)	416		
		Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.					
	D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient of Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Greenfield municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demand type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greenfield readily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional Designated Greenfield unit demand.	enfield <i>Lands for Urb</i> I, where reasonable, I areas through grou	<i>an Uses</i> , in one or m to provide a better r nd-based unit types (ore unit type categorie match between supply e.g. singles, semis and	es, the County or and demand by uni rows) and not	
Table 6			_				
6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in	A. Additional Supply approved in	Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses		0	ha		
accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the <i>Growth Plan</i> (i.e. the 20,000	Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total additional population approved		0	persons		
additional County-wide		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban	Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	
population)?		Uses	0			0	

Table 7

Table 7			1		1
7. How does the overall housing					2016 -2031
unit analysis relate to Schedule 7		-	2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	Population
	A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	3314	2.40	7,953
	Area	Growth Outside Settlement Areas	83	2.75	228
		Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	4,888	2.69	13,148
		Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	1911	2.40	4,586
	B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy Area	Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas	31	2.75	85
		Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas	4,487	2.69	12,070
	C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	(1,403)		(3,366)
	<i>Plan</i> Policy Area	Outside Settlement Areas	(52)		(143)
		Designated Greenfield Area	(401)		(1,078)
	D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7	Total Demand	8,284		21,328
	Demand	Total Supply	6,429		16,742
		Surplus or (Deficit)	(1,855)		(4,587)
	E. Response				
		A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area Lands for Urban Uses, indicates there is sufficient suppl	y of Designated Green	afield Lands For Urban	llses to
		accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area Land	-		
			-		
		supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply may need to be ident	illed tillough the desig	gnation of Lunus NOL F	ui uibuli uses .

Notes

- 1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.
- 2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

Municipality: NEW TECUMSETH Data current as of December 2016

All Registered and Dr	aft Approved Plans		Units Re	emaining t	o be Built	
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	Total Unit Remainin
NT-T-0903	York-Hop Corporation (Nautical)	0	36	23	0	Į
NT-T-0304	Nottawasaga Estates	160	0	0	0	16
NT-T-0601/0306	Rizzardo (east)	105	0	62	0	10
NT-T-99009	Briar Hill West-1	170	0	0	0	1
NT-T-95017	LRG Tottenham	94	204	41	104	4
NT-T-0901	NHD Developments/Sorbara Beeton	457	120	0	0	5
NT-T-0902	Alliance Homes/Big Country Homes	37	0	0	0	
NT-T-03002	Belterra	773	48	360	0	1,1
NT-T-1603	Fletcher Meadows	157	0	0	0	1
NT-MPL-16012	Rayville	30	0	0	0	
51M-1013	York-Hop Corporation (Nautical)	0	3	24	0	
51m-1038	Ballymore	0	0	0	108	1
51m-1025	Ballymore	4	0	0	0	
NT-MPL-1704	Ballymore Phase 4A	5	0	0	0	
NT-MPL-16013	Big Country North Phase 1	69	0	0	0	
NT-MPL-16011	Belterra - Phase 2	130	0	12	0	1
51M-864	Schickedanz Phase 2 & 3	28	0	0	0	
51M-954, 955 & 956	Rizzardo (west)	309	0	52	411	7
51M-1005	Belterra	23	2	0	0	
NT-MPL-1707	LRG Tottenham Inc. Phase 2	28	38	0	0	
NT-MPL-1706	B.G. Properties - Phase 2	47	16	0	100	1
NT-CD-1601	Briar Hill - Whole Plan	10	0	286	182	4
NT-MPL-1705	Ballymore Phase 4B	60	0	0	0	
otal Inside Built Boundary	-	30	36	23	0	
otal Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area otal in Designated Greenfield Area otal Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		926	50	372	0	1,3
		1,740	381	465	905	3,49
		0	0	0	0	
Γotal	2,696	467	860	905	4,92	
Addition Check (all should be	zero)	0	0	0	0	
	iBB Unit Split in %	34%	40%	26%	0%	100
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	r
	DOALL TO CHILL OF	E00/	440/	130/	200/	4.0

