Shadow Creek Subdivision





ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE ASSESSMENT Shadow Creek Subdivision Severn, Ontario Version 1.1 SLR Project No: 241.30353.00000

Submitted by: SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. 150 Research Lane, Suite 105 Guelph, Ontario, N1G 4T2

Prepared for: LIV Communities 1005 Skyview Road Suite 301 Burlington, Ontario L7P 5B1

January 18, 2022

This document has been prepared by SLR Canada. The material and data in this report were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.



Principal, Acoustic Noise and Vibration



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introd	Introduction1							
	1.1	of the Subject Lands 1							
	1.2	Nature	of the Surroundings1						
2.	Trans	portation	Noise Impacts1						
	2.1	prtation Noise Sources							
	2.2	2 Surface Transportation Noise Criteria							
		2.2.1	Ministry of Environment Publication NPC-3001						
	2.3	Traffic [Data and Future Projections						
		2.3.1	Roadway Traffic Data 3						
	2.4	Projecte	ed Sound levels						
		2.4.1	Façade Sound Levels						
		2.4.2	Outdoor Amenity Areas 4						
	2.5	Façade	Façade Assessment						
		2.5.1	Glazing Assumptions and Calculation Inputs5						
		2.5.2	Glazing Requirements						
		2.5.3	Ventilation and Warning Clause Requirements6						
	2.6	Outdoo	Outdoor Amenity Area Requirements						
		2.6.1	Acoustic Barriers 6						
		2.6.2	Warning Clauses7						
3.	Statio	nary Sour	ce Noise Impacts						
4.	Conclu	Conclusion and Recommendations8							
	4.1	Transpo	ortation Noise 8						
	4.2	Overall	Assessment						
5.	Refere	ences	9						
State	ement C)f Limitatio	ons						



TABLES

Table 1:	MECP Publication NPC-300 Sound Level Criteria for Road and Rail Noise
Table 2:	MECP Publication NPC-300 Outdoor Living Area Mitigation Requirements
Table 3:	MECP Publication NPC-300 Ventilation & Warning Clause Requirements
Table 4:	MECP Publication NPC-300 Building Component Requirements
Table 5:	Summary of Road Traffic Data Used in the Transportation Analysis
Table 6:	Façade Sound Transmission Class (STC) Requirements

FIGURES

- Figure 1: Context Plan
- Figure 2: Modelled Roadway Noise Levels Facades
- Figure 3: Modelled Roadway Noise Levels Rear Yards
- Figure 4: Modelled Roadway Noise Levels Rear Yards (mitigated)

APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Development Drawings
- Appendix B: Traffic Data and Calculations
- Appendix C: STAMSON Output Files
- Appendix D: BPN-56 Façade Calculations
- Appendix E: Ventilation, Warning Clause and Acoustic Barrier Summary

1. INTRODUCTION

SLR Consulting (SLR) was retained by LIV Communities to conduct an environmental noise assessment for the proposed residential development located on Parts of Lot 3, 4 & 5, Concession 9 in Severn, Ontario (Shadow Creek Subdivision). This report is in support of the Draft Plan of Subdivision for the development.

1.1 NATURE OF THE SUBJECT LANDS

The subject property is located between Menoke Beach Road and Bayou Road, on the east side of Highway 11 in Severn, Ontario. The lands cover a 45.45-hectare area, with 534 units consisting of a combination of detached homes and townhouses.

A copy of the Draft Plan of Subdivision is included in **Appendix A**.

1.2 NATURE OF THE SURROUNDINGS

The lands to the west and south of the development include a combination of residential homes and vacant lands. To the east is a residential neighbourhood, separating the development lands from Lake Couchiching. Commercial lands are located on the adjacent lands to the north, and include a restaurant and coffee shop.

No significant industries are located within a 1000 m radius of the development lands.

The topography of the immediate surrounding area is essentially flat with no significant variations.

A context plan is shown in Figure 1.

2. TRANSPORTATION NOISE IMPACTS

2.1 TRANSPORTATION NOISE SOURCES

Transportation sources of interest with the potential to produce noise at the proposed development include roadway noise from Highway 11. An assessment of railway noise is not required, as the closest railway is approximately 5 km from the development site.

The level of noise from roadway noise has been predicted, and this information has been used to identify façade, ventilation, and warning clause requirements.

2.2 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION NOISE CRITERIA

2.2.1 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT PUBLICATION NPC-300

Noise Sensitive Developments

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Publication NPC-300 provides sound level criteria for noise sensitive developments. The applicable portions of NPC-300 are Part C – Land Use Planning and the associated definitions outlined in Part A – Background. **Tables 1 to 4** below summarizes the applicable surface transportation (road and rail) criteria limits.

