		Difference			
Growth Plan Policy Area	Schedule 7 Population Growth	Demand Housing Units Needed	Supply Unit Potential	Potential Unit Surplus at 2031	
Delineated Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas Designated Greenfield Areas Outside Settlement Areas Municipal-wide	1,746 - 99 1,845	604 - 32 636	2,597 - 285 2,882	1,993 - 253 2,24 0	
This table summarizes the overall results for the local municipal residential land budget. The land budget examines the relationship between demand for additional housing units deriving from Schedule 7 forecast population growth and the municipality's available unit supply. The land supply analysis looks at housing units because this is the variable which requires land. Please refer to the Res-Detailed, Supply and Census Data sheets for more information on the inputs, assumptions and calculations underlying the analysis.	household residents that will need to be accommodated to meet the Schedule 7 forecast.	This is the number of additional housing units required to accommodate forecast population growth under Schedule 7 plus demand for seasonal and recreational units, not occupied by permanent residents.	currently approved units and additional unit potential through existing planning permissions.	This is the difference between the available unit supply and the anticipated unit demand. If a positive figure is indicated, there is sufficient supply identified to meet forecast demand. If a negative figure is indicated, there is a potential shortage of available supply to meet forecast demand. This is the starting point for evaluating further intensificatio potential or need for additional urban lands.	

ADJALA TOSORONTIO: Residential Detailed

Table 1

Table 1							
1. How many units are needed?				2016		2031 Forecast	2011-31 Change
	A. Population Components						
		Total Population		11,316		13,000	1,684
		Census Net Undercoverage	Rate	3.0%	\rightarrow	3.0%	
			Number	341		392	51
		Census Population		10,975		12,608	1,633
		Household Population		10,858		12,474	1,616
		Non-Household Population	Number	117		134	17
			Rate to Census	1.1%	\rightarrow	1.1%	
	B. Households	Persons per Unit		2.83		2.80	(0.03)
		Total Occupied Households		3,834		4,447	613
	C. Demand for Seasonal and	Occupied Units		3,834		4,447	613
	Recreational Units	Total Units		3,974		4,610	636
		Seasonal and Vacant Units		140		162	22
		Share Seasonal and Vacant		3.5%	\rightarrow	3.5%	
	D. Total Unit Demand	Occupied Units		3,834		4,447	613
		Seasonal and Vacant		<u>140</u>		162	22
		Total Units		3,974		4,610	636
		Unit Growth 2016-2031					636

RESIDENTIAL SUPPLY DATA CURRENT AS OF DECEMBER 2016

PLEASE NOTE:

This report is intended to be read in conjunction with the

<u>Simcoe County Land Budget Data</u>

<u>Collection and Analysis</u>

<u>Phase 1: Population Growth</u> document.

2. Where will these units be			2016-31 Change					
accommodated?	A. Demand by Growth Plan policy	Unit Growth 2016-2031	636					
	area							
		ocal Municipal Intensification Target (1)						
		Additional share of growth accommodated within Built Boundaries and/or within the Undelineated Built-Up Area (2)	<u>75%</u> 95%					
		Overall share of growth within Built Boundaries and Undelineated Built-Up Areas (3)	95%					
		Note: The County Official Plan identifies local intensification targets for each municipality (1). This figure only represents the <i>minimum</i> number of units to be built within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (3) . In the four municipalities with <i>no</i> undelineated built-up area, the County Official Plan local intensification target represents units to be built within the delineated built boundary . Where an additional share of growth can be accommodated within delineated built boundaries plus the undelineated built-up areas (2) , the associated units may also be counted towards achievement of the County-wide intensification target in addition to the Official Plan local minimum target.						
		Unit growth within delineated Built Boundaries and undelineated Built-Up Areas	604					
		Share of Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas Unit Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	0%					
		Share of Growth Outside Settlement Areas Unit Growth Outside Settlement Areas	5% 32					
		Total All <i>Growth Plan</i> Policy Areas Percent	636 100%					