0 11 21 2 11 1					
	Growth Plan	Policy Area			
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural		
YES	IUBUA	DGA	Rurai		
153		YES			
		YES			
		YES			
		YES			
		YES			
		YES			
	YES				
		YES			
YES					
		YES			
	YES				
		YES			
		YES			
	YES				
		YES			

Complete Applications Pending Approval		Proposed Units by Type				
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	Total Units Proposed
NT-CD-1602	17 and 23 Dillane Street West Condo	0	0	0	60	60
						0
						0
Total Inside Built Boundary	0	0	0	60	60	
Total Inside Undelineated Buil	t-Up Area	0	0	0	0	0
Total in Designated Greenfield	d Area	0	0	0	0	0
Total Outside Settlement Area	ıs (Rural)	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	60	60
Addition Check (all should be ze	ero)	0	0	0	0	0
	iBB Unit Split in %	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	DGA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

DGA Unit Split in %

Growth Plan Policy Area						
iBB	iUBUA	UBUA DGA Rur				
YES						

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

		Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
Settlement Area	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Alliston	16	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	16	0	0	0	16
Beeton - Multiple Sites	341	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	341	0	0	0	341
Tottenham - Multiple Sites	39	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	39	0	0	0	39
	<u>[</u>	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Built Boundary	396	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	396	0	0	0	396

11%

50%

13%

100%

26%

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

Office official off 7th va	Cant Lands I of Orban Ose	<u> </u>									
			Assumed Housing Mix			Total Unit Potential by Type					
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Beeton DGA	260	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	260	0	0	0	260
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	. 0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	260	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	260	0	0	0	260
FUU Lands Total	260										

iUBUA	DGA
	YES

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

			Ass	umed Housin	g Mix			Total	Unit Potential b	у Туре	
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
NONE	0	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
	i	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
DGA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
FNUU Lands Total	0										

iUBUA	DGA

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

Designation and Leastion	Unit Potential	Assumed Housing Mix					Total Unit Potential by Type				
Designation and Location	Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
NT-ALLOC-27	25	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	25	0	0	0	25
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
						0%	0	0	0	0	0
Total	25	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	25	0	0	0	25

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

		2016 Population			
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Тау	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

	Tota	I & Occupied Housing Units,	2016 Census		
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Tay	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Census figure Forecast

	Adjala-Tosorontio									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04						
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98						
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94						
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83						
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84						
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81						
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80						

Bradford West Gwillimbury									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11					
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99					
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93					
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01					
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85					
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82					

	Clearview									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units						
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80						
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74						
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68						
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61						
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60						
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57						

Collingwood									
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units					
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37					
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30					
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23					
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21					
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16					
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14					

	Essa					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96		
2006	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87		
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80		
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85		
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71		
2026	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.68		
2031	<i>2.75</i>	2.27	2.16	2.67		

Innisfil					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80	
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74	
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68	
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73	
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60	
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58	
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57	

	Midland					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42		
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31		
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24		
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22		
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17		
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15		
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15		

	New Tecumseth					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78		
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71		
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63		
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61		
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55		
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54		
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54		

	Oro-Medonte					
		Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
200	1	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76	
200	6	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71	
201	1	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68	
201	6	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63	
202	1	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60	
202	6	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57	
203	1	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57	

	Penetanguishene				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51	
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46	
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34	
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26	
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26	
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23	
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23	

	Ramara					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52		
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30		
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43		
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34		
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35		
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32		
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31		

	Severn					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65		
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57		
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52		
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46		
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44		
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41		
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40		

	Springwater					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00		
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93		
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89		
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83		
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81		
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78		
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77		

	Тау					
		Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2	001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62	
2	006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51	
2	011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45	
2	016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41	
2	021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38	
2	026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35	
2	031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35	

	Tiny					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55		
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35		
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41		
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38		
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33		
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30		
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29		

Wasaga Beach				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28
2021	2.27	1.75	1.74	2.22
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20

Municipal Simcoe County				
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.