Location Specific Criteria

Table 1 summarizes criteria in terms of energy equivalent sound exposure (L_{eq}) levels for specific noisesensitive locations. Both outdoor and indoor locations are identified, with the focus of outdoor areas being amenity spaces. Indoor criteria vary with sensitivity of the space. As a result, sleep areas have more stringent criteria than Living / Dining room space.

Type of Space	Time Period	Equivalent So L	Assessment		
		Road	Rail ^[1]	Location	
Outdoor Living Area (OLA)	Daytime (0700-2300h)	55	55	Outdoors ^[2]	
Living / Dining Boom	Daytime (0700-2300h)	45	40	Indoors ^[4]	
Living / Dining Room	Night-time (2300-0700h)	45	40	Indoors ^[4]	
Slooping Quarters	Daytime (0700-2300h)	45	40	Indoors ^[4]	
Sleeping Quarters	Night-time (2300-0700h)	40	35	Indoors ^[4]	

Table 1: MECP Publication NPC-300 Sound Level Criteria for Road and Rail Noise

Notes: [1] Whistle noise is excluded for OLA noise assessments and included for Living / Dining Room and Sleeping Quarter assessments. [2] Road and Rail noise impacts are to be combined for assessment of OLA impacts.

[3] An assessment of indoor noise levels is required only if the criteria in **Table 4** are exceeded.

Outdoor Amenity Areas

Table 2 summarizes the noise mitigation requirements for communal outdoor amenity areas ("Outdoor Living Areas" or "OLAs"). For the assessment of outdoor sound levels, the surface transportation noise impact is determined by combining road and rail traffic sound levels. Whistle noise due to railway trains is not included in the determination of levels.

Table 2: MECP Publication NPC-300 Outdoor Living Area Mitigation Requirements

Time Period	Equivalent Sound Level in Outdoor Living Area (dBA)	Ventilation Requirements
	<u><</u> 55	• None
Daytime	55 to 60 incl.	Noise barrier OR Warning Clause A
(0700 23001)	> 60	 Noise barrier to reduce noise to 55 dBA OR Noise barrier to reduce noise to 60 dBA and Warning Clause B

Ventilation and Warning Clauses

Table 3 summarizes requirements for ventilation where windows potentially would have to remain closed as a means of noise control. Despite implementation of ventilation measures where required, if sound exposure levels exceed the guideline limits in **Tables 1**, warning clauses advising future occupants of the potential excesses are required. Warning clauses also apply to OLAs.

Assessment	Time Period	Energy Equivalent Sound Exposure Level - L _{eq} (dBA)		Ventilation and		
Location		Road	Rail ^[1]			
Outdoor Living Area	Daytime (0700-2300h)	56 to 60 incl.		56 to 60 incl.		Type A Warning Clause
		≤ 55		None		
	Daytime (0700-2300h)	56 to 65 incl.		Forced Air Heating /provision to add air conditioning + Type C Warning Clause		
Plane of Window		> 65		Central Air Conditioning + Type D Warning Clause		
		51 to 60 incl.		Forced Air Heating/ provision to add air conditioning + Type C Warning Clause		
	Night-time (2300-0700h)	> 60		Central Air Conditioning + Type D Warning Clause		

Table 3: MECP Publication NPC-300 Ventilation & Warning Clause Requirements

Notes: [1] Rail whistle noise is excluded.

[2] Road and Rail noise is combined for determining Ventilation and Warning Clause requirements.

Building Shell Requirements

Table 4 provides sound level thresholds which if exceeded, require the building shell and components (i.e., wall, windows) to be designed and selected accordingly to ensure that the **Table 1** indoor sound criteria are met

Table 4: MECP Publication NPC-300 Building Component Requirements

Assessment	Time Period	Energy Equivalen Level - L	t Sound Exposure _{eq} (dBA))	Component Requirements	
Location		Road	Rail ^[1]		
Plane of	Daytime (0700-2300h)	> 65	> 60	Designed/ Selected to Meet	
Window	Night-time (2300-0700h)	> 60	> 55	Indoor Requirements ^[2]	

Notes: [1] Including whistle noise.

[2] Building component requirements are assessed separately for Road and Railway noise. The resultant sound isolation parameter is required to be combined to determine and overall acoustic parameter.

2.3 TRAFFIC DATA AND FUTURE PROJECTIONS

2.3.1 ROADWAY TRAFFIC DATA

Road traffic data for Highway 11 was obtained from the MTO as future forecasted traffic volumes and truck percentages. The medium and heavy truck distributions were obtained from the MTO iCorridor website and applied in the assessment. Similarly, the day/night split of data was determined based on the hourly distribution of traffic obtained from the MTO iCorridor website.