Table 3										
3. Is there sufficient supply within delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 inside delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas				604				
	1	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved units Complete Applications units Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Forecast of unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas Total supply	istered and Draft Approved units explications units explications units explications unit potential on vacant lands inside delineated built boundary explications unit potential on vacant lands for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas explications unit potential on vacant lands not for urban uses inside undelineated built up areas							
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative me	ess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031) Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.							
	D. Response		re is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential inside delineated built boundaires & undelineated built up areas to meet the intensifica is a deficit, the County or local municipality should identify additional supply inside delineated built boundaries and undelineated built up areas of the subject municipality							
Table 4	•									
4. What is the status of the land supply outside settlement areas?	A. Demand	Unit Growth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas	rowth 2016-2031 outside settlement areas							
	B. Supply outside settlement areas	ts completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 istered and Draft Approved inplete Applications ecast of unit potential on vacant lands								
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Total supply outside settlement areas Excess Supply at 2031 (or shortfall at 2031)								
	D. Response	Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall. If there is a surplus, the analysis has demonstrated that there is sufficient unit potential, and associated land supply, to meet anticipated demand. If there is a deficit, either the municipality can assume that new rural applications or existing lots of record will be sufficient to meet the deficit or the assigned demand share (in 2 a) can be lowered. The application within the context of rural development policies in the County and local plans.								
Table 5										
5. Is there sufficient unit supply in the designated greenfield areas?	A. Demand	Total unit Growth 2016-2031 in the Designated Greenfield Area (DGA)				0				
				Row	Apt.	Total				
		Assumed housing mix by type Housing growth by type	0.0%	0.09	0.0%	0.0%				
	B. Supply in Designated Greenfield Areas (DGA)	Units completed Census day 2016 to December 31, 2016 Registered and Draft Approved Complete Applications Forecast of unit potential on vacant DGA lands for urban uses Forecast of unit potential on Vacant DGA lands not for urban uses	0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0				
		DGA Supply excluding lands not for urban uses DGA Supply including lands not for urban uses	0	0	0	0				
	C. Sufficiency of Supply	Excess/Shortfall of supply at 2031 on DGA lands for urban uses Excess/Shortfall of Supply at 2031 on all DGA lands Positive figure means more than enough supply, negative means a supply shortfall.								
	D. Response	If there is a surplus in all unit types on <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> , the analysis has demonstrated that there is Greenfield <i>Lands For Urban Uses</i> to meet the forecast demand. If there is a unit shortfall on Designated Good municipality should consider adjusting the assumed unit mix of either or both of the supply and demunit type. This reallocation on either the supply or demand side is more readily achieved in Designated Greendily achieved for apartments. If the shortfall persists after reasonable adjustments are made, additional residential unit demand.	reenfield <i>Lands for Urb</i> and, where reasonable eenfield areas through	<i>an Uses</i> , in one or to provide a better ground-based unit t	more unit type categor match between suppl ypes (e.g. singles, semi	ies, the County or y and demand by s and rows) and not				