A copy of the traffic data used, and calculations can be found in **Appendix B**. The following table summarizes the road traffic volumes used in the analysis.

	Future Traffic	% Day/ Night Volume Split ^[1]		Commercia Breakdo	Vehicle	
Roadway Link	Volumes (AADT)	Daytime	Night-time	% Medium Trucks	% Heavy Trucks	(km/h)
Highway 11	50,900	94	6	1.6%	7.4%	90

Table 5: Summary of Road Traffic Data Used in the Transportation Analysis

Notes:[1] The Day/Night split was determined from Highway 11 hourly distribution data.[2] Med and Heavy truck percentages determined from MTO iCorridor data.

2.4 PROJECTED SOUND LEVELS

Future road traffic sound levels at the proposed development were predicted using Cadna/A, a commercially available noise propagation modelling software. Roadways were modelled as line sources of sound, with sound emission rates calculated using the ORNAMENT algorithms, the road traffic noise model of the MECP. These predictions were validated and are equivalent to those made using the MECP's ORNAMENT or STAMSON v5.04 road traffic noise models. STAMSON validation files are included in **Appendix C** for a simplified condition.

The intervening ground between the development and the roadways was assessed as absorptive, as these lands and the surrounding lands are grass/vegetation covered.

2.4.1 FAÇADE SOUND LEVELS

The transportation façade sound levels of the development, showing the ranges of predicted daytime and night-time sound levels are shown in **Figures 2a and 2b**, respectively.

The predicted roadway noise levels range from 54 to 75 dBA during the daytime within the development. During the night-time, sound levels are predicted to range from 45 dBA to 68 dBA.

The following units were identified to have levels above 65 dBA during the daytime or above 60 dBA during the night-time:

- Blocks 14 to 16, 26 to 31 (townhouses); and
- Lot 319 (single detached home).

As façade roadway sound levels are predicted to be above 65 dBA during the daytime, an assessment of building components is required for these lots/townhouse blocks.

2.4.2 OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS

The Outdoor Living Areas (OLA) of the proposed development were assessed based on rear-yards for each lot and/or townhouse block. For this assessment, representative outlines of single detached homes and townhouse blocks were included in the noise modelling, as shown in **Figure 3**. Roadway noise levels up to 72 dBA are predicted within the various rear yards. As the levels are in excess of 60 dBA, an assessment of mitigation measures is required.

Private terraces/balconies for the single detached homes and townhouse blocks are expected to have a depth less than 4 m, which do not meet the minimum requirements for inclusion by the MECP. Therefore, the private balconies/terraces have been excluded from the assessment.

2.5 FAÇADE ASSESSMENT

Based on the roadway levels shown in **Figure 2a**, façade sound levels were predicted to exceed the 65 dBA daytime and/or 60 dBA night-time criteria for the townhouse blocks adjacent to Highway 11 and Lot 319. Therefore, an assessment of glazing requirements is necessary for meeting the indoor sound level criteria outlined in **Table 1**.

Indoor sound levels and required facade Sound Transmission Classes (STCs) were estimated using the procedures outlined in National Research Council Building Practice Note BPN-56.

2.5.1 GLAZING ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATION INPUTS

An assessment was completed of the end-lot single detached homes and Townhouse end-units as a worst-case condition given the higher number of exposed facades to highway noise. The following assumptions were considered, as detailed floor plans were not available at the time of the assessment:

Townhouse Units:

- Open concept main floor, with 3 exposed facades;
- Corner Bedrooms with 2 exposed facades;
- 55% glazing for front façade (entrance) and rear façade (walk-out sliding door)
- 35% glazing for all other facades

Single detached home:

- Living Rooms with 2 exposed facades;
- Kitchen with 2 exposed facades, acoustically hard finishes, and a walk-out rear sliding door;
- Corner Bedrooms with 2 exposed facades;
- 55% glazing for front façade (entrance) and rear façade (walk-out sliding door);
- 35% glazing for all other facades

2.5.2 GLAZING REQUIREMENTS

The acoustical requirements are provided below in **Table 6**, which is the STC rating taking into consideration roadway noise and the assumptions listed in the previous section. Detailed Façade Calculations are included in **Appendix D**.

Table 6: Façade Sound Transmission Class (STC) Requirements

	Non-Glazing	Glazing Requirements		
Location	Component	Living Room /Kitchen	Bedroom	
Block 14 to 16, 26 to 31 (townhouses)	39	STC30	STC34	
Lot 319	39	OBC	OBC	

Notes: OBC = Ontario Building Code, meeting a rating of STC 29.

Based on results shown in **Table 6** above, upgraded glazing is required for the block 14 to 16 and Block 26 to 31 townhouse blocks.

For glazing elements, the combined glazing and frame assembly must be designed to ensure the overall sound isolation performance for the entire window unit meets the sound isolation requirements. It is recommended window/door manufacturers test data be reviewed to confirm acoustical performance is met. Windows/doors must be acoustically sealed where the frame meets the window/door sill.

As the glazing requirements above were approximated based on the generic room, façade dimensions, the glazing requirements should be re-assessed and reviewed by an Acoustical Consultant once detailed floor plans (room dimensions) and façade plans become available.

2.5.3 VENTILATION AND WARNING CLAUSE REQUIREMENTS

The requirements regarding warning clauses are summarized in **Table 3**. Where required, the Warning Clauses should be included in agreements registered on Title for the residential units and included in all agreements of purchase and sale or lease, and all rental agreements. Warning Clauses and ventilation requirements are summarized in **Appendix E**.

Based on the predicted façade noise levels, forced air heating with provisions for future installation of central air conditioning, and an MECP **Type C** warning clause, is recommended for all affected units with façade sound levels from road traffic that are between 56 and 65 dBA during the daytime, or between 51 and 60 dBA during night-time hours.

This affects:

- Townhouse Blocks 1, 3 to 6, 9, 17 to 25; and
- Lots 92 to 119, 120 to 132, 172 to 181, 218 to 221,262 to 266, 271, 272, 299 to 318.

Central air conditioning, and an MECP **Type D** warning clause, is recommended for all affected units with façade sound levels from road traffic that exceed 65 dBA during the daytime or exceed 60 dBA during night-time hours. This affects:

- Townhouse Blocks 14 to 16, 26 to 31; and
- Lot 319.

A figure and table summarizing the ventilation and warning clause requirements is included in **Appendix E**.

2.6 OUTDOOR AMENITY AREA REQUIREMENTS

2.6.1 ACOUSTIC BARRIERS

An assessment of acoustic barriers was completed for lots and townhouse blocks with rear yard sound levels in excess of 60 dBA. Acoustic barriers are required for the following:

- Block 14 to 16, 26 to 31; and
- Lots 114 to 119

The acoustic barriers range in height from 1.6 m to 5.75 m (3 m berm + 2.75 m barrier) in height. A summary of the barrier height, location and extents is shown in **Figure E.2 of Appendix E**. The barriers are required to meet the minimum surface density of 20 kg/m² and be sealed with no gaps.

With the inclusion of the acoustic barriers, sound levels within all rear yards are predicted to be 60 dBA or less, as shown in **Figure 4**.

2.6.2 WARNING CLAUSES

The sound levels within the rear yards of the development are predicted to be between 55 dBA and 60 dBA, with acoustic barriers required for the following lots and townhouse blocks:

- Block 14 to 16, 26 to 31; and
- Lots 114 to 119.

An MECP **Type B** warning clause is recommended for the above identified lots, as acoustic barriers are required to reduce levels to 60 dBA.

The rear yard sound levels within the following lots are predicted to be between 55 dBA and 60 dBA, without any physical mitigation:

- Block 5, 6
- Lot 92 to 113, 120 to 132, 262 to 266, 271, 272, 299 to 319

An MECP **Type A** warning clause is recommended for the above lots.

A figure and table summarizing the ventilation and warning clause requirements is included in **Appendix E**.

3. STATIONARY SOURCE NOISE IMPACTS

A site visit was completed on November 20, 2021 by SLR personnel to review the surrounding stationary noise sources.

Residential homes are located to the east, south and west, and not a concern for stationary noise.

The Webers restaurant is located approximately 175 m north of the closest lot on the development. Given the large separation distance and high ambient sound levels, the Webers noise sources (kitchen exhaust fan and rooftop HVAC units) are not a concern for the development. This is consistent with the observations made by SLR personnel, in which Webers equipment was inaudible on the development lands.

The Starbucks does not include a drive thru and includes only walk-in service. As no significant noise sources were observed by SLR personnel, the Startbucks is not a concern for stationary noise.