Table 6

Table 0						
6. Is there additional population growth in excess of Schedule 7 in	A. Additional Supply approved in	Total amount of land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban Uses		61	ha	
accordance with Section 6.3.2 of	Excess of Schedule 7 Allocation.	Total additional population approved		1952	persons	
the <i>Growth Plan</i> (i.e. the 20,000 additional County-wide		Total number of Units approved on land redesignated from Lands Not For Urban Uses to Lands For Urban	Single/Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
population)?		Uses	673		 	
Table 7	_ 1	0303	073	<u> </u>		0/3
7. How does the overall housing						2016 -2031
unit analysis relate to Schedule 7				2016 -2031 Units	2031 ppu	Population
	A. Demand by Growth Plan Policy	Growth in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas		604	2.89	1,746
	Area	Growth Outside Settlement Areas		32	3.11	
		Growth in Designated Greenfield Areas	-	3.17		
	B. Supply by Growth Plan Policy	Total Supply in all delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas	2597	2.89	7,505	
	Area	Total Supply Outside Settlement Areas		285	3.11	
		Total Supply in all Designated Greenfield Areas		-	3.17	-
	C. Surplus or (Deficit) by Growth	Delineated built boundaries & undelineated built-up areas		1,993		5,760
	Plan Policy Area	Outside Settlement Areas		253		787
		Designated Greenfield Area		-		-
	D. Total Supply vs. Total Schedule 7	Total Demand		636		1,845
	Demand	Total Supply		2,882		8,392
		Surplus or (Deficit)		2,246		6,547
	E. Response					
		A positive figure in the last line of Section C, Designated Greenfield Area <i>Lands for Urban Uses</i> , indicates the accomodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. A negative figure in the last line of Section C, Designated G supply to accommodate the Schedule 7 population forecasts. As a result, additional residential land supply m	reenfield Area <i>Land</i>	ds for Urban Uses , inc	dicates that there is ins	sufficient DGA land

Notes:

1. For some lower-tier municipalities in Simcoe County, the residential supply includes unit potential on Lands for Urban Uses and Lands Not for Urban Uses, per s.6.3.2.2. of the Growth Plan. These terms and the associated policies will no longer be in effect on July 1, 2017. Development potential on some lands may be somewhat over-estimated and require further refinement in the context of updated information that may be received. The County will continue to work with lower-tier municipalities to review and update the residential supply information in order to provide an appropriate basis for managing growth and land use planning in Simcoe County.

2. The residential land budget approach and results will be reviewed in the context of the Growth Plan, 2017 which comes into effect on July 1, 2017. At such time that the Province releases the forthcoming standard land needs assessment method, the County will also review the results and undertake any necessary updates to remain consistent with Provincial policy.

Municipality: ADJALA TOSORONTIO Data current as of December 2016

All Registered and Draft Appr	All Registered and Draft Approved Plans			Units Remaining to be Built					
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apts.	Total Units Remaining			
AT-T-0103	Farsight Homes (formerly R&M	51	0	0	0	5′			
AT-T-0502	Farsight Homes (formerly R&M Homes)	558	0	0	0	558			
AT-T-95004	Evergreen Estates	31	0	0	0	3′			
AT-T-0601	Tribute (formerly Wayland Farms)	305	0	0	0	305			
AT-T-0701	Tribut (formerly Manors of Colgan)	256	0	54	0	310			
						(
Total Inside Built Boundary		0	0	0	0	0			
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area	ı	1,201	0	54	0	1,255			
Total in Designated Greenfield Area		0	0	0	0	0			
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)	otal Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)				0	0			
Total		1,201	0	54	0	1,255			
Addition Check (all should be zero)		0	0	0	0	0			
	iBB Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	96%	0%	4%	0%	100%			

Growth Plan Policy Area							
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural				
	YES						
	YES						
	YES						
	YES						
	YES						

Complete Applications Pending	Approval	Proposed Units by Type							
Application/File #	Development Name	Singles	Semis	Rows	Apartments	Total Units Proposed			
AT-T-98001	Adjala South Estates	25	0	0	0	25			
AT-T-11001	Walton-Beausart Sub	254	0	0	0	254			
AT-SPC-1602	Loretto Tavern	0	0	0	12	12			
						C			
						(
Total Inside Built Boundary		0	0	0	0	0			
Total Inside Undelineated Built-Up Area		0	0	0	12	12			
Total in Designated Greenfield Area		0	0	0	0	0			
Total Outside Settlement Areas (Rural)		279	0	0	0	279			
Total		279	0	0	12	291			
Addition Check (all should be zero)		0	0	0	0	0			
	iBB Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
	iUBUA Unit Split in %	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%			
	DGA Unit Split in %	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