Based on the above review of surrounding area, a detailed assessment of stationary noise was not considered necessary and was not completed.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The potential for noise impacts on the proposed development have been assessed. Based on the results of our studies, the following conclusions have been reached:

4.1 TRANSPORTATION NOISE

- An assessment of transportation noise impacts from surrounding roadways has been completed.
- Based on transportation façade sound levels, an assessment of glazing requirement was completed for the development. Upgraded glazing is required for the development townhouses, as outlined in outlined in Section 2.5.2.
- Warning Clauses should be included in agreements registered on Title for the residential units and included in agreements of purchase and sale/rental agreements. MECP **Type A to D** warning clause, Provision for AC, Mandatory AC Warning clauses are recommended for the development, as outlined in **Section 2.5.3 and 2.6.2**, and summarized in **Appendix E**.
- Noise impacts within the townhouse and single detached home rear yards are predicted to be within acceptable levels with the inclusion of acoustic barriers, as outlined in **Section 2.6.1**. A summary of barrier requirements is included in **Appendix E**.
- No significant industry or commercial properties are located within the surrounding area. Therefore, stationary noise is not a concern for the development and a detailed assessment was not completed, as outlined in **Section 3**.

4.2 OVERALL ASSESSMENT

- Impacts of the environment on the proposed development can be adequately controlled with upgraded glazing, without noise controls on surrounding stationary noise, the inclusion of ventilation and warning clause requirements, and the inclusion of acoustic barriers for rear yards.
- As the generic room and façade dimensions were applied in the glazing analysis, the glazing requirements should be re-assessed and reviewed by an Acoustical Consultant once the detailed floorplans (room dimensions) and façade plans become available.

5. REFERENCES

International Organization for Standardization, ISO 9613-2: *Acoustics – Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors Part 2: General Method of Calculation*, Geneva, Switzerland, 1996.

National Research Council, Building Practice Note 56: *Controlling Sound Transmission into Buildings*, Canada 1985.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 1989, Ontario Road Noise Analysis Method for Environment and Transportation (ORNAMENT).

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Publication NPC-300: *Environmental Noise Guideline, Stationary and Transportation Sources – Approval and Planning*, 2013.

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 1996, STAMSON v5.03: Road, Rail and Rapid Transit Noise Prediction.

STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared and the work referred to in this report has been undertaken by SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. (SLR) for LIV Communities hereafter referred to as the "Client". It is intended for the sole and exclusive use of the Client. The report has been prepared in accordance with the Scope of Work and agreement between SLR and the Client. Other than by the Client and as set out herein, copying or distribution of this report or use of or reliance on the information contained herein, in whole or in part, is not permitted unless payment for the work has been made in full and express written permission has been obtained from SLR.

This report has been prepared in a manner generally accepted by professional consulting principles and practices for the same locality and under similar conditions. No other representations or warranties, expressed or implied, are made.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report are based on conditions that existed at the time the services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames and project parameters as outlined in the Scope or Work and agreement between SLR and the Client. The data reported, findings, observations and conclusions expressed are limited by the Scope of Work. SLR is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. SLR does not warranty the accuracy of information provided by third party sources.







LIV COMMUNITIES	True North	Scale:	1:20,000	METRES	
Shadow Creek Subdivision		Date: Dec 19, 2021	Rev. 0.0	Figure No.	
CONCEPT PLAN	$\left\{ \right\}$	Project No. 241.303	53.00000	1	global environmental solutions
		-,			



LIV CO	MMUNITIES
--------	-----------

Shadow Creek Subdivision

MODELLED ROADWAY NOISE LEVELS FACADES, DAYTIME

True North	Scale:	1:7,500	METRES
\square	Date: Jan 14, 2022	Rev 0.0	Figure No
	Project No. 241.3035	53.00000	2a





LIV COMMUNITIES	

Shadow Creek Subdivision

MODELLED ROADWAY NOISE LEVELS FACADES, NIGHT-TIME

$\overline{)}$	Date: Jan 14, 2022	Rev 0.0	Figure No. 2b
\sum	Date: Jan 14, 2022	Rev 0.0	Figure No. 2b
orth	Scale:	1:7,500	METRES





LIV COMMUNITIES	True North	Scale:	1:7,500	METRES	
Shadow Creek Subdivision	\frown	Date: Jan 14, 2022	Rev 0.0	Figure No.	
MODELLED ROADWAY NOISE LEVELS REAR YARDS	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	Date: 341 14, 2022		3	
		Project No. 241.303	53.00000		5.000





Development Drawings





Agricultural

	Legal Description CON (GEOGRA	PART OF LOTS 3, 4, AN NCESSION 9 (NORTH DI PHIC TOWNSHIP OF NC NOW IN THE TOWNSHIP OF SEVER COUNTY OF SIMCOR	D 5 VISION) PRTH ORILLIA) RN E
	Owner's Certificate I HEREBY AUTHORIZE MACNAU TO SUBMIT THIS PLAN FOR AP	UGHTON HERMSEN BRITTON CI PROVAL.	ARKSON PLANNING LIMITED
	DATE:		LIV Communities
	Surveyor's Certificate		
	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO SHOWN. DATE:	BOUNDARIES OF THE LAND TC THE ADJACENT LANDS ARE AG	BE SUBDIVIDED ON THIS PLAN CCURATELY AND CORRECTLY PIER DE ROSA- O.L.S. J.D. BARNES LIMITED
	Revision No. Date	Issued / Revisio	n By
ntial	Additional Information Required U A. As Shown D. Residential, Parkland G. As Shown J. As Shown L. As Shown	nder Section 51(17) of the Planning B. As Shown E. As Shown H. Municipal Water Supp K. All Services As Requi	g Act R.S.O. 1990, c.P.13 as Amended C. As Shown F. As Shown bly (Piped) I. Tioga Loamy Sand Lovering Silty Clay Loam Alliston Sandy Loam
	KEY PLAN	LAKE COUCHICHING	SUBJECT LANDS
	County Signing Block APPROVED IN ACCORDANCE P.13, AS AMENDED THIS DAY OF	K WITH SECTION 51(31) OF THE P =, 20	LANNING ACT RSO, 1990, CHAPTER
		DIRECTOR OF PLANNI COUNTY OF SIMCOE	NG, DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM
	Area Schedule Description 11m (36') Single Detached	Lots/Blocks 9-11, 44-91, 130-132, 135-140, 164-229, 232-233, 238-239, 242-265, 271-287	Units Area 170 6.36 ha (15.70 ac)
	12.2m (40') Single Detached	1-8, 12-43, 92-129, 133-134, 141-163, 230-231, 234-237, 240-241, 266-270, 288-318 Block 1-31	149 6.94 ha (17.14 ac)
	Open Space Pump Station Environmental Protection Area Stormwater Management Pond	Block 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42 Block 47 Block 32, 34, 35, 41, 45 Block 43, 44	1.07 ha (2.63 ac) 0.10 ha (0.25 ac) 13.83 ha (34.18 ac) 3.07 ha (7.59 ac)
the could share a	Waterfront Access Street A-K Total	Block 46	0.15 ha (0.38 ac) 8.42 ha (20.80 ac) 534 45.45 ha (112.31 ac)
	230-7050 WESTON ROAD WOODBRI	P L UR & L BC AR DGE, ON, L4L 8G7 P: 905 761 5588 F:	A N N I N G BAN DESIGN ANDSCAPE CHITECTURE 905 761 5589 WWW.MHBCPLAN.COM
	Stamp		Date November 9, 2021 File No.
Residentia	al		15226X Plan Scale 1:2000 (Arch D)
			Drawn By T.H.
28616-0009			Checked By E.T.
-24282 6-0008 PIN	Project		Other
PLAN 51R	8743 Highway 11 Draft Plan of Subdiv	/ision	41.0U
	File Name DRAFT PLAN	OF SUBDIVISION	Dwg No. 1 of 1
	Scale Bar 0 25 5 MEASURE	50 100 MENTS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING	200 METRES AND CAN BE 3Y 0.3048
	N:\15226\X — MBR Phase III — Shadow Creek, S	Severn\1 - MHBC Documents\Draft Plan\CAD\152	26X- Draft Plan of Subdivision- 03 January 2022.dwg



Traffic Data and Calculations



Marcus Li

From:	Du, Shuming (MTO) <shuming.du@ontario.ca></shuming.du@ontario.ca>
Sent:	October 29, 2021 5:32 PM
То:	Marcus Li
Cc:	Tai, Arthur (MTO); Wells, Kara (MTO)
Subject:	RE: Highway 11 Traffic Data Requests

Hi Marcus,

In response to your request please find below the information available from this office for Highway 11 near Eastside Dr and Menoke Beach Rd:

2016 AADT: 26600 2016 SADT: 33500 Number of Lanes: 4 Ultimate AADT: 40500 Ultimate SADT: 50900 Ultimate Number of Lanes: 4 Posted Speed: 90 km/h Percentage of Trucks: 9%

and for Highway 11 near West St N and Coldwater Rd W:

2016 AADT: 30500 2016 SADT: 44100 Number of Lanes: 4 Ultimate AADT: 59800 Ultimate SADT: 75200 Ultimate Number of Lanes: 4 Posted Speed: 100 km/h Percentage of Trucks: 10%

Please note that the above information is estimated based upon our current knowledge of the area, which may be subject to change in the future.