DGA Unit Split in %

Growth Plan Policy Area							
iBB	iUBUA	DGA	Rural				
			YES				
			YES				
	YES						

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Within Delineated Built Boundary

		Assumed Housing Mix						Total	Unit Potential b	y Type	
Settlement Area	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
N/A	0	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
Total Inside Built Boundary	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands For Urban Uses

			Ass	umed Housing	g Mix			Total l	Jnit Potential b	у Туре	
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Everett *Includes Barzo Lands Allocation	827	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	827	0	0	0	827
Lisle	13	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	13	0	0	0	13
Loretto	61	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	61	0	0	0	61
Glencarin	13	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	13	0	0	0	13
Tioga	89	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	89	0	0	0	89
Colgan	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	7	0	0	0	7
Hockley	2	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	2	0	0	0	2
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	1,012	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,012	0	0	0	1,012
DGA Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
FUU Lands Total	1,012										

iUBUA	DGA
YES	

Unit Potential on All Vacant Lands Not For Urban Uses

			Assı	ımed Housin	g Mix			Total U	Jnit Potential b	у Туре	
Location/Land Holder	Total Unit Potential	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
Colgan	18	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	18	0	0	0	18
Hockley	191	70%	30%	0%	0%	100%	134	57	0	0	191
Loretto	106	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	106	0	0	0	106
							0	0	0	0	0
							0	0	0	0	0
iUBUA Total	315	82%	18%	0%	0%	100%	258	57	0	0	315
DGA Total	! 0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0
FNUU Lands Total	315										

iUBUA	DGA
YES	
YES	
YES	

All Vacant Lands Designated for Residential Development Outside Settlement Areas

I	Designation and Location	Unit Potential		Ass	umed Housin	g Mix			Total	Unit Potential b	у Туре	
ı	Designation and Location	Offit Poteritial	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total	Single	Semi	Row	Apt.	Total
I	None	0	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
ı			100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0	0
ı							0%	0	0	0	0	0
I	Total	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0

Census Data Inputs to Simcoe County Land Budget - 2017 Update Population by Local Municipality

		2016 Population			
Municipality	Household	Non-Household	Census	Undercoverage	Total
Adjala-Tosorontio	10,858	117	10,975	341	11,316
Bradford West Gwillimbury	34,882	443	35,325	1,097	36,422
Clearview	13,905	246	14,151	440	14,591
Collingwood	21,084	709	21,793	677	22,470
Essa	20,473	610	21,083	655	21,738
Innisfil	36,433	133	36,566	1,136	37,702
Midland	16,368	496	16,864	524	17,388
New Tecumseth	33,637	605	34,242	1,063	35,305
Oro-Medonte	20,991	45	21,036	653	21,689
Penetanguishene	8,317	645	8,962	278	9,240
Ramara	9,437	51	9,488	295	9,783
Severn	13,377	100	13,477	419	13,896
Springwater	18,951	108	19,059	592	19,651
Тау	9,960	73	10,033	312	10,345
Tiny	11,680	107	11,787	366	12,153
Wasaga Beach	20,513	162	20,675	642	21,317
Municipal Simcoe County	300,823	4,693	305,516	9,489	315,005
Barrie	138,860	2,574	141,434	4,393	145,827
Orillia	30,054	1,112	31,166	968	32,134
Simcoe Census Division (excl. First Nations)	469,687	8,429	478,116	14,849	492,965
First Nation Areas	617	917	1,534	48	1,582
Simcoe Census Division	469,851	9,799	479,650	14,897	494,547

Note: Total Census Division population of 494,547 is taken from Statistics Canada's Annual Demographic Estimates released on March 8, 2017.

2016 Total, Occupied & Non-permanent Housing Units

	Tota	I & Occupied Housing Units,	, 2016 Census		
Municipality	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Difference	% Seasonal / Vacant	PPU (All units)
Adjala-Tosorontio	3,974	3,834	140	3.5%	2.83
Bradford West Gwillimbury	11,918	11,591	327	2.7%	3.01
Clearview	6,040	5,335	705	11.7%	2.61
Collingwood	11,617	9,556	2,061	17.7%	2.21
Essa	7,478	7,179	299	4.0%	2.85
Innisfil	14,875	13,364	1,511	10.2%	2.73
Midland	7,739	7,374	365	4.7%	2.22
New Tecumseth	13,191	12,906	285	2.2%	2.61
Oro-Medonte	9,013	7,989	1,024	11.4%	2.63
Penetanguishene	3,990	3,679	311	7.8%	2.26
Ramara	6,028	4,032	1,996	33.1%	2.34
Severn	6,927	5,436	1,491	21.5%	2.46
Springwater	7,234	6,694	540	7.5%	2.83
Tay	4,931	4,127	804	16.3%	2.41
Tiny	9,712	4,899	4,813	49.6%	2.38
Wasaga Beach	12,516	9,005	3,511	28.1%	2.28
Municipal Simcoe County	137,183	117,000	20,183	14.7%	2.57
Barrie	54,227	52,476	1,751	3.2%	2.65
Orillia	14,287	13,477	810	5.7%	2.23
Simcoe Census Division	205,697	182,953	22,744	11.1%	2.57

Note: Totals for Simcoe County do not include any First Nation Reserve Lands.

Census figure Forecast

	Adjala-Tosorontio					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.07	n/a	1.78	3.04		
2006	3.01	n/a	2.48	2.98		
2011	2.94	4.00	1.75	2.94		
2016	2.91	3.95	1.73	2.83		
2021	2.86	3.89	1.70	2.84		
2026	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.81		
2031	2.83	3.86	1.68	2.80		

	Bradford West Gwillimbury						
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units			
2001	3.35	2.30	2.06	3.11			
2006	3.12	2.59	2.34	2.99			
2011	3.09	2.55	2.16	2.93			
2016	3.05	2.52	2.14	3.01			
2021	3.00	2.48	2.10	2.85			
2026	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82			
2031	2.97	2.46	2.08	2.82			

	Clearview						
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units			
2001	2.89	2.35	1.50	2.80			
2006	2.79	2.38	2.05	2.74			
2011	2.75	1.96	1.81	2.68			
2016	2.72	1.94	1.79	2.61			
2021	2.67	1.91	1.76	2.60			
2026	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57			
2031	2.64	1.89	1.74	2.57			

	Collingwood							
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units				
2001	2.66	2.35	1.57	2.37				
2006	2.54	2.16	1.69	2.30				
2011	2.46	2.21	1.62	2.23				
2016	2.43	2.18	1.60	2.21				
2021	2.39	2.15	1.57	2.16				
2026	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14				
2031	2.37	2.13	1.56	2.14				

	Essa						
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units			
2001	3.04	3.17	1.85	2.96			
2006	2.93	2.54	2.03	2.87			
2011	2.86	2.35	2.24	2.80			
2016	2.83	2.32	2.22	2.85			
2021	2.78	2.29	2.18	2.71			
2026	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.68			
2031	2.75	2.27	2.16	2.67			

	Innisfil						
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units			
2001	2.83	2.92	1.64	2.80			
2006	2.74	2.87	2.52	2.74			
2011	2.69	2.68	2.31	2.68			
2016	2.66	2.65	2.28	2.73			
2021	2.61	2.61	2.25	2.60			
2026	2.59	2.59	2.23	2.58			
2031	2.58	2.59	2.22	2.57			

	Midland						
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units			
2001	2.61	1.86	1.92	2.42			
2006	2.52	2.00	1.78	2.31			
2011	2.45	2.04	1.71	2.24			
2016	2.42	2.02	1.69	2.22			
2021	2.38	1.99	1.65	2.17			
2026	2.35	1.98	1.64	2.15			
2031	2.35	1.99	1.64	2.15			