If you require further information, please don't hesitate to contact me. Thank you Regards Shuming

From: Marcus Li <mli@slrconsulting.com>
Sent: October 27, 2021 10:28 AM
To: Du, Shuming (MTO) <Shuming.Du@ontario.ca>
Cc: Tai, Arthur (MTO) <Arthur.Tai@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Highway 11 Traffic Data Requests

O R N A M E N T - Sound Power Emissions & Source Heights

Ontario Road Noise Analysis Method for Environment and Transportation

Road Segment ID	Roadway Name	Link Description	Speed (kph)	Period (h)	Auto	Med	Heavy	Road Gradient (%)	Cadna/A Ground Absorpti on G	PWL (dBA)	Source Height, s (m)
Hwy11_avg_D	Hung 11 (Elltimate SADT)	Daytime Impacts	90	16	43,540	750	3,556	0	0.00	94.1	1.7
Hwy11_avg_N	Nighttime Impacts	90	8	2,779	48	227	0	0.00	85.2	1.7	

APPENDIX C STAMSON Output Files





LIV COMMUNITIES	True North	Scale:	1:1,500	METRES	
Shadow Creek Subdivision	\frown	Date: Dec 19 2021	Rev 0.0	Figuro No	CI
COMPARISON OF CADNAA AND STAMSON	$(\langle \rangle)$		1.00 0.0	C.1	JL
		Project No. 241.303		global enviro	





LIV COMMUNITIES	True North	Scale:	1:1,500	METRES
Shadow Creek Subdivision		Date: Dec 19, 2021	Rev. 0.0	
COMPARISON OF CADNAA AND STAMSON BARRIER EFFECT	$\{ \}$	Project No. 241 3034	53 00000	C.2
		FI0JECTN0. 241.303	5.00000	



STAMSON 5.0 COMPREHENSIVE REPORT Date: 19-12-2021 23:35:01 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: r1.te Time Period: 16 hours Description: Rear Yard Road data, segment # 1: Hwy11 _____ Car traffic volume : 43540 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume : 750 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 3556 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 90 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 1: Hwy11 ------Angle1Angle2: -90.00 deg90.00 degWood depth: 0(No woods No of house rows : 0 Surface (No woods.) Surface : 1 Receiver source distance : 35.70 m (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver height : 1.50 m Topography : 1 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00 Segment # 1: Hwy11 Source height = 1.65 mROAD (0.00 + 71.39 + 0.00) = 71.39 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq _____ -90 90 0.66 79.07 0.00 -6.23 -1.45 0.00 0.00 0.00 71.39 _____ Segment Leq : 71.39 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 71.39 dBA TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES: 71.39

STAMSON 5.0 COMPREHENSIVE REPORT Date: 19-12-2021 23:35:30 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: r1 bar.te Time Period: 16 hours Description: Rear Yard, berm + barrier Road data, segment # 1: Hwy11 _____ Car traffic volume : 43540 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume : 750 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 3556 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 90 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 1: Hwy11 Angle1Angle2: -90.00 deg90.00 degWood depth: 0(No woods : 0 (No woods.) 0 No of house rows : Surface 1 (Absorptive ground surface) : Receiver source distance : 35.70 \mbox{m} Receiver height: 1.50 mTopography: 2Barrier angle1: -90.00 degBarrier height: 6.00 m (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier) Angle2 : 90.00 deg Barrier receiver distance : 11.40 m Source elevation : 0.00 m Receiver elevation Barrier elevation : 0.00 m : 0.00 m Reference angle : 0.00 Segment # 1: Hwy11 -----Source height = 1.65 mBarrier height for grazing incidence Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m) 1.65 ! 1.50 ! 1.55 ! 1.55 ROAD (0.00 + 59.11 + 0.00) = 59.11 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeg P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeg _____ -90 90 0.30 79.07 0.00 -4.88 -0.76 0.00 0.00 -14.33 59.11 _____ Segment Leq : 59.11 dBA Total Leg All Segments: 59.11 dBA TOTAL Leg FROM ALL SOURCES: 59.11

APPENDIX DBPN-56 Façade Calculations



BPN 56 Calculation Procedure - Required Glazing STC Rating (Fixed Veneer) - ROADWAY