	New Tecumseth					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.99	2.50	1.78	2.78		
2006	2.87	2.73	1.82	2.71		
2011	2.77	2.57	1.86	2.63		
2016	2.73	2.54	1.84	2.61		
2021	2.69	2.50	1.81	2.55		
2026	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54		
2031	2.66	2.48	1.79	2.54		

	Oro-Medonte					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.78	1.20	2.53	2.76		
2006	2.75	1.60	1.71	2.71		
2011	2.69	2.40	2.19	2.68		
2016	2.66	2.37	2.17	2.63		
2021	2.61	2.34	2.13	2.60		
2026	2.59	2.33	2.11	2.57		
2031	2.58	2.34	2.10	2.57		

	Penetanguishene					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.79	1.89	1.74	2.51		
2006	2.70	1.92	1.81	2.46		
2011	2.56	1.71	1.78	2.34		
2016	2.53	1.68	1.76	2.26		
2021	2.48	1.66	1.73	2.26		
2026	2.46	1.65	1.71	2.23		
2031	2.45	1.66	1.71	2.23		

	Ramara					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.58	2.10	n/a	2.52		
2006	2.33	1.69	2.70	2.30		
2011	2.49	1.73	1.88	2.43		
2016	2.46	1.70	1.85	2.34		
2021	2.42	1.68	1.82	2.35		
2026	2.39	1.67	1.80	2.32		
2031	2.39	1.68	1.80	2.31		

Severn					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units	
2001	2.68	1.00	2.21	2.65	
2006	2.62	n/a	1.82	2.57	
2011	2.56	1.40	1.89	2.52	
2016	2.53	1.38	1.87	2.46	
2021	2.48	1.36	1.83	2.44	
2026	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.41	
2031	2.46	1.36	1.81	2.40	

	Springwater					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	3.05	1.25	2.21	3.00		
2006	2.99	0.00	1.87	2.93		
2011	2.94	2.17	1.98	2.89		
2016	2.91	2.14	1.96	2.83		
2021	2.86	2.11	1.92	2.81		
2026	2.83	2.10	1.91	2.78		
2031	2.82	2.11	1.90	2.77		

	Тау					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.64	0.00	2.19	2.62		
2006	2.53	0.00	2.03	2.51		
2011	2.47	1.67	1.96	2.45		
2016	2.45	1.64	1.94	2.41		
2021	2.40	1.62	1.90	2.38		
2026	2.38	1.62	1.88	2.35		
2031	2.37	1.62	1.88	2.35		

	Tiny					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.55	0.00	2.76	2.55		
2006	2.34	0.00	3.10	2.35		
2011	2.41	0.00	2.15	2.41		
2016	2.39	0.00	2.13	2.38		
2021	2.34	0.00	2.09	2.33		
2026	2.32	0.00	2.07	2.30		
2031	2.32	0.00	2.06	2.29		

	Wasaga Beach					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.41	1.86	2.02	2.38		
2006	2.41	1.95	1.61	2.38		
2011	2.34	1.80	1.79	2.30		
2016	2.31	1.78	1.77	2.28		
2021	2.27	1.75	1.74	2.22		
2026	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20		
2031	2.25	1.74	1.72	2.20		

	Municipal Simcoe County					
	Single/Semi	Row	Apartment	All Units		
2001	2.83	2.34	1.86	2.72		
2006	2.72	2.34	1.92	2.63		
2011	2.68	2.28	1.86	2.58		
2016	2.65	2.25	1.84	2.57		
2021	2.60	2.21	1.81	2.50		
2026	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48		
2031	2.58	2.18	1.79	2.48		

Note: PPUs by unit type are based on household units and household population from the 2011 Census. 2016 All Units PPUs are based on available information from initial 2016 Census data release. Forecast is based on one possible housing mix to achieve Schedule 7 of the Growth Plan in each municipality. The ultimate housing mix and other planning decisions may adjust the PPUs. Such changes, however, are likely to be minor.