		Sound I	evels	Room /	Façad	e Inputs			Source In	puts	Veneer - Component 1		Component 1	Glazing - Component 2		
Receptor ID	Receptor Description	Façade Sound Level:	Req'd Indoor Sound Level:	Glazing as % of Wall Area	Exp Wall Ht	Exp Wall Length	Room Depth	Room Absorption:	Incident Sound Angle:	Spectrum type:		Veneer STC	Component Category:	Component Category:	Req'd Glazing STC	Req'd Glazing STC (combined)
		(dBA)	(dBA)		(m)	(m)	(m)		(deg)		L	(STC)			(STC)	(STC)
DAYTIME							1			1	г		1			
	Living/Dining/Kitchen, North Façade	69	45	55%	2.7	6.0	15.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	22	
26to31 Townhomes LvgRm/Kitchen	Living/Dining/Kitchen, West Façade	72	45	35%	2.7	15.0	6.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	28	30
	Living/Dining/Kitchen, South Façade	69	45	55%	2.7	6.0	15.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	22	
Block 14to16,	Bedroom, West Façade	72	45	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	33	24
Bdrm	Bedroom, South Façade	69	45	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	28	34
Lot 210 LugPm	Living Room, West Façade	66	45	35%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	22	25
Lot 519 - Lvgkin	Living Room, North Façade	63	45	55%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	21	25
Lot 319 - Kitchen	Kitchen, West Façade	66	45	35%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Hard	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	24	27
Lot 315 - Kitchen	Kitchen, South Façade	63	45	55%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Hard	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	23	27
Lot 319 -	Bedroom, West Façade	66	45	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	25	26
Bdrm	Bedroom, North Façade	63	45	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	21	20
NIGHT-TIME																
	Living/Dining/Kitchen, North Façade	60	45	55%	2.7	6.0	15.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft	Γ	39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	13	
Block 14to16, 26to31 Townhomes	Living/Dining/Kitchen, West Façade	63	45	35%	2.7	15.0	6.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	18	20
	Living/Dining/Kitchen, South Façade	60	45	55%	2.7	6.0	15.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	13	
Block 14to16,	Bedroom, West Façade	63	40	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	27	20
Bdrm	Bedroom, South Façade	60	40	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	23	20
Lot 319 - LygPm	Living Room, West Façade	56	45	35%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	12	15
Lot 515 - Lvginn	Living Room, North Façade	53	45	55%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	11	15
Lot 319 - Kitchen	Kitchen, West Façade	56	45	35%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Hard	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	14	17
Lot 315 - Kitchell	Kitchen, South Façade	53	45	55%	2.7	5.0	5.0	Hard	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	13	1/
Lot 319 -	Bedroom, West Façade	56	40	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	19	21
Bdrm	Bedroom, North Façade	53	40	35%	2.7	3.0	3.0	Intermediate	0 - 90	D. mixed road traffic, distant aircraft		39	D. sealed thick window, or exterior wall, or roof/ceiling	C. sealed thin window, or openable thick window	16	21

APPENDIX E

Ventilation, Warning Clause and Acoustic Barrier Summary





LIV COMMUNITIES

Shadow Creek Subdivision

WARNING CLAUSE AND VENTILATION REQUIREMENTS

1:7,500 True North Scale: Date: Dec 19, 2021 Rev 0.0 Figure No. Project No. 241.30353.00000



METRES

E.1



Shadow Creek Subdivision		Date: Jan 14 2022	Rev 0.0	Eiguro No	CI
ACOUSTIC BARRIER REQUIREMENTS	$\left\{ \right\}$	Project No. 241.3035	53.00000	E.2	global enviro



Ventilation, Warning Clause and Barrier Summary

The following Warning Clauses are recommended for inclusion in agreements registered on Title for the residential units, and included in all agreements of purchase and sale or lease, and all rental agreements.

A summary of the Warning Clause and Ventilation Requirements is included in **Table E.1** below, and summarized in **Figure E.1**. A summary of the Acoustic Barrier Requirements is summarized in **Figure E.2**.

MECP Type A: "Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels, due to increasing road traffic, may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment."

MECP Type B: "Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development, sound levels, due to increasing road traffic, may on occasions interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment."."

MECP Type C: " This dwelling unit has been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant's discretion. Installation of central air conditioning by the occupant in will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment."

MECP Type D: "This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks."

Lot/Block	Barrier Required	Air Conditioning Requirement	Warning Clause
Block 1, 3, 4, 9, 17 to 25 Lots 172 to 181, 218 to 221	Ν	Provision for AC	Туре С
Block 5, 6 Lot 92 to 113, 120 to 132, 262 to 266, 271, 272, 299 to 318	Ν	Provision for AC	Туре А, Туре С
Lot 319	N	AC	Type A, Type D
Lot 114 to 119	Y	Provision for AC	Type B, Type C
Block 14 to 16, 26 to 31	Y	AC	Type B, Type D

Table E.1: Summary of Ventilation and Warning Clause Requirements

Notes: [1] AC = Central Air conditioning required, Provision for AC = forced air heating with a provision for installation of central air conditioning